

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 17/06/2024

First name: Marie

Last name: Baird

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Taupō Taupō

1.11 Any other feedback?

Submission June 2024 Pukemanu Redevelopment

I live in Nga roto area. There has been regular discussion in the area for facilities for young teens. I have been approached by a local to put forward a submission for a facility on the area of Pukemanu Road for some kind of skate park, scooter park for children who are of an age that they do not need to be supervised. One parent commented to me that she has to take her children across town to enjoy the skate park and other facilities.

The area in question is far from houses so as not to cause a nuisance and with the tunnels under Lake Terrace it opens up the area to a large number of people. The more people in the area the less likelihood of crime.

The contour of the area lends itself to an exciting park suitable for bikes, scooters and skate boards. There should be public submission as to the facility being proposed.

It is not possible to have such a facility at Wharewaka point and it is too far for teens to travel on their own from Richmond Heights, Kokomea and Nga Roto.

I appreciate the sentiments of the locals at Pukemanu but they are only one part of the community. Keeping children closer to their homes reduces crime because too many people know them.

To see this area developed soon would be a great asset to this growing area and bring both sides of Lake Terrace into one community.

Submission to Taupo Council June 2024 for additional playground equipment on Raupo Reserve.

A couple of years ago in consultation with council our community were able to secure an awesome playground on Raupo reserve for younger children.

Local children were the instigator of this park, asking for meetings and choosing the plan. Now they have come to me to help them get an activity for young teenagers. They have all grown up.

At a community event in February this year attended by some 90 locals the attached survey was done and you will see that the majority being parents want a basketball hoop or soccer net on the Raupo Reserve. One young person also wrote to me and I have included his letter.

To be in a community where children can play locally and crime is reduced means the people in the community watch out for their neighbours. The people who live around the park know the local children and there has never been any trouble. Our local children take pride in their park and let out of area children know how to behave when on their patch.

Children who play close to home are less likely to get into trouble and are less influenced by older teens.

Raupo reserve is a popular place – there is never a day rain or shine it is not used. The teenager community needs something on the park for them so they don't have to cross Lake Terrace or go out of the area to meet their friends and play.

Please seriously consider the needs of these children and put in at least a hoop and mat area in Raupo reserve.

see attachment

Submission for Local Alcohol Plan for Taupo District June 2024

I have lived in Nga Roto Estate for 5 years.

5 years ago, there was a liquor store at Richmond Heights shops and a few bottles of wine and beer sold at Rainbow Point Dairy.

Within the last 2 years Kokomea area has Woolworths selling alcohol and last year a license given to Liquorland to sell alcohol. Rainbow point dairy has gone from a few bottles on the shelf to about 5 cabinets plus shelves of alcohol being sold. And is applying for a license.

The liquor licensing inspections are about the standards in the shop being met not about the influence of all these alcohol outlets in our communities and the effect on these people.

At every shopping area in Taupo there are multiple places to buy alcohol. Why is it necessary to have alcohol sold at every shopping Centre. Is there so much money to be made selling alcohol? If that is the case then who considers what is that doing to our communities.

I note Paetiki has licensing restrictions, as does Rifle Range Road plus Liquorland at Kokomea. But Woolworths was exempt. Obviously, the risk of a challenge in the court was too high to impose any restrictions or big corporates have other rules. Whatever way you look at it the result was not conducive to protecting our community.

I have objected to the proliferation of alcohol stores in our area based on the effects on our community. 40% of hospital admissions in Taupo are influenced by alcohol. Only the police will be able to tell you about the effects of alcohol in crime statistics and the health authority about the effects on our children and young people. Plus, the family harm associated with alcohol.

Taupo has more alcohol outlets per head of population than anywhere else in NZ. We should be ashamed of that.

Kokomea is a fast-growing area and we are soon to have significant amount of social housing being built which is so needed in Taupo. We have an obligation to provide a safe community for these people. The Kokomea area has been thoughtfully planned and is stunning with its trees and green spaces. Please don't destroy it by having shops that bring drunk people to the area and shops that get raided and need shutters. Keep our parks and reserves clear of bottles and cans and free of drunks. Keep them a safe place for recreation.

Buying alcohol on your door step does not lend itself to protecting families, children and reducing crime. If people have to travel into town to buy alcohol it is likely to reduce consumption. We don't need to make it easier to drink we need to make it harder. Somebody has to be bold and take the first step as they did with reducing smoking years ago.

Council gets only one chance to show the country that it can produce a stunning new community with

limits to buying those things that affect our children and families the most. That also means cigarettes, vaps and alcohol. Rainbow Point dairy is becoming a one stop shop for all those products.

I ask the council to formulating a Local Alcohol Plan for the whole community that sets the parameters for council staff and prospective shop owners. The plan must include submissions from the whole community including Iwi and be publicly heard. There will be lots of objections because alcohol is profitable but profit does not pay for services to treat people after effects of alcohol.

A LAP is paramount to avoid constant challenges to licenses and gives the district a clear parameters and direction.

A couple of years ago in consultation with council our community were able to secure an awesome playground on Raupo reserve for younger children.

Local children were the instigator of this park, asking for meetings and choosing the plan. Now they have come to me to help them get an activity for young teenagers. They have all grown up.

At a community event in February this year attended by some 90 locals the attached survey was done and you will see that the majority being parents want a basketball hoop or soccer net on the Raupo Reserve. One young person also wrote to me and I have included his letter.

To be in a community where children can play locally and crime is reduced means the people in the community watch out for their neighbours. The people who live around the park know the local children and there has never been any trouble. Our local children take pride in their park and let out of area children know how to behave when on their patch.

Children who play close to home are less likely to get into trouble and are less influenced by older teens.

Raupo reserve is a popular place – there is never a day rain or shine it is not used. The teenager community needs something on the park for them so they don't have to cross Lake Terrace or go out of the area to meet their friends and play.

Please seriously consider the needs of these children and put in at least a hoop and mat area in Raupo reserve.

I have lived in Nga Roto Estate for 5 years.

5 years ago, there was a liquor store at Richmond Heights shops and a few bottles of wine and beer sold at Rainbow Point Dairy.

Within the last 2 years Kokomea area has Woolworths selling alcohol and last year a license given to Liquorland to sell alcohol. Rainbow point dairy has gone from a few bottles on the shelf to about 5 cabinets plus shelves of alcohol being sold. And is applying for a license.

The liquor licensing inspections are about the standards in the shop being met not about the influence of all these alcohol outlets in our communities and the effect on these people.

At every shopping area in Taupo there are multiple places to buy alcohol. Why is it necessary to have alcohol sold at every shopping Centre. Is there so much money to be made selling alcohol? If that is the case then who considers what is that doing to our communities.

I note Paetiki has licensing restrictions, as does Rifle Range Road plus Liquorland at Kokomea. But Woolworths was exempt. Obviously, the risk of a challenge in the court was too high to impose any restrictions or big corporates have other rules. Whatever way you look at it the result was not conducive to protecting our community.

I have objected to the proliferation of alcohol stores in our area based on the effects on our community. 40% of hospital admissions in Taupo are influenced by alcohol. Only the police will be able to tell you about the effects of alcohol in crime statistics and the health authority about the effects on our children and young people. Plus, the family harm associated with alcohol.

Taupo has more alcohol outlets per head of population than anywhere else in NZ. We should be ashamed of that.

Kokomea is a fast-growing area and we are soon to have significant amount of social housing being built which is so needed in Taupo. We have an obligation to provide a safe community for these people. The Kokomea area has been thoughtfully planned and is stunning with its trees and green spaces. Please don't destroy it by having shops that bring drunk people to the area and shops that get raided and need shutters. Keep our parks and reserves clear of bottles and cans and free of drunks. Keep them a safe place for recreation.

Buying alcohol on your door step does not lend itself to protecting families, children and reducing crime. If people have to travel into town to buy alcohol it is likely to reduce consumption. We don't need to make it easier to drink we need to make it harder. Somebody has to be bold and take the first step as they did with reducing smoking years ago.

Council gets only one chance to show the country that it can produce a stunning new community with limits to buying those things that affect our children and families the most. That also means cigarettes, vaps and alcohol. Rainbow Point dairy is becoming a one stop shop for all those products.

I ask the council to formulating a Local Alcohol Plan for the whole community that sets the parameters for council staff and prospective shop owners. The plan must include submissions from the whole community including Iwi and be publicly heard. There will be lots of objections because alcohol is profitable but profit does not pay for services to treat people after effects of alcohol.

A LAP is paramount to avoid constant challenges to licenses and gives the district a clear parameters and direction.

Submission June 2024 Pukemanu Redevelopment

I live in Nga roto area. There has been regular discussion in the area for facilities for young teens.

I have been approached by a local to put forward a submission for a facility on the area of Pukemanu Road for some kind of skate park, scooter park for children who are of an age that they do not need to be supervised. One parent commented to me that she has to take her children across town to enjoy the skate park and other facilities.

The area in question is far from houses so as not to cause a nuisance and with the tunnels under Lake Terrace it opens up the area to a large number of people. The more people in the area the less likelihood of crime.

The contour of the area lends itself to an exciting park suitable for bikes, scooters and skate boards.

There should be public submission as to the facility being proposed.

It is not possible to have such a facility at Wharewaka point and it is too far for teens to travel on their own from Richmond Heights, Kokomea and Nga Roto.

I appreciate the sentiments of the locals at Pukemanu but they are only one part of the community.

Keeping children closer to their homes reduces crime because too many people know them. To see this area developed soon would be a great asset to this growing area and bring both sides of Lake Terrace into one community.

Submission to Taupo Council June 2024 for additional playground equipment on Raupo Reserve.

A couple of years ago in consultation with council our community were able to secure an awesome playground on Raupo reserve for younger children.

Local children were the instigator of this park, asking for meetings and choosing the plan. Now they have come to me to help them get an activity for young teenagers. They have all grown up.

At a community event in February this year attended by some 90 locals the attached survey was done and you will see that the majority being parents want a basketball hoop or soccer net on the Raupo Reserve. One young person also wrote to me and I have included his letter.

To be in a community where children can play locally and crime is reduced means the people in the community watch out for their neighbours. The people who live around the park know the local children and there has never been any trouble. Our local children take pride in their park and let out of area children know how to behave when on their patch.

Children who play close to home are less likely to get into trouble and are less influenced by older teens.

Raupo reserve is a popular place – there is never a day rain or shine it is not used. The teenager community needs something on the park for them so they don't have to cross Lake Terrace or go out of the area to meet their friends and play.

Please seriously consider the needs of these children and put in at least a hoop and mat area in Raupo reserve.

see attachment

Submission for Local Alcohol Plan for Taupo District June 2024

I have lived in Nga Roto Estate for 5 years.

5 years ago, there was a liquor store at Richmond Heights shops and a few bottles of wine and beer sold at Rainbow Point Dairy.

Within the last 2 years Kokomea area has Woolworths selling alcohol and last year a license given to Liquorland to sell alcohol. Rainbow point dairy has gone from a few bottles on the shelf to about 5 cabinets plus shelves of alcohol being sold. And is applying for a license.

The liquor licensing inspections are about the standards in the shop being met not about the influence of all these alcohol outlets in our communities and the effect on these people.

At every shopping area in Taupo there are multiple places to buy alcohol. Why is it necessary to have alcohol sold at every shopping Centre. Is there so much money to be made selling alcohol? If that is the case then who considers what is that doing to our communities.

I note Paetiki has licensing restrictions, as does Rifle Range Road plus Liquorland at Kokomea. But Woolworths was exempt. Obviously, the risk of a challenge in the court was too high to impose any restrictions or big corporates have other rules. Whatever way you look at it the result was not conducive to protecting our community.

I have objected to the proliferation of alcohol stores in our area based on the effects on our community. 40% of hospital admissions in Taupo are influenced by alcohol. Only the police will be able to tell you about the effects of alcohol in crime statistics and the health authority about the effects on our children and young people. Plus, the family harm associated with alcohol.

Taupo has more alcohol outlets per head of population than anywhere else in NZ. We should be ashamed of that.

Kokomea is a fast-growing area and we are soon to have significant amount of social housing being built which is so needed in Taupo. We have an obligation to provide a safe community for these people. The Kokomea area has been thoughtfully planned and is stunning with its trees and green spaces. Please don't destroy it by having shops that bring drunk people to the area and shops that get raided and need shutters. Keep our parks and reserves clear of bottles and cans and free of drunks. Keep them a safe place for recreation.

Buying alcohol on your door step does not lend itself to protecting families, children and reducing crime. If people have to travel into town to buy alcohol it is likely to reduce consumption. We don't need to make it easier to drink we need to make it harder. Somebody has to be bold and take the first step as they did with reducing smoking years ago.

Council gets only one chance to show the country that it can produce a stunning new community with limits to buying those things that affect our children and families the most. That also means cigarettes, vaps and alcohol. Rainbow Point dairy is becoming a one stop shop for all those products.

I ask the council to formulating a Local Alcohol Plan for the whole community that sets the parameters for council staff and prospective shop owners. The plan must include submissions from the whole community including Iwi and be publicly heard. There will be lots of objections because alcohol is profitable but profit does not pay for services to treat people after effects of alcohol.

A LAP is paramount to avoid constant challenges to licenses and gives the district a clear parameters and direction.

A couple of years ago in consultation with council our community were able to secure an awesome playground on Raupo reserve for younger children.

Local children were the instigator of this park, asking for meetings and choosing the plan. Now they have come to me to help them get an activity for young teenagers. They have all grown up.

At a community event in February this year attended by some 90 locals the attached survey was done and you will see that the majority being parents want a basketball hoop or soccer net on the Raupo Reserve. One young person also wrote to me and I have included his letter.

To be in a community where children can play locally and crime is reduced means the people in the community watch out for their neighbours. The people who live around the park know the local children and there has never been any trouble. Our local children take pride in their park and let out of area children know how to behave when on their patch.

Children who play close to home are less likely to get into trouble and are less influenced by older teens.

Raupo reserve is a popular place – there is never a day rain or shine it is not used. The teenager community needs something on the park for them so they don't have to cross Lake Terrace or go out of the area to meet their friends and play.

Please seriously consider the needs of these children and put in at least a hoop and mat area in Raupo reserve.

I have lived in Nga Roto Estate for 5 years.

5 years ago, there was a liquor store at Richmond Heights shops and a few bottles of wine and beer sold at Rainbow Point Dairy.

Within the last 2 years Kokomea area has Woolworths selling alcohol and last year a license given to Liquorland to sell alcohol. Rainbow point dairy has gone from a few bottles on the shelf to about 5 cabinets plus shelves of alcohol being sold. And is applying for a license.

The liquor licensing inspections are about the standards in the shop being met not about the influence of all these alcohol outlets in our communities and the effect on these people.

At every shopping area in Taupo there are multiple places to buy alcohol. Why is it necessary to have alcohol sold at every shopping Centre. Is there so much money to be made selling alcohol? If that is the case then who considers what is that doing to our communities.

I note Paetiki has licensing restrictions, as does Rifle Range Road plus Liquorland at Kokomea. But Woolworths was exempt. Obviously, the risk of a challenge in the court was too high to impose any restrictions or big corporates have other rules. Whatever way you look at it the result was not conducive to protecting our community.

I have objected to the proliferation of alcohol stores in our area based on the effects on our community. 40% of hospital admissions in Taupo are influenced by alcohol. Only the police will be able to tell you about the effects of alcohol in crime statistics and the health authority about the effects on our children and young people. Plus, the family harm associated with alcohol.

Taupo has more alcohol outlets per head of population than anywhere else in NZ. We should be ashamed of that.

Kokomea is a fast-growing area and we are soon to have significant amount of social housing being built which is so needed in Taupo. We have an obligation to provide a safe community for these people. The Kokomea area has been thoughtfully planned and is stunning with its trees and green spaces. Please don't destroy it by having shops that bring drunk people to the area and shops that get raided and need shutters. Keep our parks and reserves clear of bottles and cans and free of drunks. Keep them a safe place for recreation.

Buying alcohol on your door step does not lend itself to protecting families, children and reducing crime. If people have to travel into town to buy alcohol it is likely to reduce consumption. We don't need to make it easier to drink we need to make it harder. Somebody has to be bold and take the first step as they did with reducing smoking years ago.

Council gets only one chance to show the country that it can produce a stunning new community with limits to buying those things that affect our children and families the most. That also means cigarettes, vaps and alcohol. Rainbow Point dairy is becoming a one stop shop for all those products.

I ask the council to formulating a Local Alcohol Plan for the whole community that sets the parameters for council staff and prospective shop owners. The plan must include submissions from the whole community including Iwi and be publicly heard. There will be lots of objections because alcohol is profitable but profit does not pay for services to treat people after effects of alcohol.

A LAP is paramount to avoid constant challenges to licenses and gives the district a clear parameters and direction.

Submission June 2024 Pukemanu Redevelopment

I live in Nga roto area. There has been regular discussion in the area for facilities for young teens. I have been approached by a local to put forward a submission for a facility on the area of Pukemanu Road for some kind of skate park, scooter park for children who are of an age that they do not need to be supervised. One parent commented to me that she has to take her children across town to enjoy the skate park and other facilities.

The area in question is far from houses so as not to cause a nuisance and with the tunnels under Lake Terrace it opens up the area to a large number of people. The more people in the area the less likelihood of crime.

The contour of the area lends itself to an exciting park suitable for bikes, scooters and skate boards. There should be public submission as to the facility being proposed.

It is not possible to have such a facility at Wharewaka point and it is too far for teens to travel on their own from Richmond Heights, Kokomea and Nga Roto.

I appreciate the sentiments of the locals at Pukemanu but they are only one part of the community.

Keeping children closer to their homes reduces crime because too many people know them.

To see this area developed soon would be a great asset to this growing area and bring both sides of Lake Terrace into one community.

Submission to Taupo Council June 2024 for additional playground equipment on Raupo Reserve.

A couple of years ago in consultation with council our community were able to secure an awesome playground on Raupo reserve for younger children.

Local children were the instigator of this park, asking for meetings and choosing the plan. Now they have come to me to help them get an activity for young teenagers. They have all grown up.

At a community event in February this year attended by some 90 locals the attached survey was done and you will see that the majority being parents want a basketball hoop or soccer net on the Raupo Reserve. One young person also wrote to me and I have included his letter.

To be in a community where children can play locally and crime is reduced means the people in the community watch out for their neighbours. The people who live around the park know the local children and there has never been any trouble. Our local children take pride in their park and let out of area children know how to behave when on their patch.

Children who play close to home are less likely to get into trouble and are less influenced by older teens.

Raupo reserve is a popular place – there is never a day rain or shine it is not used. The teenager community needs something on the park for them so they don't have to cross Lake Terrace or go out of the area to meet their friends and play.

Please seriously consider the needs of these children and put in at least a hoop and mat area in Raupo reserve.

see attachment

Submission for Local Alcohol Plan for Taupo District June 2024

I have lived in Nga Roto Estate for 5 years.

5 years ago, there was a liquor store at Richmond Heights shops and a few bottles of wine and beer sold at Rainbow Point Dairy.

Within the last 2 years Kokomea area has Woolworths selling alcohol and last year a license given to Liquorland to sell alcohol. Rainbow point dairy has gone from a few bottles on the shelf to about 5 cabinets plus shelves of alcohol being sold. And is applying for a license.

The liquor licensing inspections are about the standards in the shop being met not about the influence of all these alcohol outlets in our communities and the effect on these people.

At every shopping area in Taupo there are multiple places to buy alcohol. Why is it necessary to have alcohol sold at every shopping Centre. Is there so much money to be made selling alcohol? If that is the case then who considers what is that doing to our communities.

I note Paetiki has licensing restrictions, as does Rifle Range Road plus Liquorland at Kokomea. But Woolworths was exempt. Obviously, the risk of a challenge in the court was too high to impose any restrictions or big corporates have other rules. Whatever way you look at it the result was not conducive to protecting our community.

I have objected to the proliferation of alcohol stores in our area based on the effects on our community. 40% of hospital admissions in Taupo are influenced by alcohol. Only the police will be able to tell you about the effects of alcohol in crime statistics and the health authority about the effects on our children and young people. Plus, the family harm associated with alcohol.

Taupo has more alcohol outlets per head of population than anywhere else in NZ. We should be ashamed of that.

Kokomea is a fast-growing area and we are soon to have significant amount of social housing being built which is so needed in Taupo. We have an obligation to provide a safe community for these people. The Kokomea area has been thoughtfully planned and is stunning with its trees and green spaces. Please don't destroy it by having shops that bring drunk people to the area and shops that get raided and need shutters. Keep our parks and reserves clear of bottles and cans and free of drunks. Keep them a safe place for recreation.

Buying alcohol on your door step does not lend itself to protecting families, children and reducing crime. If people have to travel into town to buy alcohol it is likely to reduce consumption. We don't need to make it easier to drink we need to make it harder. Somebody has to be bold and take the first step as they did with reducing smoking years ago.

Council gets only one chance to show the country that it can produce a stunning new community with limits to buying those things that affect our children and families the most. That also means cigarettes, vaps and alcohol. Rainbow Point dairy is becoming a one stop shop for all those products.

I ask the council to formulating a Local Alcohol Plan for the whole community that sets the parameters for council staff and prospective shop owners. The plan must include submissions from the whole community including Iwi and be publicly heard. There will be lots of objections because alcohol is profitable but profit does not pay for services to treat people after effects of alcohol.

A LAP is paramount to avoid constant challenges to licenses and gives the district a clear parameters and direction.

A couple of years ago in consultation with council our community were able to secure an awesome playground on Raupo reserve for younger children.

Local children were the instigator of this park, asking for meetings and choosing the plan. Now they have come to me to help them get an activity for young teenagers. They have all grown up.

At a community event in February this year attended by some 90 locals the attached survey was done and you will see that the majority being parents want a basketball hoop or soccer net on the Raupo Reserve. One young person also wrote to me and I have included his letter.

To be in a community where children can play locally and crime is reduced means the people in the community watch out for their neighbours. The people who live around the park know the local children and there has never been any trouble. Our local children take pride in their park and let out of area children know how to behave when on their patch.

Children who play close to home are less likely to get into trouble and are less influenced by older teens.

Raupo reserve is a popular place – there is never a day rain or shine it is not used. The teenager community needs something on the park for them so they don't have to cross Lake Terrace or go out of the area to meet their friends and play.

Please seriously consider the needs of these children and put in at least a hoop and mat area in Raupo reserve.

I have lived in Nga Roto Estate for 5 years.

5 years ago, there was a liquor store at Richmond Heights shops and a few bottles of wine and beer sold at Rainbow Point Dairy.

Within the last 2 years Kokomea area has Woolworths selling alcohol and last year a license given to Liquorland to sell alcohol. Rainbow point dairy has gone from a few bottles on the shelf to about 5 cabinets plus shelves of alcohol being sold. And is applying for a license.

The liquor licensing inspections are about the standards in the shop being met not about the influence of all these alcohol outlets in our communities and the effect on these people.

At every shopping area in Taupo there are multiple places to buy alcohol. Why is it necessary to have alcohol sold at every shopping Centre. Is there so much money to be made selling alcohol? If that is the case then who considers what is that doing to our communities.

I note Paetiki has licensing restrictions, as does Rifle Range Road plus Liquorland at Kokomea. But Woolworths was exempt. Obviously, the risk of a challenge in the court was too high to impose any restrictions or big corporates have other rules. Whatever way you look at it the result was not

conducive to protecting our community.

I have objected to the proliferation of alcohol stores in our area based on the effects on our community. 40% of hospital admissions in Taupo are influenced by alcohol. Only the police will be able to tell you about the effects of alcohol in crime statistics and the health authority about the effects on our children and young people. Plus, the family harm associated with alcohol.

Taupo has more alcohol outlets per head of population than anywhere else in NZ. We should be ashamed of that.

Kokomea is a fast-growing area and we are soon to have significant amount of social housing being built which is so needed in Taupo. We have an obligation to provide a safe community for these people. The Kokomea area has been thoughtfully planned and is stunning with its trees and green spaces. Please don't destroy it by having shops that bring drunk people to the area and shops that get raided and need shutters. Keep our parks and reserves clear of bottles and cans and free of drunks. Keep them a safe place for recreation.

Buying alcohol on your door step does not lend itself to protecting families, children and reducing crime. If people have to travel into town to buy alcohol it is likely to reduce consumption. We don't need to make it easier to drink we need to make it harder. Somebody has to be bold and take the first step as they did with reducing smoking years ago.

Council gets only one chance to show the country that it can produce a stunning new community with limits to buying those things that affect our children and families the most. That also means cigarettes, vaps and alcohol. Rainbow Point dairy is becoming a one stop shop for all those products.

I ask the council to formulating a Local Alcohol Plan for the whole community that sets the parameters for council staff and prospective shop owners. The plan must include submissions from the whole community including Iwi and be publicly heard. There will be lots of objections because alcohol is profitable but profit does not pay for services to treat people after effects of alcohol.

A LAP is paramount to avoid constant challenges to licenses and gives the district a clear parameters and direction.

Submission June 2024 Pukemanu Redevelopment

I live in Nga roto area. There has been regular discussion in the area for facilities for young teens.

I have been approached by a local to put forward a submission for a facility on the area of Pukemanu Road for some kind of skate park, scooter park for children who are of an age that they do not need to be supervised. One parent commented to me that she has to take her children across town to enjoy the skate park and other facilities.

The area in question is far from houses so as not to cause a nuisance and with the tunnels under Lake Terrace it opens up the area to a large number of people. The more people in the area the less likelihood of crime.

The contour of the area lends itself to an exciting park suitable for bikes, scooters and skate boards. There should be public submission as to the facility being proposed.

It is not possible to have such a facility at Wharewaka point and it is too far for teens to travel on their own from Richmond Heights, Kokomea and Nga Roto.

I appreciate the sentiments of the locals at Pukemanu but they are only one part of the community.

Keeping children closer to their homes reduces crime because too many people know them.

To see this area developed soon would be a great asset to this growing area and bring both sides of Lake Terrace into one community.

Submission to Taupo Council June 2024 for additional playground equipment on Raupo Reserve.

A couple of years ago in consultation with council our community were able to secure an awesome playground on Raupo reserve for younger children.

Local children were the instigator of this park, asking for meetings and choosing the plan. Now they have come to me to help them get an activity for young teenagers. They have all grown up.

At a community event in February this year attended by some 90 locals the attached survey was done and you will see that the majority being parents want a basketball hoop or soccer net on the Raupo Reserve. One young person also wrote to me and I have included his letter.

To be in a community where children can play locally and crime is reduced means the people in the community watch out for their neighbours. The people who live around the park know the local children and there has never been any trouble. Our local children take pride in their park and let out of area children know how to behave when on their patch.

Children who play close to home are less likely to get into trouble and are less influenced by older teens.

Raupo reserve is a popular place – there is never a day rain or shine it is not used. The teenager community needs something on the park for them so they don't have to cross Lake Terrace or go out

of the area to meet their friends and play.

Please seriously consider the needs of these children and put in at least a hoop and mat area in Raupo reserve.

see attachment

Submission for Local Alcohol Plan for Taupo District June 2024

I have lived in Nga Roto Estate for 5 years.

5 years ago, there was a liquor store at Richmond Heights shops and a few bottles of wine and beer sold at Rainbow Point Dairy.

Within the last 2 years Kokomea area has Woolworths selling alcohol and last year a license given to Liquorland to sell alcohol. Rainbow point dairy has gone from a few bottles on the shelf to about 5 cabinets plus shelves of alcohol being sold. And is applying for a license.

The liquor licensing inspections are about the standards in the shop being met not about the influence of all these alcohol outlets in our communities and the effect on these people.

At every shopping area in Taupo there are multiple places to buy alcohol. Why is it necessary to have alcohol sold at every shopping Centre. Is there so much money to be made selling alcohol? If that is the case then who considers what is that doing to our communities.

I note Paetiki has licensing restrictions, as does Rifle Range Road plus Liquorland at Kokomea. But Woolworths was exempt. Obviously, the risk of a challenge in the court was too high to impose any restrictions or big corporates have other rules. Whatever way you look at it the result was not conducive to protecting our community.

I have objected to the proliferation of alcohol stores in our area based on the effects on our community. 40% of hospital admissions in Taupo are influenced by alcohol. Only the police will be able to tell you about the effects of alcohol in crime statistics and the health authority about the effects on our children and young people. Plus, the family harm associated with alcohol.

Taupo has more alcohol outlets per head of population than anywhere else in NZ. We should be ashamed of that.

Kokomea is a fast-growing area and we are soon to have significant amount of social housing being built which is so needed in Taupo. We have an obligation to provide a safe community for these people. The Kokomea area has been thoughtfully planned and is stunning with its trees and green spaces. Please don't destroy it by having shops that bring drunk people to the area and shops that get raided and need shutters. Keep our parks and reserves clear of bottles and cans and free of drunks. Keep them a safe place for recreation.

Buying alcohol on your door step does not lend itself to protecting families, children and reducing crime. If people have to travel into town to buy alcohol it is likely to reduce consumption. We don't need to make it easier to drink we need to make it harder. Somebody has to be bold and take the first step as they did with reducing smoking years ago.

Council gets only one chance to show the country that it can produce a stunning new community with limits to buying those things that affect our children and families the most. That also means cigarettes, vaps and alcohol. Rainbow Point dairy is becoming a one stop shop for all those products.

I ask the council to formulating a Local Alcohol Plan for the whole community that sets the parameters for council staff and prospective shop owners. The plan must include submissions from the whole community including Iwi and be publicly heard. There will be lots of objections because alcohol is profitable but profit does not pay for services to treat people after effects of alcohol.

A LAP is paramount to avoid constant challenges to licenses and gives the district a clear parameters and direction.

A couple of years ago in consultation with council our community were able to secure an awesome playground on Raupo reserve for younger children.

Local children were the instigator of this park, asking for meetings and choosing the plan. Now they have come to me to help them get an activity for young teenagers. They have all grown up.

At a community event in February this year attended by some 90 locals the attached survey was done and you will see that the majority being parents want a basketball hoop or soccer net on the Raupo Reserve. One young person also wrote to me and I have included his letter.

To be in a community where children can play locally and crime is reduced means the people in the community watch out for their neighbours. The people who live around the park know the local children and there has never been any trouble. Our local children take pride in their park and let out of area children know how to behave when on their patch.

Children who play close to home are less likely to get into trouble and are less influenced by older teens.

Raupo reserve is a popular place – there is never a day rain or shine it is not used. The teenager community needs something on the park for them so they don't have to cross Lake Terrace or go out of the area to meet their friends and play.

Please seriously consider the needs of these children and put in at least a hoop and mat area in Raupo reserve.

I have lived in Nga Roto Estate for 5 years.

5 years ago, there was a liquor store at Richmond Heights shops and a few bottles of wine and beer sold at Rainbow Point Dairy.

Within the last 2 years Kokomea area has Woolworths selling alcohol and last year a license given to Liquorland to sell alcohol. Rainbow point dairy has gone from a few bottles on the shelf to about 5 cabinets plus shelves of alcohol being sold. And is applying for a license.

The liquor licensing inspections are about the standards in the shop being met not about the influence of all these alcohol outlets in our communities and the effect on these people.

At every shopping area in Taupo there are multiple places to buy alcohol. Why is it necessary to have alcohol sold at every shopping Centre. Is there so much money to be made selling alcohol? If that is the case then who considers what is that doing to our communities.

I note Paetiki has licensing restrictions, as does Rifle Range Road plus Liquorland at Kokomea. But Woolworths was exempt. Obviously, the risk of a challenge in the court was too high to impose any restrictions or big corporates have other rules. Whatever way you look at it the result was not conducive to protecting our community.

I have objected to the proliferation of alcohol stores in our area based on the effects on our community. 40% of hospital admissions in Taupo are influenced by alcohol. Only the police will be able to tell you about the effects of alcohol in crime statistics and the health authority about the effects on our children and young people. Plus, the family harm associated with alcohol.

Taupo has more alcohol outlets per head of population than anywhere else in NZ. We should be ashamed of that.

Kokomea is a fast-growing area and we are soon to have significant amount of social housing being built which is so needed in Taupo. We have an obligation to provide a safe community for these people. The Kokomea area has been thoughtfully planned and is stunning with its trees and green spaces. Please don't destroy it by having shops that bring drunk people to the area and shops that get raided and need shutters. Keep our parks and reserves clear of bottles and cans and free of drunks. Keep them a safe place for recreation.

Buying alcohol on your door step does not lend itself to protecting families, children and reducing crime. If people have to travel into town to buy alcohol it is likely to reduce consumption. We don't need to make it easier to drink we need to make it harder. Somebody has to be bold and take the first step as they did with reducing smoking years ago.

Council gets only one chance to show the country that it can produce a stunning new community with limits to buying those things that affect our children and families the most. That also means cigarettes, vaps and alcohol. Rainbow Point dairy is becoming a one stop shop for all those products.

I ask the council to formulating a Local Alcohol Plan for the whole community that sets the parameters for council staff and prospective shop owners. The plan must include submissions from the whole community including Iwi and be publicly heard. There will be lots of objections because alcohol is profitable but profit does not pay for services to treat people after effects of alcohol.

A LAP is paramount to avoid constant challenges to licenses and gives the district a clear parameters and direction.

Submission June 2024 Pukemanu Redevelopment

I live in Nga roto area. There has been regular discussion in the area for facilities for young teens.

I have been approached by a local to put forward a submission for a facility on the area of Pukemanu Road for some kind of skate park, scooter park for children who are of an age that they do not need to be supervised. One parent commented to me that she has to take her children across town to enjoy the skate park and other facilities.

The area in question is far from houses so as not to cause a nuisance and with the tunnels under Lake Terrace it opens up the area to a large number of people. The more people in the area the less likelihood of crime.

The contour of the area lends itself to an exciting park suitable for bikes, scooters and skate boards. There should be public submission as to the facility being proposed.

It is not possible to have such a facility at Wharewaka point and it is too far for teens to travel on their own from Richmond Heights, Kokomea and Nga Roto.

I appreciate the sentiments of the locals at Pukemanu but they are only one part of the community.

Keeping children closer to their homes reduces crime because too many people know them.

To see this area developed soon would be a great asset to this growing area and bring both sides of Lake Terrace into one community.

Submission to Taupo Council June 2024 for additional playground equipment on Raupo Reserve.

A couple of years ago in consultation with council our community were able to secure an awesome playground on Raupo reserve for younger children.

Local children were the instigator of this park, asking for meetings and choosing the plan. Now they have come to me to help them get an activity for young teenagers. They have all grown up.

At a community event in February this year attended by some 90 locals the attached survey was done and you will see that the majority being parents want a basketball hoop or soccer net on the Raupo Reserve. One young person also wrote to me and I have included his letter.

To be in a community where children can play locally and crime is reduced means the people in the community watch out for their neighbours. The people who live around the park know the local children and there has never been any trouble. Our local children take pride in their park and let out of area children know how to behave when on their patch.

Children who play close to home are less likely to get into trouble and are less influenced by older teens.

Raupo reserve is a popular place – there is never a day rain or shine it is not used. The teenager community needs something on the park for them so they don't have to cross Lake Terrace or go out of the area to meet their friends and play.

Please seriously consider the needs of these children and put in at least a hoop and mat area in Raupo reserve.

see attachment

Submission for Local Alcohol Plan for Taupo District June 2024

I have lived in Nga Roto Estate for 5 years.

5 years ago, there was a liquor store at Richmond Heights shops and a few bottles of wine and beer sold at Rainbow Point Dairy.

Within the last 2 years Kokomea area has Woolworths selling alcohol and last year a license given to Liquorland to sell alcohol. Rainbow point dairy has gone from a few bottles on the shelf to about 5 cabinets plus shelves of alcohol being sold. And is applying for a license.

The liquor licensing inspections are about the standards in the shop being met not about the influence of all these alcohol outlets in our communities and the effect on these people.

At every shopping area in Taupo there are multiple places to buy alcohol. Why is it necessary to have alcohol sold at every shopping Centre. Is there so much money to be made selling alcohol? If that is the case then who considers what is that doing to our communities.

I note Paetiki has licensing restrictions, as does Rifle Range Road plus Liquorland at Kokomea. But Woolworths was exempt. Obviously, the risk of a challenge in the court was too high to impose any restrictions or big corporates have other rules. Whatever way you look at it the result was not conducive to protecting our community.

I have objected to the proliferation of alcohol stores in our area based on the effects on our community. 40% of hospital admissions in Taupo are influenced by alcohol. Only the police will be able to tell you about the effects of alcohol in crime statistics and the health authority about the effects on our children and young people. Plus, the family harm associated with alcohol.

Taupo has more alcohol outlets per head of population than anywhere else in NZ. We should be ashamed of that.

Kokomea is a fast-growing area and we are soon to have significant amount of social housing being built which is so needed in Taupo. We have an obligation to provide a safe community for these people. The Kokomea area has been thoughtfully planned and is stunning with its trees and green spaces. Please don't destroy it by having shops that bring drunk people to the area and shops that get raided and need shutters. Keep our parks and reserves clear of bottles and cans and free of drunks. Keep them a safe place for recreation.

Buying alcohol on your door step does not lend itself to protecting families, children and reducing crime. If people have to travel into town to buy alcohol it is likely to reduce consumption. We don't need to make it easier to drink we need to make it harder. Somebody has to be bold and take the first step as they did with reducing smoking years ago.

Council gets only one chance to show the country that it can produce a stunning new community with limits to buying those things that affect our children and families the most. That also means cigarettes, vaps and alcohol. Rainbow Point dairy is becoming a one stop shop for all those products.

I ask the council to formulating a Local Alcohol Plan for the whole community that sets the parameters for council staff and prospective shop owners. The plan must include submissions from the whole community including Iwi and be publicly heard. There will be lots of objections because alcohol is profitable but profit does not pay for services to treat people after effects of alcohol.

A LAP is paramount to avoid constant challenges to licenses and gives the district a clear parameters and direction.

A couple of years ago in consultation with council our community were able to secure an awesome playground on Raupo reserve for younger children.

Local children were the instigator of this park, asking for meetings and choosing the plan. Now they have come to me to help them get an activity for young teenagers. They have all grown up.

At a community event in February this year attended by some 90 locals the attached survey was done and you will see that the majority being parents want a basketball hoop or soccer net on the Raupo Reserve. One young person also wrote to me and I have included his letter.

To be in a community where children can play locally and crime is reduced means the people in the community watch out for their neighbours. The people who live around the park know the local children and there has never been any trouble. Our local children take pride in their park and let out of area children know how to behave when on their patch.

Children who play close to home are less likely to get into trouble and are less influenced by older teens.

Raupo reserve is a popular place – there is never a day rain or shine it is not used. The teenager community needs something on the park for them so they don't have to cross Lake Terrace or go out of the area to meet their friends and play.

Please seriously consider the needs of these children and put in at least a hoop and mat area in Raupo reserve.

I have lived in Nga Roto Estate for 5 years.

5 years ago, there was a liquor store at Richmond Heights shops and a few bottles of wine and beer sold at Rainbow Point Dairy.

Within the last 2 years Kokomea area has Woolworths selling alcohol and last year a license given to Liquorland to sell alcohol. Rainbow point dairy has gone from a few bottles on the shelf to about 5 cabinets plus shelves of alcohol being sold. And is applying for a license.

The liquor licensing inspections are about the standards in the shop being met not about the influence of all these alcohol outlets in our communities and the effect on these people.

At every shopping area in Taupo there are multiple places to buy alcohol. Why is it necessary to have alcohol sold at every shopping Centre. Is there so much money to be made selling alcohol? If that is the case then who considers what is that doing to our communities.

I note Paetiki has licensing restrictions, as does Rifle Range Road plus Liquorland at Kokomea. But Woolworths was exempt. Obviously, the risk of a challenge in the court was too high to impose any restrictions or big corporates have other rules. Whatever way you look at it the result was not conducive to protecting our community.

I have objected to the proliferation of alcohol stores in our area based on the effects on our community. 40% of hospital admissions in Taupo are influenced by alcohol. Only the police will be able to tell you about the effects of alcohol in crime statistics and the health authority about the effects on our children and young people. Plus, the family harm associated with alcohol.

Taupo has more alcohol outlets per head of population than anywhere else in NZ. We should be ashamed of that.

Kokomea is a fast-growing area and we are soon to have significant amount of social housing being built which is so needed in Taupo. We have an obligation to provide a safe community for these people. The Kokomea area has been thoughtfully planned and is stunning with its trees and green spaces. Please don't destroy it by having shops that bring drunk people to the area and shops that get raided and need shutters. Keep our parks and reserves clear of bottles and cans and free of drunks. Keep them a safe place for recreation.

Buying alcohol on your door step does not lend itself to protecting families, children and reducing crime. If people have to travel into town to buy alcohol it is likely to reduce consumption. We don't need to make it easier to drink we need to make it harder. Somebody has to be bold and take the first step as they did with reducing smoking years ago.

Council gets only one chance to show the country that it can produce a stunning new community with limits to buying those things that affect our children and families the most. That also means cigarettes, vaps and alcohol. Rainbow Point dairy is becoming a one stop shop for all those products.

I ask the council to formulating a Local Alcohol Plan for the whole community that sets the parameters for council staff and prospective shop owners. The plan must include submissions from the whole community including Iwi and be publicly heard. There will be lots of objections because alcohol is profitable but profit does not pay for services to treat people after effects of alcohol.

A LAP is paramount to avoid constant challenges to licenses and gives the district a clear parameters and direction.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Nga Roto & Kokomea Neighbourhood Views on next development of Raupo Reserve

Tick One

Name	Adult(A) or Child(C)	Address	Tick One		
			Basketball Hoop	Soccer Goal	Neither
Jacqueline Trisett	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Marie Baird	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Denise Fleming	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Travis Cameron	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Chris Searle	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Emily Seone	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Envi Lewis	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Nicky & Todd	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Belinda & Steve	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Smmriti & Akshay	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Scott & Kristy	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Michaela & Nigel	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Ayia-lee Boyd	C		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Nigel Michels	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Debbie Fillery	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

Nga Roto & Kokomea Neighbourhood Views on next development of Raupo Reserve

Tick One

Name	Adult(A) or Child(C)	Address	Tick One		
			Basketball Hoop	Soccer Goal	Neither
Zenda Johns	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Matt Johns	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Mike Smith	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Anna Smith	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Sam Dick	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Georgie McPherson	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Cass McPherson	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Tatiana Carter	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Kim News	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Toni Buchanan	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Chloe Schrader	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Alistair News	A & C		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Melinda Hume	A & C		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Samie Lydiard	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Leigh Anderson	A		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

shades

I would really enjoy and love to
 have a basketball court at Raupo Park
 from Serj Cussey [REDACTED]

I would really like

a basketball hoop

at Raupo Park
 Serj Cussey

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 17/06/2024

First name: Dee

Last name: Jones

Organisation:

On behalf of Nga Rau e Rima Inc

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

We want to stay with the status quo in our area.

We have a very long driveway that houses nine properties, with the majority of owners elderly. It will be impossible to cart wheelie bins to the gate over a shingle road access. Some people live nearly 500m from the roadside. Currently residents take their rubbish and recycling to the roadside in their car boot, and this is clearly not feasible with wheelie bins.

There is not adequate room on State Highway 41 for 9 wheelie bins and it poses safety issues with cars speeding passed at 100km/hr.

Omori has approximately 90% holiday homes , as stated in the LTP.

In our area, holiday residents often leave their bins at the gate and rely on permanent residents to return them to their respective properties, which is not an option, especially with Wheelie bins. They will be left outside the gate on SH41 and be a hazard to not only the residents, but also the highway traffic, particularly if the bins end up in the middle of the road due to weather or vandalism.

Holiday residents will probably not have enough waste to fill the bins, which could lead to festering rubbish inside the bins and attract vermin.

Extra costs will be incurred with implementing the wheelie bin option in terms of individual costs within the rates, as well as council debt to service this proposal.

In other districts in New Zealand, curbside waste removal and recycling is undertaken by private contractors, acting for any rate payer who wishes to use the service. This should have been one of your options, because it provides for competitive pricing and need not be ratepayer funded.

It is clear that in the Western Bays, the wheelie bin system you are proposing will not fulfill the needs of a large number of homes because the majority are holiday homes and will have infrequent use of the service. Residents can easily make their own arrangements for rubbish/recycling removal.

It would be appropriate to retain the current system in the Western Bays areas.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 17/06/2024

First name: Raewyn

Last name: Beattie

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I totally understand the concept for an urban area - BUT for the smaller satellite areas such as Pukawa, Omori, Kuratau and Whareroa this is an unacceptable solution especially for those small number of permanent people. Unfortunately educating "renters" does not work easily - they don't even get the concept of removing bottle caps from recycling which is supposedly a Nation wide system.

Can you imagine your street being littered because the bin has gone out on the wrong week and we have had wind which has tipped the bin over? Whose responsibility is it to clean up the mess? Can you imagine a bin being put out on the wrong week and a concerned local puts in back into the section but then it sits there until the next renter comes along - vermin heaven!

To me what might be the best alternative is that we have NO collection here and we have maybe another day of our refuse station being open (Monday) as well as our Wednesday, Saturday and Sunday.

1.7 Housing
Agree

1.8 Development Contributions
No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding
No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

As a volunteer over a few organization's I struggle to keep up with all the changes not only local body but also what our punters want/need. I find it difficult to see how TDC can employ people in funding fields and then ask volunteers to complete copious amounts of paper work in their volunteer time. Which takes away from working in the organization, that we are passionate about, and we are often people that are also working full time as well. Perhaps a better fit would be for TDC employees to visit the organization concerned and actually do the paper filling in conjunction with the group.

1.9.1 Community Q2
No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan
No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Recycling is an issue! and needs to be addressed. I would ask why manufacturers are not being made responsible for their waste?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 17/06/2024

First name: Malcolm

Last name: Bell

Organisation:

On behalf of Mission Point Campers Association

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Eastern bays (Hātepe, Motutere, Motuoapa, Tauranga-Taupō)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

The Association leases land from the Waitoko Point Trust at 489 SH1, Tauranga Taupo, for a private camping ground. We have been at the site since the late 1940s. Both options will cause problems for us and holiday homeowners. Many of us are at our camp/baches for short periods (long weekends), with our current collection day being Tuesday. Bins could be left out for months between visits. Mission Point is a private camping ground with no centralized rubbish collection point, nor is anybody permanently on-site. Each of the 34 members is responsible for their rubbish disposal and recycling. This could potentially mean 34 bins on the roadside in the busy months.

A member has already submitted on this matter. Rod Crone has suggested a hybrid model with wheelie bins available to all, but with the option of bag collection if people put their rubbish out before going home and won't be around to bring the bin in. Each member would continue to buy the bags. The Association supports Rod's suggested solution.

In addition, having small food waste bins is impractical. I have experienced this failed provision in Auckland, where the little bins are blown about, spewing their smelly content. They have become a laughing stock. God knows what would happen to them in a strong wind along SH1.

I appreciate your consideration. I am happy to talk to somebody about this.

Attached Documents

Link	File
------	------

No records to display.

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 17/06/2024

First name: Brian

Last name: Henry

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

As I long term bach owner in Kuratau I definitely want the present bag and recycle bin collection to continue. There are far more bach owners in Kuratau than permanent residents and to supply bins to all householders would be a huge waste of money. The bag system works well and this area should be treated as a rural district when assessing the rubbish collection for this area.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 17/06/2024

First name: Inez

Last name: Vlaar

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Tūrangi

1.2 Next 10 Years
Do more

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

I am in favour of your assistance to improve the accessibility of affordable housing.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Agree

1.7 Housing
Strongly Agree

1.7.4 Strongly Agree

I believe that almost a quarter of the population are single dwellers, should they have to save for a family dwelling when something smaller would suffice. Most of the permits nation-wide are for three or more bedroom homes. It seems a waste of resources to me.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan
Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 17/06/2024

First name: Roger

Last name: Webb

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Tūrangi

1.2 Next 10 Years
Do more

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific) **Up grade of Turangi Town Center**

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Strongly Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Agree

1.7 Housing
Strongly Disagree

1.8 Development Contributions
No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding
No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2
No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 17/06/2024

First name: Hayley

Last name: Brown

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Tūrangi

1.2 Next 10 Years
Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific) less spending on Taupo statues and decorations.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

There's nothing wrong with our current bin collection. It's simple and fair. The food waste collection will be greatly appreciated.

1.7 Housing
Agree

1.8 Development Contributions
Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding
Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

1.11 Any other feedback?

Please consider the knocking down and rebuilding of Turangi Town Centre.

The SH1 also needs a bypass from Taupo to Turangi.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 17/06/2024

First name: Kath

Last name: Foot

Organisation:

On behalf of Brendan & Kathryn Foot

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

We wish to register our very strong disagreement with the wheelie bin plan for Pukawa Bay.

We have owned XXXXXX Pukawa Bay for 41 years. We are most alarmed at the plan to introduce wheelie bins at the expense of the current system. We occupy our property in short stays for a total maximum of 4 weeks a year. We would be lucky to be able to use a wheelie bin on 1 occasion as we would not be there to retrieve and store the bin. This would also apply to recycling bins. Food waste bins would never be used.

It is most alarming to think of the dozens of bins, left kerbside by irresponsible property occupiers) blowing in the wind and polluting the visual environment in such a special area where environment has a special place. I would also be very worried about the food and recycling left around properties inviting pests such as rats, mice, opossums etc to become seriously established. I imagine the wonderful residents who attend and manage the traps in this area will be totally devastated.

I would also seriously question the financial justification for the cost involved in changing to this system.

The majority of houses in the Bay are holiday homes.

We trust that you will reconsider the proposed system for areas like ours that are majority holiday homes.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 17/06/2024

First name: Karen

Last name: Hartley

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree
Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I'd rather pay as I go to try keep our rates reasonable

Attached Documents

Link	File
------	------

No records to display.

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 17/06/2024

First name: Somsong

Last name: Sudsa-ard

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Tūrangi

1.2 Next 10 Years
Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue? **We prefer the bag.**

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 17/06/2024

First name: Judi

Last name: McGreevy

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Disagree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree
Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

we like the idea of the bins, however it does not suit our budget, it is cheaper for us to buy fibre bags every 2 or more weeks and around three stickers a fortnight as we don't put a bag pit each week, with the bins our raves will go up again, not good for our budget!

1.7 Housing
Agree

1.7.3 Agree

yes they need to be sold to locals and be really affordable for first home buyers

1.8 Development Contributions
Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

not sure how it works now but i understand it is expensive to subdivide! Some agree with developers at a

reasonable cost

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

1.11 Any other feedback?

please listen to the people, also re dog rego, in Australia pensioners do not have to pay , our place is fenced and people can knock on our window to get our attention so they do not have to come through the gate where our friendly dog is, the power metre is not on our property and still we cant get special owner fee, thanks for all you do any way

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 17/06/2024

First name: Gerald

Last name: Grenier

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

Yes

Additional requirements for hearing:

Feedback

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

As Council knows Kuratau, Omori, Pukawa, and Whareroa are predominately vacation homes and there are serious issues at present with renters leaving rubbish behind. The proposal does little to address this problem. The proposal will flood the villages with bins, and the landowner will not be a local and numerous bins will be left wherever. As it is now we few permanent residents weekly on our walks retrieve recycling boxes and place them in the confines of the nearest property.

Council records will indicate in our Kuratau neighborhood, there are only 2 full time residents on Taringa Avenue at 35 and 39. This is common on most streets in the village. Taringa is a Cul de sac and 35 as well as 39 are part of a 9 property private Cul de sac at the end of the Avenue. All rubbish on our Private Way is collected at the foot or beginning of the ROW. In summer we often have all 9 owners present plus guests. There is no room for 9 bins, never mind the possible 36 bins to be placed at the beginning of the ROW. These 36, plus another 9 properties at the head of Cul de sac with potentially being 36 more bins, equates to a maximum summer potential of 72 bins. The diameter of the head of the Taringa Cul de sac is approximately that of a 15 meters circle.

You can picture the sight of 72 bins placed around this circle leaving open 10 driveways and a 5 meter ROW access road when a 7 to 9 meter truck attempts to empty these. The picture will be an unsightly mess and chaos.

By my count there will also be another potentially 18 homes on Taringa where the owners may not be the occupiers on any given week. Even at present with the bag system, we residents of Taringa need to have conversations with the occupiers throughout the year about the occupants leaving rubbish behind on non collection days, and the problem with their unleashed dogs razing havoc.

The bag system is far from perfect, but the bin system does not suit a predominant holiday village. More liberal and lower costs policies whereby the residents and occupiers could easily deliver their waste to skips located

at the gates to the local rubbish transfer station should be considered, with a security camera to monitor. Additionally, the owners renting their Bach should be responsible for rubbish left behind.

With all the rental properties there is bound to be issues with bins being stolen, and replacement policy and costs has not been stated in this proposal.

Gerry Grenier

35 Taringa Ave

Kuratau

1.7 Housing Disagree

1.7.1 Disagree Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Council is admitting that both scenarios as described are ' HALF-BAKED'.

It is best to leave building of homes , low cost or otherwise, to the market and professionals. Council can make homes more affordable by simplifying the process, thus reducing time and costs, and thereby making low cost home development more affordable. Working with central government and Local Authority agency consultation is key here. Resource Consent process and Building Permit costs and regulations SIMPLIFICATION should be at the TOP OF PRIORITY LIST.

Selling some land to open up the market is a good idea, and can help to lower costs. Selecting which land is most suitable and when needs to be considered via a cost benefits analysis, as well as an impact analysis. At that time I could and would support this land sale process to the open market.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 17/06/2024

First name: Jeffrey

Last name: Richter

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.7 Housing
Strongly Disagree

1.7.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.9 Community Funding
Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

1.11 Any other feedback?

Abolish the Maori wards. These should be abolished as there is ample representation for all ethnicities in a democratic system without the need for additional representation based on race. Our central government is legislating for compulsory referendum, and these should be held if Council is determined to pursue the Maori wards.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 17/06/2024

First name: Dave

Last name: Jones

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.7 Housing
Strongly Disagree

1.7.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 17/06/2024

First name: Jessica

Last name: Moody

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Wairakei

1.2 Next 10 Years
Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

I believe bins are not needed. Our household only puts 1 bag out every fortnight. And we have we have a good compost system aswel. So the increase increase in rates to pay for these new bins is unreasonable. We don't dump rubbish enough to be charged for it.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree
Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

as said before, I believe some households wouldn't benefit from the rates increase as many don't consume as much

1.7 Housing
Agree

1.8 Development Contributions
No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 17/06/2024

First name: David

Last name: Briscoe

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Disagree

1.5.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.7 Housing

Strongly Disagree

1.7.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 17/06/2024

First name: James

Last name: Talbot

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Other

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree
Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I object to the plan to introduce wheelie bin waste collection for our holiday house in Pukawa.

We occupy our holiday house a total of around six to eight weeks a year. We occasionally put out a stickered bag for collection when leaving our property on a Sunday, otherwise we visit the Omori Transfer station with our recycling and landfill waste when required. All our other recycling and landfill rubbish is taken home with us to Tauranga when we leave. We have bin-collected waste in Tauranga, and we add our Pukawa waste to this. We strongly feel we should not have to pay for a second waste bin service when we can only occupy one residence at a time.

Our property is 100m up a steep driveway from Pukawa road. I am approaching my 80s, and it would be impossible for me to manoeuvre a laden bin down the driveway safely.

There are five other properties further up the drive from us, and their difficulties would be greater.

Wheelie bins would not be able to be retrieved from the bottom of the drive after we return home. If collections of empty bins from the six properties on our drive were left at the bottom of the drive on Pukawa road as would very likely happen, this would be unsightly and would provide a de-facto dumping ground for fly-tipped rubbish. It would also be a traffic hazard, as they could easily be blown over and across the road in the wind.

While I understand the few permanent residents in Pukawa might support the bin waste proposal, the vast majority of properties in Pukawa are only visited during long weekends and seasonal holidays.

If wheelie bins are provided for our property they would not be used, and we would be paying the extra rates levy for no service.

The Council will save money by not supplying bins to those that will not use the service. Contractor's collection time for the few that require it in Pukawa will be optimised.

We must have an option to opt-out of the bin waste proposal.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 18/06/2024

First name: Leah

Last name: Alea

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)
street lights rubbish bin is better and plaatic waste bottle machines

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Strongly Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Strongly Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Agree

1.7 Housing
Strongly Agree

1.7.4 Strongly Agree
hopefully as a lower class gamily we dream of having our own home that we can afford hopefully this will be in track soon

1.8 Development Contributions
Yes
Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding
Yes
Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 18/06/2024

First name: Julie

Last name: Yeoman

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.5.4 Agree

The steering group is an exciting and powerful tool to a truly meaningful and respectful solution. Keep up the great work!

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I cannot believe you are STILL classing Bonshaw Park as rural and outside the collection area. This is entirely disappointing and frustrating. You are completely ignoring the issue and defaulting to the status quo, with no regard to the ratepaying residents that live 5mins from the ever increasing ETA service area. We are located closer to the rubbish dump than Acacia Bay and areas you currently service. This is head in the sand material TDC. You are taking the easy route out. COMPLETELY DISAPPOINTING!! I have already fed back on this issue and you have completely ignored it.

1.7 Housing
Strongly Agree

1.7.4 Strongly Agree

I find it hard to believe the alternative is an option given the first one has been on the front page of the paper!

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Reduction of use of single use coffee cups and other items: Single use coffee cups and other single-use takeaway packaging make up a large portion of the contamination in our street recycling bins and also a large proportion of the waste volume in our street litter bins. Council would like to support the reduction of single use items being landfilled.

Per above, be VERY CAREFUL not to negatively impact our struggling retail sector by imposing another cost of compliance that could negatively impact their trade and thus business viability. We are a tourist town - I cannot see how you can ask tourists to carry cups and containers. The concept is idealistic, do not make it cost our town's economy further!

1.11 Any other feedback?

Please open your eyes to rubbish collection in the Bonshaw Park area. You are supplying us town water, so why not town rubbish collection?

Will you meet the already twice/thrice extended deadline of town water connection by July 2024, ie next month?

Please continue to protect our precious waterways.

Please work with government to keep our feeder/exit roads safe and practicable for the important network of trucks moving our economy.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 18/06/2024

First name: Christine

Last name: Harnett

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Kinloch

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Alternative Option more suitable for Kinloch area as there are a lot of holiday homes.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 18/06/2024

First name: Stephen

Last name: Beddow

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Strongly Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

pay as you throw weekly service should be adequate.

food waste breaks down massively so dont believe it is contributing to volume significantly. user pays should be prioritised over council funded

1.7 Housing

Disagree

1.7.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.
cut back funding and focus on core deliveries and infrastructure

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

1.11 Any other feedback?

we are bach owners in kuratau who dont live permanently but contribute full rates to the region. no issue paying rates but an increase to cover rubbish and recycling management is weighted heavily in favour of permanents as the system will be used only for a couple of months max a year but we would be paying whether we have rubbish or recycling whether it goes out or not. stick to user pays

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 18/06/2024

First name: Victoria

Last name: Carruthers

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.7 Housing
Agree

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan
Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 18/06/2024

First name: Wendy

Last name: Eagle

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Disagree

1.5.1 Disagree
Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Agree

1.6.4 Agree

i think the food waste bin shouldn't be included as a number of household have compost bins

1.7 Housing
Disagree

1.7.1 Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.9 Community Funding
No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

1.11 Any other feedback?

maybe time to not waste \$\$ on dinosaurs and art along the lake front, dhen the best asset is the view there already.

consider removing oak tree leaves in autumn snd winter on lake tce do they are not blocking drains and residents bins

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 18/06/2024

First name: James

Last name: Arnold

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Do more

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Not Spend more, do more smartly by focusing on cutting the fat out and prioritize those items that really matter.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Disagree

1.4.1 Disagree
Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Strongly Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Agree

1.7 Housing
Agree

1.7.3 Agree

We don't need more stand-a-lone homes. Build mixed medium density housing that is affordable to entry level home buyer. Cut the consent red tape on transportable or modular homes to increase completion rates per month and reduce consenting issues.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan
Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

1.11 Any other feedback?

Council is completely off the mark in not having a second bridge across the river highlighted in item 3 next ten year plan. The bridge should be Item 4 as success of items 5 thru 8, only increase the need for the second bridge. Future growth north of the bridge is hamstrung and everyone will suffer until the bridge is built. The bridge needs fast tracking to be built in the next 3-4 years, not "something we are thinking about". Plans and awareness of a second bridge have been floating around Council and the community long enough that action is required. It is not just about commuter traffic into town. It is about quality of life for all of Taupo and visitors that come from the north. The bottleneck of one bridge has roll-on effects thru all of town and any further delay only ramps up the pressure and urgency for a solution.

The bridge issue is nothing new and Council has been weak in handling the demand with 3year thinking prevailing, ie. too hard basket, leave for the next Council...

Quick Google search show we have been waiting for a bridge for some time...

Where's Taupo's second bridge? NZ Herald 6 April 2017

Bridging expectations in Taupo - but don't hold your breath. Stuff 20 September 2021.

With a bit more digging I can find evidence back to 2005 when I first moved here.

So, stopping passing the buck and GET IT DONE.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 18/06/2024

First name: Heather

Last name: Purvis

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Refuse collection, leave it the way it is with the bags, do not put more onto the ratepayers by making them buy bins etc. keep the rates as low as possible.

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

leave things as they are at the moment, things are tight enough for households without council putting more onto the rates with a plan that would cost more, and the bags are okay at the moment and suit more households.

1.7 Housing
Strongly Disagree

1.7.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 18/06/2024

First name: erin

Last name: hedges

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Eastern bays (Hātepe, Motutere, Motuoapa, Tauranga-Taupō)

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Councils need to make cut backs like every other organisation, perhaps a review of staff, vehicles and internal services needs to be reviewed and economised, it seems like councils are the only organisations expanding their services

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Living in Hatepe, where the residents are not always permanent and don't have need of food scrap bins, it is unviable and should not be included as an additional cost, Auckland has now scrapped these bins as they blow away and create mess, imagine the local wildlife accessing this rubbish spilt all over the street. The wild pigs are already **marauding** throughout the township and this will not improve it. Look at other councils who have already ditched this service. Complete waste of money and will need more committed resources to clean up the mess. Recycle is a good idea and additionally so is the green waste in this area. An alternative might be to look at 3 bins (rubbish, green, recycle), with tags purchased for when they are put out, why pay for a service that is not going to be used weekly and why waste staff wages etc

1.7 Housing

Disagree

1.7.1 Disagree

Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.8 Development Contributions

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 18/06/2024

First name: Nick

Last name: Weir

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Do more

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)
more crossing by the river would be nice more around the CBD

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Strongly Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Strongly Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Agree

1.6.3 Strongly Agree
240lt bin for rubbish would good idea and the recycling pick up weekly would be good idea

1.7 Housing
Strongly Agree

1.7.4 Strongly Agree
more housing building would good as Taupo grows with more people

1.8 Development Contributions
Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding
Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

1.11 Any other feedback?

keep the Taupo looking good for visitors and find way to improve to the place more

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 18/06/2024

First name: Eilish

Last name: Pearce

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree
Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.7 Housing
Disagree

1.7.1 Disagree
Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

There's a rental crisis. The town needs help with rental homes. Whether low income or high income, people are struggling to find rentals. That's a far bigger issue than home ownership. If they were to be rented out then absolutely. Otherwise I don't think it's addressing the bigger issue there is in the community.

1.8 Development Contributions
Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

1.11 Any other feedback?

Strongly disagree with raising costs at the gym/pools etc. I personally would cancel my membership if that's the case. Money is a stretch as it is. An the gym equipment is not exactly top quality or maintained. For that big price increase the equipment needs to be updated. I'd rather pay that cost at a newer facility.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 18/06/2024

First name: Kim

Last name: Calkin

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 18/06/2024

First name: Margaret

Last name: Minogue

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

bag it..I live on my own and have a budget.I can not afford extra on my rates..I would be paying for other family's to use a bin. I would not be able to fill a bin..why should I pay for other people...by having a bag each person pays for what they use.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Disagree

1.4.1 Disagree
Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue? no

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree
Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I would like to bag it..I don't see why I have to add extra on my rates..user pays when we have bags.

1.7 Housing
Agree

1.8 Development Contributions

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 18/06/2024

First name: Deb

Last name: user

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Keep our buildings and infrastructure maintained, continue to provide services. Increase developers contributions.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Strongly Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Agree

1.6.3 Strongly Agree
Long overdue

1.7 Housing
Agree

1.8 Development Contributions
Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding
Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

1.11 Any other feedback?

Introduce harder laws around unlicensed, un neutered dogs and cats, restrict,/ban cats in new developments, animals to be neutered microchipped etc.

Invite those vocal people on social media to take part in an LTP session, committee and council meetings.

Double check your figures

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 18/06/2024

First name: Linda

Last name: Niederberger

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Tūrangi

1.2 Next 10 Years
Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific) More focus on Turangi improvements

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I'd like to stick with bags as my household makes a effort to reduce our waste. We currently put out rubbish out no more than once a month. I don't want a rate increase of hundreds of dollars because we don't want/need this service. We'd rather reduce our waste.

1.7 Housing
Agree

1.8 Development Contributions
Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding
No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

I think lumping sports and arts etc all together is not a fair way to distribute funds, and who are the decision makers, will they be savvy with the particular needs of each funding group type? Could show favouritism to particular one, so I'd prefer them separated out.

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

Again, i think sports and arts etc need to be separated out, so that there is fair division of funding.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 18/06/2024

First name: Brady

Last name: Dyer

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Tūrangi

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Agree

1.6.4 Agree

Owners of holiday homes may not be present to put their bins out for collection or bring them back in, leading to **bins left unattended** on the street, which can become an **eyesore** and a target for **tampering or theft**. Regularly placing bins outside signals potential **burglars** that a property is unoccupied, increasing the risk of **break-ins**. Additionally, during periods of non-occupancy, **waste, particularly food waste, can accumulate**, causing **odours** and attracting **pests**, creating **health hazards** and necessitating additional **cleaning efforts** by the property owners upon their return.

Not too worried about the extra cost, you pay for the privilege - and **happy to pay** for the 10mo of the year we're not there, it's part of being a good neighbour. But the concerns above do need to be addressed.

Would the weekly service, moving to twice weekly over peak be **all the bins every week?** At the moment when we leave, we take our recycling & rubbish bags to the waste depot in Turangi. **We don't use the current kerbside collection as we are only there on weekends**, so never there when things are collected

1.8 Development Contributions
Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?
Could go higher - make the growth cover their fair share

1.9 Community Funding
Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.11 Any other feedback?

Just remember how many of your ratepayers are holiday home owners - not to keep rates low for them, but just in terms of the logistics for changes to services, such as Bins vs Bags. We don't mind paying. But for most holiday houses they're paying for a service during the year they don't use, and then over summer do use - so maybe an extra service for holiday homes could be the bins put back on the property once emptied? the extra rates collected for the rest of the year would more than cover the cost of this - If moved to a weekly service, we would use it 3 or 4 times a whole year - and we wouldn't be at the property when the bins were emptied, and could be months again till we're back up next.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 18/06/2024

First name: Nicole

Last name: Donaldson

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Tūrangi

1.2 Next 10 Years
Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

a bit of an awkward question tbh

We just want you to deliver what you promised 4 years ago in the last draw up

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree
Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

we know thongs need to change but as a household that only fills one yellow bag every 3 to 4 weeks your wheelie bin solution is just not working. We would pay more, considerably, to continue to do our bit for tge environment AND subsidizing those who do the bare minimum.

Bring in the food waste container and maybe make your rubbish collection byweekly and find a solution that supports those who either live by themselves (often pensioners that live on a small budget anyway) and those who are already recycling and cutting rubbish.

In Havelock North they are doing the bins but with a barcode on the bin and it gets scanned the day it gets

collected so everyone Pays for what they use. That is the best option

1.7 Housing
Strongly Agree

1.7.4 Strongly Agree
Great!

1.8 Development Contributions
Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding
Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

under tge understanding that all areas of the district have a reps that sits on the decision making board.

1.9.1 Community Q2
Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan
Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

How is TDC tackling the industrial waste that makes the highest percentage of the waste going into the landfill?

Additionally, with all the building that hs happening in the rohe how are we tackling the bullildung waste that is the second biggest waste according to your own information

1.11 Any other feedback?

None of these question let us discuss what you are planning in Turangi or not for that matter....

what happened to our events centre? We have been working hard towards getting this done over the last 5 years just to have it pushed out again?

I understand our town centre has issues but there are quite a few owners there who gave no interest in upgrading or even maintaining their buildings? Will they contribute to whatever you have planned there? And what will the \$150000 actually get Turangi town centre?

There has been a curbing project started and TDC is unable to finish it because funding has run out, how is Turangi supposed to have faith that the events centre will happen if you cant even finish a simple curbing project?

Te Mataapuna and the play ground are great additions to our town but I'm worried the TDC is getting complacent, thinking Turangi residents will be satisfied with the goodwill they havd been shown...

Many Turangi residents have put time in and done mahi around what can, needs to be done around the town centre and with the events space. Engage quickly or TDC will find itself back in the spotlight!

Great to hear though that the drainage at the Turangitukua Park is getting addressed, that should have been done together with the Te Mataapuna...

Turangi Wanderers soccer club is looking for a space to train and play at Turangitukua Park. Is the space between the containers and Hirangi rd an option?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: Suvi

Last name: Lewis

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Less spending on anything that isn't as essential as the food and power people cant afford and are having to sell their houses because rates cost more a week what they can afford on food

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree
Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?
not essential at this stage. What would the cost be?

1.7 Housing
Agree

1.8 Development Contributions
Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding
No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

once a year to apply is hard for some organisations that have volunteers doing all the work if you miss a deadline.

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

if an organisation has an idea/nrec for funding that is outside of "the vision" i think it should still be considered if it benefits people in the community

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

1.11 Any other feedback?

Should be more discussion about the everyday costs of what council spends on its buildings, vehicles, products they use, materials they buy as it all adds up and rates keep going up. When discussing "what's essential" they need to look at the everyday spending, not just the big projects

Attached Documents

Link	File
------	------

No records to display.

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: Janelle

Last name: Walker

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Agree

1.7 Housing
Agree

1.8 Development Contributions
No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding
Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2
Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: Alison

Last name: Wheeler

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.11 Any other feedback?

: Individual Response to TDC's Long Term Plan with regard to my Community at River Road. River Road has been my NZ home for the past nine years. During this time, I have had the opportunity to learn about the River Road Community, the environment and the residents. My observation is that this is a tight community who proudly defend their community and for the most part have tried to take care of the community themselves in the absence of Council support. There are very strong, long-term views on Council's lack of investment and interest in this community. Many of the long-term residents' attribute this to the transfer of Council responsibilities from Rotorua to Taupo. (Actually, several of the Service Providers still have our community registered under Reporoa and Rotorua.) I am writing to express my concerns regarding the apparent disparity between the significant contributions our community makes through rates payments and the funding and services we receive in return. Despite our consistent and substantial financial contributions, our community seems to lack the necessary investment and support from the Council to reflect our contributions. There is a real opportunity to further develop and enhance this community thereby improving its desirability as a family friendly first home buyer enclave.

Key Concerns:

Public Services: There has been a noticeable absence in the provision of essential public services such as waste management, street lighting, public transport and public safety measures. These services are critical for maintaining a safe and healthy environment for our residents

Admin

Key Concerns:

Community Programs and Facilities: Our community lacks adequate funding for programs and facilities that support social cohesion, health, and well-being. This includes funding for community centres, parks, and local events that foster a sense of community and engagement. That said we recognise the wonderful recent efforts by the

Community Liaison teams at TDC operating with minimal funds. The assistance to win some small government grants has energised the community and once delivered will stand as a testament to the collaboration between TDC, DIA and our residents. This effort albeit small, is totally appreciated and is winning faith from residents that

finally TDC is starting to bestow some positive attention on the River Road community. TDC should note that each of these initiatives takes significant contributions in residents' personal time. Facing tough economic times means many volunteers have increasing pressures on their availability to volunteer. Our Contribution: It is important to highlight that the residents of River Road consistently fulfil their financial obligations through rates payments. The funds we contribute are essential to

the broader financial health of the Council, and it is only fair that our community sees a return on this investment in the form of tangible improvements and services

proportionate to their investment. Request for Action: We respectfully request that the Council takes immediate steps to address these issues by:

1. Conducting a Comprehensive Review: Assess the current allocation of funds and identify areas where our community has been underserved.
2. Implementing a Fair Funding Model: Develop and implement a funding model that ensures equitable distribution of resources, reflecting the contributions of all

communities. A break out of TDC's Operating and Capital budgets specifically related to River Road. I believe that if TDC can show the residents of River Road the specific line items that directly benefit the residents, we will go a long way towards rebuilding our faith in TDC's fairmindedness. I will personally advocate for TDC.

3. Prioritizing Infrastructure and Services: Allocate specific funds for critical infrastructure projects, public services, and community programs in our area. River

Road has a list of projects which all need feedback from TDC including but not limited to;

- Lighting - (Street, Boat Ramp and Broadlands Road Entrance to River Road). There are safety issues particularly in times of dense fog all of which have been raised with

various TDC employees and at TDC meetings.

- Confidence – The Boat Ramp Reserve is a beautiful location, underfunded and lacking love and attention from TDC. In its current condition the River side reserve

path is impassable for prams, Mobility Scooters or even walkers without tramping equipment. Periodically it is frequented by noisy, trash throwing, motoring groups

and campers who do not respect the reserve or the local community pride. The new picnic tables and seating will be the first indication in the past decade that the

Government is interested in improving this reserve. I was disappointed to read in the long-term plan that Council is planning to reduce the maintenance for parks and

reserves. The local residents already supplement TDC's maintenance of the reserve by mowing it periodically. I have raised several queries for

Parks and Maintenance

on TDC's Antenno Application and as at the time of writing, have yet to receive a single response.

• Safety –

1. Boat Ramp - An approach was made to Council regarding the installation of security cameras in the Boat Ramp reserve. This is particularly important given reported criminal behaviour. Residents, more specifically women, are nervous to walk in the reserve on their own. Many residents in River Road own dogs and

love to be able to confidently walk them along the Boat Ramp Reserve. I am disappointed to think that TDC does not see this as a priority and cannot find funds in their \$70m+ budget to restore confidence.

2. Broadlands Roads/ River Road Entrance – Whispers have indicated that TDC plans to install that a camera to record the Car Registrations entering and leaving River Road is planned. A fantastic street safety initiative. Unfortunately, I could find no evidence of this project in either the Long-Term Plan document or in the Long-Term Capital Budget. Could Council please confirm this project is progressing and has a budget and a timeframe for completion? Engaging with the Community: I am delighted by the progress that has been made in establishing a regular dialogue with community representatives to understand our local needs better and to ensure that future funding decisions are made transparently and inclusively. I believe that by addressing the concerns raised in my submission, TDC can demonstrate its commitment to fairness and support for all of our residents in River Road. I look forward to your prompt and positive response to the issues raised and thank you in advance for your time and attention to address these important issues.

Key Concerns:

Infrastructure Development: Our community has seen minimal investment in essential infrastructure projects, including road maintenance, public spaces, transport and recreational facilities. This lack of development negatively impacts our quality of life and hinders the overall growth and attractiveness of our area.

: Individual Response to TDC's Long Term Plan with regard to my Community at

River Road. River Road has been my NZ home for the past nine years. During this time, I have had the opportunity to learn about the River Road Community, the environment and the residents. My observation is that this is a tight community who proudly defend their community and for the most part have tried to take care of the community themselves in the absence of Council support. There are very strong, long-term views on Council's lack of investment and interest in this community. Many of the long-term residents' attribute this to the transfer of Council responsibilities from Rotorua to Taupo. (Actually, several of the Service Providers still have our community registered under Reporoa and Rotorua.) I am writing to express my concerns regarding the apparent disparity between the significant contributions our community makes through rates payments and the funding and services we receive in return. Despite our consistent and substantial financial contributions, our community seems to lack the necessary investment and support from the Council to reflect our contributions. There is a real opportunity to further develop and enhance this community thereby improving its desirability as a family friendly first home buyer enclave.

Key Concerns:

Public Services: There has been a noticeable absence in the provision of essential public services such as waste management, street lighting, public transport and public safety measures. These services are critical for maintaining a safe and healthy environment for our residents

Admin

Key Concerns:

Community Programs and Facilities: Our community lacks adequate funding for programs and facilities that support social cohesion, health, and well-being. This includes funding for community centres, parks, and local events that foster a sense of community and engagement. That said we recognise the wonderful recent efforts by the

Community Liaison teams at TDC operating with minimal funds. The assistance to win some small government grants has energised the community and once delivered will stand as a testament to the collaboration between TDC, DIA and our residents. This effort albeit small, is totally appreciated and is winning faith from residents that

finally TDC is starting to bestow some positive attention on the River Road community. TDC should note that each of these initiatives takes significant contributions in residents' personal time. Facing tough economic times means many volunteers have increasing pressures on their availability to volunteer. Our Contribution: It is important to highlight that the residents of River Road consistently fulfil their financial obligations through rates payments. The funds we contribute are essential to

the broader financial health of the Council, and it is only fair that our community sees a return on this investment in the form of tangible improvements and services

proportionate to their investment. Request for Action: We respectfully request that the Council takes immediate steps to address these issues by:

1. Conducting a Comprehensive Review: Assess the current allocation of funds and identify areas where our community has been underserved.
2. Implementing a Fair Funding Model: Develop and implement a funding model that ensures equitable distribution of resources, reflecting the contributions of all

communities. A break out of TDC's Operating and Capital budgets specifically related to River Road. I believe that if TDC can show the residents of River Road the specific line items that directly benefit the residents, we will go a long way towards rebuilding our faith in TDC's fairmindedness. I will personally advocate for TDC.

3. Prioritizing Infrastructure and Services: Allocate specific funds for critical infrastructure projects, public services, and community programs in our area. River

Road has a list of projects which all need feedback from TDC including but not limited to;

• Lighting - (Street, Boat Ramp and Broadlands Road Entrance to River Road). There are safety issues particularly in times of dense fog all of which have been raised with various TDC employees and at TDC meetings.

• Confidence – The Boat Ramp Reserve is a beautiful location, underfunded and lacking love and attention from TDC. In its current condition the River side reserve

path is impassable for prams, Mobility Scooters or even walkers without tramping equipment. Periodically it is frequented by noisy, trash throwing, motoring groups and campers who do not respect the reserve or the local community pride. The new picnic tables and seating will be the first indication in the past decade that the

Government is interested in improving this reserve. I was disappointed to read in the long-term plan that Council is planning to reduce the maintenance for parks and

reserves. The local residents already supplement TDC's maintenance of the reserve by mowing it periodically. I have raised several queries for Parks and Maintenance

on TDC's Antenno Application and as at the time of writing, have yet to receive a single response.

• Safety –

1. Boat Ramp - An approach was made to Council regarding the installation of security cameras in the Boat Ramp reserve. This is particularly important given reported criminal behaviour. Residents, more specifically women, are nervous to walk in the reserve on their own. Many residents in River Road own dogs and

love to be able to confidently walk them along the Boat Ramp Reserve. I am disappointed to think that TDC does not see this as a priority and cannot find funds in their \$70m+ budget to restore confidence.

2. Broadlands Roads/ River Road Entrance – Whispers have indicated that TDC plans to install that a camera to record the Car Registrations entering and leaving River Road is planned. A fantastic street safety initiative. Unfortunately, I could find no evidence of this project in either the Long-Term Plan document or in the Long-Term Capital Budget. Could Council please confirm this project is progressing and has a budget and a timeframe for completion? Engaging with the Community: I am delighted by the progress that has been made in establishing a regular dialogue with community representatives to understand our local needs better and to ensure that future funding decisions are made transparently

Alison Wheeler



Date 16 June 2024

Taupo District Council
Attn: To whom it may concern
Address: 10yearplan@taupo.govt.nz


Dear Taupo District Council,

Re: Individual Response to TDC's Long Term Plan with regard to my Community at River Road.

River Road has been my NZ home for the past nine years. During this time, I have had the opportunity to learn about the River Road Community, the environment and the residents. My observation is that this is a tight community who proudly defend their community and for the most part have tried to take care of the community themselves in the absence of Council support. There are very strong, long-term views on Council's lack of investment and interest in this community. Many of the long-term residents' attribute this to the transfer of Council responsibilities from Rotorua to Taupo. (Actually, several of the Service Providers still have our community registered under Reporoa and Rotorua.)

I am writing to express my concerns regarding the apparent disparity between the significant contributions our community makes through rates payments and the funding and services we receive in return. Despite our consistent and substantial financial contributions, our community seems to lack the necessary investment and support from the Council to reflect our contributions. There is a real opportunity to further develop and enhance this community thereby improving its desirability as a family friendly first home buyer enclave.

Key Concerns:

1. **Infrastructure Development:** Our community has seen minimal investment in essential infrastructure projects, including road maintenance, public spaces, transport and recreational facilities. This lack of development negatively impacts our quality of life and hinders the overall growth and attractiveness of our area.
 2. **Public Services:** There has been a noticeable absence in the provision of essential public services such as waste management, street lighting, public transport and public safety measures. These services are critical for maintaining a safe and healthy environment for our residents.
- 

3. **Community Programs and Facilities:** Our community lacks adequate funding for programs and facilities that support social cohesion, health, and well-being. This includes funding for community centres, parks, and local events that foster a sense of community and engagement. That said we recognise the wonderful recent efforts by the Community Liaison teams at TDC operating with minimal funds. The assistance to win some small government grants has energised the community and once delivered will stand as a testament to the collaboration between TDC, DIA and our residents. This effort albeit small, is totally appreciated and is winning faith from residents that finally TDC is starting to bestow some positive attention on the River Road community. TDC should note that each of these initiatives takes significant contributions in residents' personal time. Facing tough economic times means many volunteers have increasing pressures on their availability to volunteer.

Our Contribution:

It is important to highlight that the residents of River Road consistently fulfil their financial obligations through rates payments. The funds we contribute are essential to the broader financial health of the Council, and it is only fair that our community sees a return on this investment in the form of tangible improvements and services proportionate to their investment.

Request for Action:

We respectfully request that the Council takes immediate steps to address these issues by:

1. **Conducting a Comprehensive Review:** Assess the current allocation of funds and identify areas where our community has been underserved.
2. **Implementing a Fair Funding Model:** Develop and implement a funding model that ensures equitable distribution of resources, reflecting the contributions of all communities. A break out of TDC's Operating and Capital budgets specifically related to River Road. I believe that if TDC can show the residents of River Road the specific line items that directly benefit the residents, we will go a long way towards rebuilding our faith in TDC's fairmindedness. I will personally advocate for TDC.
3. **Prioritizing Infrastructure and Services:** Allocate specific funds for critical infrastructure projects, public services, and community programs in our area. River Road has a list of projects which all need feedback from TDC including but not limited to;
 - Lighting - (Street, Boat Ramp and Broadlands Road Entrance to River Road). There are safety issues particularly in times of dense fog all of which have been raised with various TDC employees and at TDC meetings.
 - Confidence - The Boat Ramp Reserve is a beautiful location, underfunded and lacking love and attention from TDC. In its current condition the River side reserve path is impassable for prams, Mobility Scooters or even walkers without tramping equipment. Periodically it is frequented by noisy, trash throwing, motoring groups and campers who do not respect the reserve or the local community pride. The new picnic tables and seating will be the first indication in the past decade that the Government is interested in improving this reserve. I was disappointed to read in the long-term plan that Council is planning to reduce the maintenance for parks and reserves. The local residents already supplement TDC's maintenance of the reserve by mowing it periodically. I have raised several queries for Parks and Maintenance on TDC's Antenno Application and as at the time of writing, have yet to receive a single response.

- Safety –
 1. Boat Ramp - An approach was made to Council regarding the installation of security cameras in the Boat Ramp reserve. This is particularly important given reported criminal behaviour. Residents, more specifically women, are nervous to walk in the reserve on their own. Many residents in River Road own dogs and love to be able to confidently walk them along the Boat Ramp Reserve. I am disappointed to think that TDC does not see this as a priority and cannot find funds in their \$70m+ budget to restore confidence.
 2. Broadlands Roads/ River Road Entrance – Whispers have indicated that TDC plans to install that a camera to record the Car Registrations entering and leaving River Road is planned. A fantastic street safety initiative. Unfortunately, I could find no evidence of this project in either the Long-Term Plan document or in the Long-Term Capital Budget. Could Council please confirm this project is progressing and has a budget and a timeframe for completion?

Engaging with the Community: I am delighted by the progress that has been made in establishing a regular dialogue with community representatives to understand our local needs better and to ensure that future funding decisions are made transparently and inclusively.

I believe that by addressing the concerns raised in my submission, TDC can demonstrate its commitment to fairness and support for all of our residents in River Road.

I look forward to your prompt and positive response to the issues raised and thank you in advance for your time and attention to address these important issues.

Yours sincerely,

Alison Wheeler

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: David

Last name: Werder

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Other

1.11 Any other feedback?

I have a holiday Home in PuKawa Bay .

Address : 23 Te Kuru Lane .

We do not support wheelie bins as we take our rubbish home with us 90% of the time .

We my use the road side collection about 4 times a year .

We dont want to have to store Wheelie Bins that we would not be using .

Attached Documents

Link	File
------	------

No records to display.

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: Phil

Last name: Shields

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.11 Any other feedback?

Please accept this email as my submission to the above plan, I am over 25, a New Zealander submitting as an individual and my postal address is XXXXXX, RD1, Turangi, 3381.

Just to make you aware that I did attempt to do this online, however when I went back to the previous page, everything I had entered had disappeared. Also when I saved the submission - all that appeared was a blank template - what a waste of my time. I gave up in frustration and would suggest that you speak to your template designer about these matters.

I have examined in detail the proposed 2024/34 TDC LTP and would like to comment as follows. It appears to me that there is nothing in this proposal that addresses the real issue that ratepayers face and that is ever rising rates. My own rates have risen substantially in the last 4 years and this LTP does nothing to address this! It would be my recommendation that you reduce rates substantially and cut your costs to suit - just like what many of your ratepayers are having to do. To put this into perspective my rates have risen since 2021/22 by 15.82 %, 6.64 %, 17.33 % and now TDC are proposing an increase of 11.4 % - this equates to a real increase of 61.5 % in 4 years

All these excessive rate increases only occur because most ratepayers are too busy working to pay too much attention and then say there is no point in making submissions because council does not take any notice! I have talked to lots of people and prompted them to put in a submission and many have said - " a waste of time". I sincerely hope that this is not the case, but if so many people have this preconception, the question needs to be asked - How much weight does an individual submission carry? Perhaps TDC would like to respond to this. My concern is that there are many ratepayers who are not comfortable in making submissions, for whatever reason, such as they see no point, are not computer proficient, are not literate enough or just can't be bothered. If 10 % of ratepayers made submissions, which would be quite a high number, would TDC dismiss this and say that 90 % did not respond and must be happy with the proposal? Please advise what value TDC puts on an individual submission. It used to be that when making submissions to government select committees that 1 individual submission represented the opinions of 750 other people who felt concerned but could not be bothered to make a submission. Is this still an appropriate assessment ? I raise this important issue because, in my opinion, the draft submission gives an impression of council having predetermined the outcome already and is somewhat biased in its wording. I sincerely hope that this is not just a box ticking exercise?

As an example, the proposal for Turangi wastewater is either:- 1) A \$6m option to improve the wastewater treatment plant AND then we'll continue to work with iwi and hapu to find a suitable place to discharge to land in the longer term or 2) An alternative option to not invest AND continue to work with iwi and hapu to look for somewhere to discharge the wastewater to land \$18.5m. What is "working with iwi and hapu" costing ratepayers on an annual basis? Is this even quantifiable? What exactly do iwi and hapu bring to the table? It seems that TDC have created many jobs for many iwi in all and every aspect of council operations and activity all, I presume, at a cost to ratepayers? It is worth noting that iwi at Waihi village did not want their wastewater connecting to the Tokaanu sewer system. I respect their decision in this matter and at least they then do not have to incur the extra rates burden of the fixed sewer disposal rate. I would ask how do they manage their wastewater, being so close to the Lake? How many alternative options did TDC (and Iwi consider)? There is now available tertiary treatment of wastewater that treats and purifies the water and makes it drinkable, has this been considered?

This brings me to the very contentious issue of the substantial increases of 23 % to the sewer fixed disposal charge and the 31 % increase to the water supply charge. These are significant increases and are NOT justifiable for the following reasons:-

"The Taupo District is relatively young, this means that infrastructure assets are in good condition and will not need renewing or upgrading for many years (source doc TDC LTP 2015/25 and Annual Plan Report 2020/21).

TDC already have existing sewer and water fixed charges of \$1583.14 per rateable property, this multiplied by the 2022 R U 's of 24454 gives a rates revenue of around \$38.7m attributable to water and sewer. This is more than is being actually allocated and spent on the water and wastewater operations in 2023 - your own operating statements confirm expenditure on water of \$14.269m and sewer of \$12.347m No increase is justified or acceptable.

The very real issue I have with TDC is that if the water and wastewater pipes were in such a state of disrepair to warrant you putting up these fixed charges then why was \$8.32m of government stimulus funding for 3 waters not spent on water assets. Again No increase is justified or acceptable.

Furthermore at a time when you are asking ratepayers to accept significant rates increases you have had the audacity to increase staff numbers by 55 at an additional cost to wages and salaries of \$4.516m - REALLY -what were you thinking?

The TDC repeatedly say in the LTP and Annual Plans that they look to "Keeping Rates Affordable & Sustainable". These increases are anything but affordable and the prime reason for this is the councils use of the LGCI index. This index is supposedly an accurate calculation of council expenditure, why then is it necessary to add a percentage. The proposal for next year is for a LGCI +5% - a huge add on! Please note all your ratepayers have to put up with and accept the consequences of whatever the true rate of inflation is - in NZ the accepted measure of this is the CPI, as reported by Stats NZ & the Reserve Bank NZ. However TDC has the pleasure of using its own measure of inflation - the LGCI and then proposes to add on another 5 % and pass this onto ratepayers, this should be called out for what it is - a rort and price gouging. Also of note is that the LGCI includes in its measure the inclusion of council wages and salaries, hardly a recipe for efficiency and not one that most ratepayers would find acceptable.

I do not think that TDC has seriously thought through the consequences of these proposed rate increases and the disproportionate affect this has on lower paid people, many of whom live in old hydro built houses in Turangi. There are many here with a CV of \$375,000, possibly some even lower and for these people they are facing a 16 % increase.

I think I have said enough and hopefully TDC get the message well and clear. I would also like the opportunity to attend the hearing and to speak to council in support of my submission.

homes. My observations are that the proposed option of "Bin It" - WILL NOT WORK in this community for the following reasons:-

- Because a lot of the houses are holiday homes and are used by some of the owners only occasionally and on weekends, they put their rubbish out on a Sunday afternoon / evening, as they leave the area, knowing that it will be collected on the Monday. The same situation applies if they rent the property through bachcare or air bnb and the user pays bag system works very well in this respect.
- Holiday home owners will not be around to put the bin back onto their property after it has been emptied. This simply advertises to all and sundry that their house is unoccupied - it is even worse than having an overflowing mailbox because the holiday home owners do not have a mailbox!
- There are several streets here, that come to mind, Rangikatea Road and Ngapera Way in Omori and Rangitukua Drive in Kuratau where residents put their rubbish and recycling out at the end of the street on the main road for collection. They put their rubbish bags and recycle crates in the boot of their car and easily drop them off. How are these people going to put 240 and 120 litre bins out at the end of their street, without a very long walk there and back?
- Anecdotal evidence tells me that the number of rubbish bags put out on a regular basis is quite small and probably less than 10% of the properties. So 90% of the ratepayers will be paying for something that they do not want and will not be using.
- In respect of food bins, I have 2 compost bins in my garden and compost all of my biodegradable waste so do not want a 23 litre food bin imposed on me. Given the small amount of rubbish collected from the area, the actual amount of compostable material is probably quite small. I note with great interest that there is an upfront cost of \$3 million, interest costs of \$952,225 and the program will cost \$4.7 million per year (it is unclear if this is on top of the current \$6.7 million per year we pay for solid waste funding?) - if this was your money, would you be spending it in this manner? I see no benefit whatsoever in the proposal for it to be implemented in the Omori, Kuratau and Pukawa community. I did get the impression from discussions with Brent Aitken that there was no choice for any communities to opt out of the proposal, I sincerely hope that this is not the case? This is a rural area and is not Urban Taupo and there are other possibilities that should be explored if your preferred contractor does not want to run trucks from Taupo to this area - I can fully understand how the small volume collected on a weekly basis might make this uneconomic and is probably why you want to change to fortnightly (and give your ratepayers here a reduced level of service!). Why not keep the bag and crate system here in this community and look into the possibility of using a local contractor to collect and pick up our refuse bags and take it on a Monday to the Omori Transfer Station?
In conclusion it would be my recommendation that you leave the present system as it is and do not impose this additional cost onto already overburdened ratepayers.

Please accept this email as my submission to the above plan, I am over 25, a New Zealander submitting as an individual and my postal address is XXXXXX, RD1, Turangi, 3381.

Just to make you aware that I did attempt to do this online, however when I went back to the previous page, everything I had entered had disappeared. Also when I saved the submission - all that appeared was a blank template - what a waste of my time. I gave up in frustration and would suggest that you speak to your template designer about these matters.

I have examined in detail the proposed 2024/34 TDC LTP and would like to comment as follows. It appears to me that there is nothing in this proposal that addresses the real issue that ratepayers face and that is ever rising rates. My own rates have risen substantially in the last 4 years and this LTP does nothing to address this! It would be my recommendation that you reduce rates substantially and cut your costs to suit - just like what many of your ratepayers are having to do. To put this into perspective my rates have risen since 2021/22 by 15.82 %, 6.64 %, 17.33 % and now TDC are proposing an increase of 11.4 % - this equates to a real increase of 61.5 % in 4 years
All these excessive rate increases only occur because most ratepayers are too busy working to pay too much attention and then say there is no point in making submissions because council does not take any notice! I have talked to lots of people and prompted them to put in a submission and many have said - " a waste of time". I sincerely hope that this is not the case, but if so many people have this preconception, the question needs to be asked - How much weight does an individual submission carry? Perhaps TDC would like to respond to this. My concern is that there are many ratepayers who are not comfortable in making submissions, for whatever reason, such as they see no point, are not computer proficient, are not literate enough or just can't be bothered. If 10 % of ratepayers made submissions, which would be quite a high number, would TDC dismiss this and say that 90 % did not respond and must be happy with the proposal? Please advise what value TDC puts on an individual submission. It used to be that when making submissions to government select committees that 1 individual submission represented the opinions of 750 other people who felt concerned but could not be bothered to make a submission. Is this still an appropriate assessment ? I raise this important issue because, in my opinion, the draft submission gives an impression of council having predetermined the outcome already and is somewhat biased in its wording. I sincerely hope that this is not just a box ticking exercise?

As an example, the proposal for Turangi wastewater is either:- 1) A \$6m option to improve the wastewater treatment plant AND then we'll continue to work with iwi and hapu to find a suitable place to discharge to land in the longer term or 2) An alternative option to not invest AND continue to work

with iwi and hapu to look for somewhere to discharge the wastewater to land \$18.5m. What is "working with iwi and hapu" costing ratepayers on an annual basis? Is this even quantifiable? What exactly do iwi and hapu bring to the table? It seems that TDC have created many jobs for many iwi in all and every aspect of council operations and activity all, I presume, at a cost to ratepayers? It is worth noting that iwi at Waihi village did not want their wastewater connecting to the Tokaanu sewer system. I respect their decision in this matter and at least they then do not have to incur the extra rates burden of the fixed sewer disposal rate. I would ask how do they manage their wastewater, being so close to the Lake? How many alternative options did TDC (and Iwi consider)? There is now available tertiary treatment of wastewater that treats and purifies the water and makes it drinkable, has this been considered?

This brings me to the very contentious issue of the substantial increases of 23 % to the sewer fixed disposal charge and the 31 % increase to the water supply charge. These are significant increases and are NOT justifiable for the following reasons:-

"The Taupo District is relatively young, this means that infrastructure assets are in good condition and will not need renewing or upgrading for many years (source doc TDC LTP 2015/25 and Annual Plan Report 2020/21).

TDC already have existing sewer and water fixed charges of \$1583.14 per rateable property, this multiplied by the 2022 R U 's of 24454 gives a rates revenue of around \$38.7m attributable to water and sewer. This is more than is being actually allocated and spent on the water and wastewater operations in 2023 - your own operating statements confirm expenditure on water of \$14.269m and sewer of \$12.347m No increase is justified or acceptable.

The very real issue I have with TDC is that if the water and wastewater pipes were in such a state of disrepair to warrant you putting up these fixed charges then why was \$8.32m of government stimulus funding for 3 waters not spent on water assets. Again No increase is justified or acceptable.

Furthermore at a time when you are asking ratepayers to accept significant rates increases you have had the audacity to increase staff numbers by 55 at an additional cost to wages and salaries of \$4.516m - REALLY -what were you thinking?

The TDC repeatedly say in the LTP and Annual Plans that they look to "Keeping Rates Affordable & Sustainable". These increases are anything but affordable and the prime reason for this is the councils use of the LGCI index. This index is supposedly an accurate calculation of council expenditure, why then is it necessary to add a percentage. The proposal for next year is for a LGCI +5% - a huge add on! Please note all your ratepayers have to put up with and accept the consequences of whatever the true rate of inflation is - in NZ the accepted measure of this is the CPI, as reported by Stats NZ & the Reserve Bank NZ. However TDC has the pleasure of using its own measure of inflation - the LGCI and then proposes to add on another 5 % and pass this onto ratepayers, this should be called out for what it is - a rort and price gouging. Also of note is that the LGCI includes in its measure the inclusion of council wages and salaries, hardly a recipe for efficiency and not one that most ratepayers would find acceptable.

I do not think that TDC has seriously thought through the consequences of these proposed rate increases and the disproportionate affect this has on lower paid people, many of whom live in old hydro built houses in Turangi. There are many here with a CV of \$375,000, possibly some even lower and for these people they are facing a 16 % increase.

I think I have said enough and hopefully TDC get the message well and clear. I would also like the opportunity to attend the hearing and to speak to council in support of my submission.

I live in Omori and my comments are based on what I observe in the Omori / Kuratau area. The same comments will undoubtedly apply also to Pukawa and Whareroa for the same reason that these areas are of a similar demographic, in that a large percentage of the properties are holiday homes. My observations are that the proposed option of "Bin It" - WILL NOT WORK in this community for the following reasons:-

- Because a lot of the houses are holiday homes and are used by some of the owners only occasionally and on weekends, they put their rubbish out on a Sunday afternoon / evening, as they leave the area, knowing that it will be collected on the Monday. The same situation applies if they rent the property through bachcare or air bnb and the user pays bag system works very well in this respect.
- Holiday home owners will not be around to put the bin back onto their property after it has been emptied. This simply advertises to all and

sundry that their house is unoccupied - it is even worse than having an overflowing mailbox because the holiday home owners do not have a mailbox!

- There are several streets here, that come to mind, Rangikatea Road and Ngapera Way in Omori and Rangitukua Drive in Kuratau where residents put their rubbish and recycling out at the end of the street on the main road for collection. They put their rubbish bags and recycle crates in the boot of their car and easily drop them off. How are these people going to put 240 and 120 litre bins out at the end of their street, without a very long walk there and back?

- Anecdotal evidence tells me that the number of rubbish bags put out on a regular basis is quite small and probably less than 10% of the properties. So 90% of the ratepayers will be paying for something that they do not want and will not be using.

- In respect of food bins, I have 2 compost bins in my garden and compost all of my biodegradable waste so do not want a 23 litre food bin imposed on me. Given the small amount of rubbish collected from the area, the actual amount of compostable material is probably quite small. I note with great interest that there is an upfront cost of \$3 million, interest costs of \$952,225 and the program will cost \$4.7 million per year (it is unclear if this is on top of the current \$6.7 million per year we pay for solid waste funding?) - if this was your money, would you be spending it in this manner? I see no benefit whatsoever in the proposal for it to be implemented in the Omori, Kuratau and Pukawa community.

I did get the impression from discussions with Brent Aitken that there was no choice for any communities to opt out of the proposal, I sincerely hope that this is not the case? This is a rural area and is not Urban Taupo and there are other possibilities that should be explored if your preferred contractor does not want to run trucks from Taupo to this area - I can fully understand how the small volume collected on a weekly basis might make this uneconomic and is probably why you want to change to fortnightly (and give your ratepayers here a reduced level of service!). Why not keep the bag and crate system here in this community and look into the possibility of using a local contractor to collect and pick up our refuse bags and take it on a Monday to the Omori Transfer Station?

In conclusion it would be my recommendation that you leave the present system as it is and do not impose this additional cost onto already overburdened ratepayers.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, 3 July 2024 2:02 pm
To: LTP
Subject: SUBMISSION on TAUPO DISTRICT COUNCIL PROPOSED 2024/34 LONG TERM PLAN
Categories: Awaiting reply, kryz

Caution: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links, open attachments, or respond unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

You don't often get email from shields@inspire.net.nz. [Learn why this is important](#)

Dear TDC,

Please accept this email as my submission to the above plan, I am over 25, a New Zealander submitting as an individual and my postal address is [REDACTED] Turangi, 3381.

Just to make you aware that I did attempt to do this online, however when I went back to the previous page, everything I had entered had disappeared. Also when I saved the submission - all that appeared was a blank template - what a waste of my time. I gave up in frustration and would suggest that you speak to your template designer about these matters.

I have examined in detail the proposed 2024/34 TDC LTP and would like to comment as follows. It appears to me that there is nothing in this proposal that addresses the real issue that ratepayers face and that is ever rising rates. My own rates have risen substantially in the last 4 years and this LTP does nothing to address this! It would be my recommendation that you reduce rates substantially and cut your costs to suit - just like what many of your ratepayers are having to do. To put this into perspective my rates have risen since 2021/22 by 15.82 %, 6.64 %, 17.33 % and now TDC are proposing an increase of 11.4 % - this equates to a real increase of 61.5 % in 4 years

All these excessive rate increases only occur because most ratepayers are too busy working to pay too much attention and then say there is no point in making submissions because council does not take any notice! I have talked to lots of people and prompted them to put in a submission and many have said - "a waste of time". I sincerely hope that this is not the case, but if so many people have this preconception, the question needs to be asked - How much weight does an individual submission carry? Perhaps TDC would like to respond to this. My concern is that there are many ratepayers who are not comfortable in making submissions, for whatever reason, such as they see no point, are not computer proficient, are not literate enough or just can't be bothered. If 10 % of ratepayers made submissions, which would be quite a high number, would TDC dismiss this and say that 90 % did not respond and must be happy with the proposal? Please advise what value TDC puts on an individual submission. It used to be that when making submissions to government select committees that 1 individual submission represented the opinions of 750 other people who felt concerned but could not be bothered to make a submission. Is this still an appropriate assessment? I raise this important issue because, in my opinion, the draft submission gives an impression of council having predetermined the outcome already and is somewhat biased in its wording. I sincerely hope that this is not just a box ticking exercise?

As an example, the proposal for Turangi wastewater is either:- 1) A \$6m option to improve the wastewater treatment plant AND then we'll continue to work with iwi and hapu to find a suitable place to discharge to land in the longer term or 2) An alternative option to not invest AND continue to work with iwi and hapu to look for somewhere to discharge the wastewater to land \$18.5m. What is "working with iwi and hapu" costing ratepayers on an annual basis? Is this even quantifiable? What exactly do iwi and hapu bring to the table? It seems that TDC have created many jobs for many iwi in all and every aspect of council operations and activity all, I presume, at a cost to ratepayers? It is worth noting that iwi at Waihi village did not want their wastewater connecting to the

Tokaanu sewer system. I respect their decision in this matter and at least they then do not have to incur the extra rates burden of the fixed sewer disposal rate. I would ask how do they manage their wastewater, being so close to the Lake? How many alternative options did TDC (and Iwi consider)? There is now available tertiary treatment of wastewater that treats and purifies the water and makes it drinkable, has this been considered?

This brings me to the very contentious issue of the substantial increases of 23 % to the sewer fixed disposal charge and the 31 % increase to the water supply charge. These are significant increases and are NOT justifiable for the following reasons:-

"The Taupo District is relatively young, this means that infrastructure assets are in good condition and will not need renewing or upgrading for many years (source doc TDC LTP 2015/25 and Annual Plan Report 2020/21)."

TDC already have existing sewer and water fixed charges of \$1583.14 per rateable property, this multiplied by the 2022 R U 's of 24454 gives a a rates revenue of around \$38.7m attributable to water and sewer. This is more than is being actually allocated and spent on the water and wastewater operations in 2023 - your own operating statements confirm expenditure on water of \$14.269m and sewer of \$12.347m **No increase is justified or acceptable.**

The very real issue I have with TDC is that if the water and wastewater pipes were in such a state of disrepair to warrant you putting up these fixed charges then why was \$8.32m of government stimulus funding for 3 waters not spent on water assets. Again **No increase is justified or acceptable.**

Furthermore at a time when you are asking ratepayers to accept significant rates increases you have had the audacity to increase staff numbers by 55 at an additional cost to wages and salaries of \$4.516m - **REALLY -what were you thinking?**

The TDC repeatedly say in the LTP and Annual Plans that they look to "Keeping Rates Affordable & Sustainable". These increases are anything but affordable and the prime reason for this is the councils use of the LGCI index. This index is supposedly an accurate calculation of council expenditure, why then is it necessary to add a percentage. The proposal for next year is for a LGCI +5% - a huge add on! Please note all your ratepayers have to put up with and accept the consequences of whatever the true rate of inflation is - in NZ the accepted measure of this is the CPI, as reported by Stats NZ & the Reserve Bank NZ. However TDC has the pleasure of using its own measure of inflation - the LGCI and then proposes to add on another 5 % and pass this onto ratepayers, this should be called out for what it is - a rort and price gouging. Also of note is that the LGCI includes in its measure the inclusion of council wages and salaries, hardly a recipe for efficiency and not one that most ratepayers would find acceptable.

I do not think that TDC has seriously thought through the consequences of these proposed rate increases and the disproportionate affect this has on lower paid people, many of whom live in old hydro built houses in Turangi. There are many here with a CV of \$375,000, possibly some even lower and for these people they are facing a 16 % increase.

I think I have said enough and hopefully TDC get the message well and clear. I would also like the opportunity to attend the hearing and to speak to council in support of my submission. My contact phone number is [REDACTED] and my email is [REDACTED]

I thank you for your time and consideration in this matter and look forward to receiving acknowledgement of receipt of this as well as my submission on the 'Bag it or Bin it' proposal which I forwarded to you on the 27 June 2024 and for which I still await any acknowledgement.

Regards

Phil Shields

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, 27 June 2024 7:54 pm
To: LTP
Subject: Draft Long Term Plan "Consultation on Kerbside Rubbish Collection"
Categories: Entered in C24

Caution: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links, open attachments, or respond unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

You don't often get email from shields@inspire.net.nz. [Learn why this is important](#)

Dear Taupo Council 10 Year Plan Team,

Following a public meeting by your "engagement committee" to encourage residents to have a say and submit on the above proposals, I went home and sent in an email submission to the council at 6.16pm on the 15/06/2024 - yes I know it was a Sat night and I should have had better things to do?, but clearly your staff had an impact on me.

I received an immediate response from your automatic answer email service acknowledging my email and saying someone would respond by the next working day. 9 working days later I have received nothing from you? As I sent it to info@taupo.govt.nz could it have gone astray? I was not aware at the time that there is the special "10yearplan@taupo.govt.nz" email as this does not appear to be on the TDC home page. The reason I did not cut and paste my submission into your online submission form was that I am still collecting data to make a more detailed submission on other aspects of your LTP and have been advised that this would count as 2 submissions? can you confirm if this is correct?

I trust you will advise me on the above matters and in the meantime I have copied my original submission to you, as below:-

Dear Taupo Council,

In respect of the above I thank you for the opportunity to comment on the above aspect of the Long Term Plan.

I live in Omori and my comments are based on what I observe in the Omori / Kuratau area. The same comments will undoubtedly apply also to Pukawa and Whareroa for the same reason that these areas are of a similar demographic, in that a large percentage of the properties are holiday homes.

My observations are that the proposed option of "**Bin It**" - **WILL NOT WORK** in this community for the following reasons:-

- Because a lot of the houses are holiday homes and are used by some of the owners only occasionally and on weekends, they put their rubbish out on a Sunday afternoon / evening, as they leave the area, knowing that it will be collected on the Monday. The same situation applies if they rent the property through bachcare or air bnb and the user pays bag system works very well in this respect.
- Holiday home owners will not be around to put the bin back onto their property after it has been emptied. This simply advertises to all and sundry that their house is unoccupied - it is even worse than having an overflowing mailbox because the holiday home owners do not have a mailbox!
- There are several streets here, that come to mind, Rangikatea Road and Ngaperā Way in Omori and Rangitukua Drive in Kuratau where residents put their rubbish and recycling out at the end of the street on

the main road for collection. They put their rubbish bags and recycle crates in the boot of their car and easily drop them off. How are these people going to put 240 and 120 litre bins out at the end of their street, without a very long walk there and back?

- Anecdotal evidence tells me that the number of rubbish bags put out on a regular basis is quite small and probably less than 10% of the properties. So 90% of the ratepayers will be paying for something that they do not want and will not be using.
- In respect of food bins, I have 2 compost bins in my garden and compost all of my biodegradable waste so do not want a 23 litre food bin imposed on me. Given the small amount of rubbish collected from the area, the actual amount of compostable material is probably quite small.

I note with great interest that there is an upfront cost of \$3 million, interest costs of \$952,225 and the program will cost \$4.7 million per year (it is unclear if this is on top of the current \$6.7 million per year we pay for solid waste funding?) - if this was your money, would you be spending it in this manner? I see no benefit whatsoever in the proposal for it to be implemented in the Omori, Kuratau and Pukawa community.

I did get the impression from discussions with Brent Aitken that there was no choice for any communities to opt out of the proposal, I sincerely hope that this is not the case? This is a rural area and is not Urban Taupo and there are other possibilities that should be explored if your preferred contractor does not want to run trucks from Taupo to this area - I can fully understand how the small volume collected on a weekly basis might make this uneconomic and is probably why you want to change to fortnightly (and give your ratepayers here a reduced level of service!). Why not keep the bag and crate system here in this community and look into the possibility of using a local contractor to collect and pick up our refuse bags and take it on a Monday to the Omori Transfer Station?

In conclusion it would be my recommendation that you leave the present system as it is and do not impose this additional cost onto already overburdened ratepayers.

Yours Sincerely

Phil Shields



Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: [REDACTED]

Last name: Roberts

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Agree

1.6.3 Strongly Agree

Hi I went to submit my answer but the quiz was far to long. We definitely want a bin please

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: Kathy

Last name: Kennedy

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

1.11 Any other feedback?

I am a resident of Whareroa Lake Taupo.

I would like to put a submission into the Long-term plan. This is in relation to the stairs we have that run between HineKapi terrace and Turangitikua.

These stairs are long overdue for maintenance. I have had a property in Whareroa for over five years and during this time I have noticed that year after year the stairs having been falling further into disrepair.

The wood is rotting and the wire mesh that covers them has come away and poking up causing a trip hazard.

I believe this has been an on going issue for several years and the longer it is left the more hazardous they become.

We have a lot of elderly residence that use the stairs regularly as part of their daily walks and have noticed as the demographic of the village changes throughout the years more and more children climbing them to visit the giant chair at the top.

I am often asked by visitors to our village where the stairs are to the giant chair, as a resident I am very proud of our community/village and would like people that visit to see that it is well cared for, maintained and more importantly safe.

I am sure as a community-minded organization you will all feel the same.

For your information and consideration.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: Des

Last name: Page

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Broadlands, River Road and surrounding rural area

1.11 Any other feedback?

Full submission attached

Key Concerns:

Infrastructure Development: Our community has seen minimal investment in essential infrastructure projects, including road maintenance, public spaces, transport and recreational facilities. This lack of development negatively impacts our quality of life and hinders the overall growth and attractiveness of our area.

Key Concerns:

Public Services: There has been a noticeable absence in the provision of essential public services such as waste management, street lighting, public transport and public safety measures. These services are critical for maintaining a safe and healthy environment for our residents.

Key Concerns:

3. Community Programs and Facilities: Our community lacks adequate funding for programs and facilities that support social cohesion, health, and well-being. This includes funding for community centres, parks, and local events that foster a sense of community and engagement. That said we recognise the wonderful recent efforts by the Community Liaison teams at TDC operating with minimal funds. The assistance to win some small government grants has energised the community and once delivered will stand as a testament to the collaboration between TDC, DIA and our residents. This effort albeit small, is totally appreciated and is winning faith from residents that finally TDC is starting to bestow some positive attention on the River Road community. TDC should note that each of these initiatives takes significant contributions in residents' personal time. Facing tough economic times means many volunteers have increasing pressures on their availability to volunteer. Our Contribution: It is important to highlight that the residents of River Road consistently fulfil their financial obligations through rates payments. The funds we contribute are essential to the broader financial health of the Council, and it is only fair that our community sees a return on this investment in the form of tangible improvements and services proportionate to their investment.

Request for Action: We respectfully request that the Council takes immediate steps to address these issues by:

1. Conducting a Comprehensive Review: Assess the current allocation of funds and identify areas where our community has been underserved.
2. Implementing a Fair Funding Model: Develop and implement a funding model that ensures equitable distribution of resources, reflecting the contributions of all communities. A break out of TDC's Operating and Capital budgets specifically related to River Road. I believe that if TDC can show the residents of River Road the specific line items that directly benefit the residents, we will go a long way towards rebuilding our faith in TDC's fairmindedness. I will personally advocate for TDC.
3. Prioritizing Infrastructure and Services: Allocate specific funds for critical infrastructure projects, public services, and community programs in our area. River Road has a list of projects which all need feedback from TDC including but not limited to;
 - Lighting - (Street, Boat Ramp and Broadlands Road Entrance to River Road). There are safety issues particularly in times of dense fog all of which have been raised with various TDC employees and at TDC meetings.
 - Confidence – The Boat Ramp Reserve is a beautiful location, underfunded and lacking love and attention from TDC. In its current condition the River side reserve path is impassable for prams, Mobility Scooters or even walkers without tramping equipment. Periodically it is frequented by noisy, trash throwing, motoring groups and campers who do not respect the reserve or the local community pride. The new picnic tables and seating will be the first indication in the past decade that the Government is interested in improving this reserve. I was disappointed to read in the long-term plan that Council is planning to reduce the maintenance for parks and reserves. The local residents already supplement TDC's maintenance of the reserve by mowing it periodically. I have raised several queries for Parks and Maintenance on TDC's Antenna Application and as at the time of writing, have yet to receive a single response.
 - Safety –
 1. Boat Ramp - An approach was made to Council regarding the installation of security cameras in the Boat Ramp reserve. This is particularly important given reported criminal behaviour. Residents, more specifically women, are nervous to walk in the reserve on their own. Many residents in River Road own dogs and love to be able to confidently walk them along the Boat Ramp Reserve. I am disappointed to think that TDC does not see this as a priority and cannot find funds in their \$70m+ budget to restore confidence.

2. Broadlands Roads/ River Road Entrance – Whispers have indicated that TDC plans to install that a camera to record the Car Registrations entering and leaving River Road is planned. A fantastic street safety initiative. Unfortunately, I could find no evidence of this project in either the Long-Term Plan document or in the Long-Term Capital Budget. Could Council please confirm this project is progressing and has a budget and a timeframe for completion?

Engaging with the Community: I am delighted by the progress that has been made in establishing a regular dialogue with community representatives to understand our local needs better and to ensure that future funding decisions are made transparently and inclusively. I believe that by addressing the concerns raised in my submission, TDC can demonstrate its commitment to fairness and support for all of our residents in River Road. I look forward to your prompt and positive response to the issues raised and thank you in advance for your time and attention to address these important issues.

Re: Individual River Road Resident Response to TDC's Long Term Plan River Road is a proud community and for the most part have tried to take care of the community ourselves in the absence of Council support. There are very strong, long-term views on Council's lack of investment and interest in this community. Despite our consistent and substantial financial contributions, our community seems to lack the necessary investment and support from the Council to reflect our contributions. There is a real opportunity to further develop and enhance this community thereby improving its desirability as a family friendly first home buyer enclave.

Full submission attached

Key Concerns:

Infrastructure Development: Our community has seen minimal investment in essential infrastructure projects, including road maintenance, public spaces, transport and recreational facilities. This lack of development negatively impacts our quality of life and hinders the overall growth and attractiveness of our area.

Key Concerns:

Public Services: There has been a noticeable absence in the provision of essential public services such as waste management, street lighting, public transport and public safety measures. These services are critical for maintaining a safe and healthy environment for our residents.

Key Concerns:

3. Community Programs and Facilities: Our community lacks adequate funding for programs and facilities that support social cohesion, health, and well-being. This includes funding for community centres, parks, and local events that foster a sense of community and engagement. That said we recognise the wonderful recent efforts by the Community Liaison teams at TDC operating with minimal funds. The assistance to win some small government grants has energised the community and once delivered will stand as a testament to the collaboration between TDC, DIA and our residents. This effort albeit small, is totally appreciated and is winning faith from residents that finally TDC is starting to bestow some positive attention on the River Road community. TDC should note that each of these initiatives takes significant contributions in residents' personal time. Facing tough economic times means many volunteers have increasing pressures on their availability to volunteer. Our Contribution: It is important to highlight that the residents of River Road consistently fulfill their financial obligations through rates payments. The funds we contribute are essential to the broader financial health of the Council, and it is only fair that our community sees a return on this investment in the form of tangible improvements and services proportionate to their investment.

Request for Action: We respectfully request that the Council takes immediate steps to address these issues by:

1. Conducting a Comprehensive Review: Assess the current allocation of funds and identify areas where our community has been underserved.
2. Implementing a Fair Funding Model: Develop and implement a funding model that ensures equitable distribution of resources, reflecting the contributions of all communities. A break out of TDC's Operating and Capital budgets specifically related to River Road. I believe that if TDC can show the residents of River Road the specific line items that directly benefit the residents, we will go a long way towards rebuilding our faith in TDC's fairmindedness. I will personally advocate for TDC.
3. Prioritizing Infrastructure and Services: Allocate specific funds for critical infrastructure projects, public services, and community programs in our area. River Road has a list of projects which all need feedback from TDC including but not limited to;
 - Lighting - (Street, Boat Ramp and Broadlands Road Entrance to River Road). There are safety issues particularly in times of dense fog all of which have been raised with various TDC employees and at TDC meetings.
 - Confidence – The Boat Ramp Reserve is a beautiful location, underfunded and lacking love and attention from TDC. In its current condition the River side reserve path is impassable for prams, Mobility Scooters or even walkers without tramping equipment. Periodically it is frequented by noisy, trash throwing, motoring groups and campers who do not respect the reserve or the local community pride. The new picnic tables and seating will be the first indication in the past decade that the Government is interested in improving this reserve. I was disappointed to read in the long-term plan that Council is planning to reduce the maintenance for parks and reserves. The local residents already supplement TDC's maintenance of the reserve by mowing it periodically. I have raised several queries for Parks and Maintenance on TDC's Antenno Application and as at the time of writing, have yet to receive a single response.
 - Safety –
 1. Boat Ramp - An approach was made to Council regarding the installation of security cameras in the Boat Ramp reserve. This is particularly important given reported criminal behaviour. Residents, more specifically women, are nervous to walk in the reserve on their own. Many residents in River Road own dogs and love to be able to confidently walk them along the Boat Ramp Reserve. I am disappointed to think that TDC does not see this as a priority and cannot find funds in their \$70m+ budget to restore confidence.
 2. Broadlands Roads/ River Road Entrance – Whispers have indicated that TDC plans to install that a camera to record the Car Registrations entering and leaving River Road is planned. A fantastic street safety initiative. Unfortunately, I could find no evidence of this project in either the Long-Term Plan document or in the Long-Term Capital Budget. Could Council please confirm this project is progressing and has a budget and a timeframe for completion?

Engaging with the Community: I am delighted by the progress that has been made in establishing a regular dialogue with community representatives to understand our local needs better and to ensure that future funding decisions are made transparently and inclusively. I believe that by addressing the concerns raised in my submission, TDC can demonstrate its commitment to fairness and support for all of our residents in River Road. I look forward to your prompt and positive response to the issues raised and thank you in advance for your time and attention to address these important issues.

Re: Individual River Road Resident Response to TDC's Long Term Plan River Road is a proud community and for the most part have tried to take care of the community ourselves in the absence of Council support. There are very strong, long-term views on Council's lack of investment and interest in this community. Despite our consistent and substantial financial contributions, our community seems to lack the necessary investment and support from the Council to reflect our contributions. There is a real opportunity to further develop and enhance this community thereby improving its desirability as a family friendly first home buyer enclave.

Full submission attached

Key Concerns:

Infrastructure Development: Our community has seen minimal investment in essential infrastructure projects, including road maintenance, public spaces, transport and recreational facilities. This lack of development negatively impacts our quality of life and hinders the overall growth and attractiveness of our area.

Key Concerns:

Name

Des Page

Phone:

Date 17 June 2024

Taupo District Council

Attn: To whom it may concern

Address: 10yearplan@taupo.govt.nz

Dear Taupo District Council,

Re: Individual River Road Resident Response to TDC's Long Term Plan

River Road is a proud community and for the most part have tried to take care of the community ourselves in the absence of Council support. There are very strong, long-term views on Council's lack of investment and interest in this community.

Despite our consistent and substantial financial contributions, our community seems to lack the necessary investment and support from the Council to reflect our contributions. There is a real opportunity to further develop and enhance this community thereby improving its desirability as a family friendly first home buyer enclave.

Key Concerns:

- 1. Infrastructure Development:** Our community has seen minimal investment in essential infrastructure projects, including road maintenance, public spaces, transport and recreational facilities. This lack of development negatively impacts our quality of life and hinders the overall growth and attractiveness of our area.
- 2. Public Services:** There has been a noticeable absence in the provision of essential public services such as waste management, street lighting, public transport and public safety measures. These services are critical for maintaining a safe and healthy environment for our residents.
- 3. Community Programs and Facilities:** Our community lacks adequate funding for programs and facilities that support social cohesion, health, and well-being. This includes funding for community centres, parks, and local events that foster a sense of community and engagement. That said we recognise the wonderful recent efforts by the Community Liaison teams at TDC operating with minimal funds. The assistance to win some small government grants has energised the community and once delivered will stand as a testament to the collaboration between TDC, DIA and our residents. This effort albeit small, is totally appreciated and is winning faith from residents that finally TDC is starting to bestow some positive attention on the River Road community.

TDC should note that each of these initiatives takes significant contributions in residents' personal time. Facing tough economic times means many volunteers have increasing pressures on their availability to volunteer.

Our Contribution:

It is important to highlight that the residents of River Road consistently fulfil their financial obligations through rates payments. The funds we contribute are essential to the broader financial health of the Council, and it is only fair that our community sees a return on this investment in the form of tangible improvements and services proportionate to their investment.

Request for Action:

We respectfully request that the Council takes immediate steps to address these issues by:

1. **Conducting a Comprehensive Review:** Assess the current allocation of funds and identify areas where our community has been underserved.
2. **Implementing a Fair Funding Model:** Develop and implement a funding model that ensures equitable distribution of resources, reflecting the contributions of all communities. A break out of TDC's Operating and Capital budgets specifically related to River Road. I believe that if TDC can show the residents of River Road the specific line items that directly benefit the residents, we will go a long way towards rebuilding our faith in TDC's fairmindedness. I will personally advocate for TDC.
3. **Prioritizing Infrastructure and Services:** Allocate specific funds for critical infrastructure projects, public services, and community programs in our area. River Road has a list of projects which all need feedback from TDC including but not limited to;
 - Lighting - (Street, Boat Ramp and Broadlands Road Entrance to River Road). There are safety issues particularly in times of dense fog all of which have been raised with various TDC employees and at TDC meetings.
 - Confidence - The Boat Ramp Reserve is a beautiful location, underfunded and lacking love and attention from TDC. In its current condition the River side reserve path is impassable for prams, Mobility Scooters or even walkers without tramping equipment. Periodically it is frequented by noisy, trash throwing, motoring groups and campers who do not respect the reserve or the local community pride. The new picnic tables and seating will be the first indication in the past decade that the Government is interested in improving this reserve. I was disappointed to read in the long-term plan that Council is planning to reduce the maintenance for parks and reserves. The local residents already supplement TDC's maintenance of the reserve by mowing it periodically. I have raised several queries for Parks and Maintenance on TDC's Antenno Application and as at the time of writing, have yet to receive a single response.
 - Safety -
 1. Boat Ramp - An approach was made to Council regarding the installation of security cameras in the Boat Ramp reserve. This is particularly important given reported criminal behaviour. Residents, more specifically women, are nervous to walk in the reserve on their own. Many residents in River Road own dogs and love to be able to confidently walk them along the Boat Ramp Reserve. I am disappointed to think that TDC does not see this as a priority and cannot find funds in their \$70m+ budget to restore confidence.

2. Broadlands Roads/ River Road Entrance – Whispers have indicated that TDC plans to install that a camera to record the Car Registrations entering and leaving River Road is planned. A fantastic street safety initiative. Unfortunately, I could find no evidence of this project in either the Long-Term Plan document or in the Long-Term Capital Budget. Could Council please confirm this project is progressing and has a budget and a timeframe for completion?

Engaging with the Community: I am delighted by the progress that has been made in establishing a regular dialogue with community representatives to understand our local needs better and to ensure that future funding decisions are made transparently and inclusively.

I believe that by addressing the concerns raised in my submission, TDC can demonstrate its commitment to fairness and support for all of our residents in River Road.

I look forward to your prompt and positive response to the issues raised and thank you in advance for your time and attention to address these important issues.

Yours sincerely,

Des. Page.

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: Frank

Last name: Webb

Organisation:

The Poplars Residents' Association Inc.

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Kinloch

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Disagree Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree
Agree Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

We have just read the Taupo Times Article on the front page, about "Council's wheelie hard question: bag it or bin it?"

Speaking, just for our subdivision, The Poplars subdivision at 500 Kinloch Road (which is a private subdivision, and a layout of our subdivision is in the above attachment see attached.), there are some matters we want to bring up:

1. The above service in the above article mentions that the service would be offered to urban residential properties, and to shops and businesses in Taupo and Turangi. But would not be rolled out to other for rural residences outside of the council's collection service area.
2. Kinloch is not mentioned. So, what we need confirmation of, is Kinloch regarded as being in the above council's collection service area? Or is it outside that area and that we just continue with using the bags (in other words there is no change for Kinloch)?
3. The reason for asking this is that The Poplars Subdivision, like several other private subdivisions in Kinloch, only have collection services available from their subdivision entrances. The collection trucks do not enter these private subdivisions. We take our rubbish and waste down to the subdivision gateway entrance. In most cases our householders are more than 100 metres from the gateway. Eg; out of 51 houses, we have about 8 houses within that distance. The other 43 extend all the way out up to 1.7 kms from the gateway entrance.
4. So. Summing it up:
 - A. If Kinloch is rated as outside the council collection area – can we assume there will be no change to our present collection services? If so, then our existing system of crates and yellow bags is practicable, in that we can readily put these in a car boot or in an SUV.

B. If we are rated as being inside the collection area, then there is a problem in the council making a change to wheelie bins regarding all private subdivisions. The 140 litre and 240 litre wheelie bins will be too big to fit into a car or SUV. And it is simply quite impracticable to walk the bins for hundreds of metres down to the subdivision gateway entrance – and then later have to walk back to the residence dragging the bin (and crate/s). Worst case, some people, in The Poplars Subdivision, would have to walk over 4 kms in one day – every week, and maybe for a few up to 6 kms – every week. While we agree it could be great to have the bigger bins, if you only have to walk a few metres from the house to the kerb side in town, the wheelie bin approach for private subdivisions is simply quite unworkable.

We look forward to your early response in this matter.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: Frank

Last name: Webb

Organisation:

The Poplars Residents' Association Inc.

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Kinloch

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Disagree Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree
Agree Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

We have just read the Taupo Times Article on the front page, about "Council's wheelie hard question: bag it or bin it?"

Speaking, just for our subdivision, The Poplars subdivision at 500 Kinloch Road (which is a private subdivision, and a layout of our subdivision is in the above attachment see attached.), there are some matters we want to bring up:

1. The above service in the above article mentions that the service would be offered to urban residential properties, and to shops and businesses in Taupo and Turangi. But would not be rolled out to other for rural residences outside of the council's collection service area.
2. Kinloch is not mentioned. So, what we need confirmation of, is Kinloch regarded as being in the above council's collection service area? Or is it outside that area and that we just continue with using the bags (in other words there is no change for Kinloch)?
3. The reason for asking this is that The Poplars Subdivision, like several other private subdivisions in Kinloch, only have collection services available from their subdivision entrances. The collection trucks do not enter these private subdivisions. We take our rubbish and waste down to the subdivision gateway entrance. In most cases our householders are more than 100 metres from the gateway. Eg; out of 51 houses, we have about 8 houses within that distance. The other 43 extend all the way out up to 1.7 kms from the gateway entrance.
4. So. Summing it up:
 - A. If Kinloch is rated as outside the council collection area – can we assume there will be no change to our present collection services? If so, then our existing system of crates and yellow bags is practicable, in that we can readily put these in a car boot or in an SUV.

B. If we are rated as being inside the collection area, then there is a problem in the council making a change to wheelie bins regarding all private subdivisions. The 140 litre and 240 litre wheelie bins will be too big to fit into a car or SUV. And it is simply quite impracticable to walk the bins for hundreds of metres down to the subdivision gateway entrance – and then later have to walk back to the residence dragging the bin (and crate/s). Worst case, some people, in The Poplars Subdivision, would have to walk over 4 kms in one day – every week, and maybe for a few up to 6 kms – every week. While we agree it could be great to have the bigger bins, if you only have to walk a few metres from the house to the kerb side in town, the wheelie bin approach for private subdivisions is simply quite unworkable.

We look forward to your early response in this matter.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: Judy

Last name: Gee

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Agree

1.11 Any other feedback?
Is a yes for Bin It

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: Ellanor

Last name: Henry

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree
Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

After consideration. My suggestion is for Kuratau/ Omori/ Pukawa (rural areas)to stay with current service. They are mainly populated with holiday homes and any bins left out.

- 1 Have to rely on neighbours or people in street to return the NEW empty bins to vacated households.
- 2 Could encourage theft for use of nefarious things. This could be a huge cost for Council over 10 years.
- 3 Unwanted rubbish eg flax could be put in bottom of bins and you'd never know.

Therefore I would do a study into population of given certain sized holiday home communities, call them rural and keep with current service. I do not plan to attend the Long Term Planning Meeting

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: Alexander

Last name: Anderson

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree
Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Wheelie Bins will be totally unsuitable for our property, as we have a very steep drive which will be impossible for older people to negotiate safely with bins. We don't even have to use the collection at all. It is a holiday house and it is just as easy for us to take our rubbish and recycling into Turangi. We will be very upset if we have to subsidise wheelie bins for the permanent residents of Taupo. We do hope you will give some consideration to people in our situation.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: Geoff

Last name: Hawthorn

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

Yes

Additional requirements for hearing:

Feedback

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Disagree

1.11 Any other feedback?

I oppose proposed changes to rubbish and recycling collection in Pukawa where I live. Proposals are counter productive to waste minimisation ! In my household (2 people) we currently put one party filled rubbish bag every two weeks maximum.

Our rubbish consists of 90% unrecyclable plastic packaging. We do use recycling collection for plastic and glass.

Our food waste is processed in a worm farm on site. I prefer to have no rubbish or recycling collection rather than wheely bins! The proposed collection system provides no incentive for waste reduction! In fact exactly the opposite... All you can chuck for the same price! Why drag a nearly empty bin to the gate when you can fill it up? I am surrounded by holiday homes that are unoccupied most of the year. Much of the very small occupancy is for very short term stays by owners and rental tenants. Providing bins for bach use will result in carnage as follows... Bins left outside properties for days/ weeks on end. Bins on street causing traffic hazard. Bins in lake, drains reserves requiring salvage This issue effects both property owners and short term renters (Air BnB, Book a Bach etc..) while some may know the issues with leaving out bins when not being there to collect they have little alternative and visitors simply won't know. This issue isn't a matter of a few bins for a few days. Rather, it will affect most properties in Western Bays settlements every holiday and any other time Bach is visited.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: Brent and Noeline

Last name: Bishop

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

We would like to lodge our opinion about the introduction of wheelie bins to the Pukawa area. We oppose this idea -

Because it would be impossible to drag it up or down the hill to our section. We would NOT be there to collect it in again.

If we short stay we are happy to take our rubbish home with us. If we long stay we are happy to put a bag and recycle out on the correct day.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: Graeme

Last name: Gear

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.11 Any other feedback?

Yes I'm a 30 years Taupo local married with two kids Wife been off work 10years now as awaiting knee surgery with Waikato Hospital, she finally got it 2/5/24 We have been supporting ac Baths since I moved Taupo Beautiful save Swim. I have funded ac Baths with introducing my 2 kids since they were born by ac Baths swimming training lessons and they love it. Plus we also have Taylor whose 31years old with downs syndrome who loves swimming and also used to have swimming aerobics with Stacey swimming dance instructor with ac Baths and Taupo Council. But not your 2024 Proposal is to overcharge us who support ac Baths by increasing prices beyond locals affordable needs. I join my kids in swim training and struggle but paid for nine years and six years now a fee of \$10.00 per children so \$20.00 a week for 25 minutes in the small training pool then they get out. Now your increasing the price by half that again to \$30 bucks per week 2 children but still only 25 minutes per children. That's another \$520.00 a year so my kids get 25minute swim lesson Where as tourists coming use the whole big pool slides swing bombing range and are allowed stay 8hours all day pay \$17.00 That's so unfair 8hours compared to 25minutes Yes you pay a trainer a wages but you also pay 6 life guards to watch big pool My kids and others will suffer as can not afford the increase. Why punish the future kids of Taupo and take away something they enjoy so much Us parents pay enough with your ever increasing rates in Taupo, they go up every year not every 10 years. Proposal stinks \$1500. dollars a year is alot of money a year for low income solo income families to save and pay Why charge more kids training People wish to swim in big pool and hydro slides fair enough if they can afford they will pay Give us a break More parents that can not afford it will stop coming to training, your numbers will go down so you will make even less money again You'll lose the kids and it's the kids that suffer in swimming teaching which could save their lives Let alone their poor disappointment. I have already told both my children swimming lessons are over end of term. Thanks alot Disappointed parent

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: Wai

Last name: Johnson

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Tūrangi

1.2 Next 10 Years
Do more

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Adhere to the Agreement to build the Turangi Hub dont spend \$150 k of wasted money on 'consultation' regarding the Town Centre

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree
Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.7 Housing
Agree

1.9 Community Funding
Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2
Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: David

Last name: Needham

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?


I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.11 Any other feedback?

I agree that a 21% increase is way to high considering we have just had a rates increase recently, I would remind councilors that we have voting rights and will express our grievances at the next Council Election. What happened to "looking after our older generation" Please forward this along with many other objection I am sure you will receive to the "10 year plan organizers " full submission attached

Attached Documents

Link	File
	David Needham-Submission

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, 17 June 2024 2:17 pm
To: LTP
Subject: Fwd: Re: Increase in fees

Categories: Sub to be entered

Caution: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links, open attachments, or respond unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

----- Original Message -----

[REDACTED]

Subject: Re: Increase in fees

I agree that a 21% increase is way to high considering we have just had a rates increase recently, I would remind councilors that we have voting rights and will express our grievances at the next Council Election. What happened to "looking after our older generation"
Please forward this along with many other objection I am sure you will receive to the "10 year plan organizers "
David Needham

On 16/06/2024 12:26 NZST [REDACTED]

I have just received this from Jill Roberts and also from AC Baths. Some of you may wish to make a comment to the Council too.

Isabel Hutcheon

[REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, 15 June 2024 3:01 pm

[REDACTED]
Subject: Increase of fees for Seniors & Students for Fitness Studio Membership

Hi Isabel

You have probably received the notice from council they are considering in the Long Term Plan, increasing our annual Fitness Studio Membership fees for Seniors & Students from \$237.50 to \$287.50!

That is a 21% increase. I've sent an email to: 10yearplan@taupo.govt.nz and said that a 21% increase is too high. I recommend only a 10% increase.

Council should be encouraging Seniors to keep up their fitness. It is inevitable that many will no longer be able to afford this large increase in fees that they are recommending.

For those who feel 21% increase is too much please send your submission by email to the above 10yearplan address.

5th July is the cut off date for council to receive these.

Thanks

Jill Roberts

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: Nic

Last name: Anderson

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.11 Any other feedback?

Re the proposal for increasing the fitness studio cost.

I understand the cost of everything has increased. But will anything change at the fitness studio with this large increase? Most of the time there is at least one or two machines out of order, no classes offered anymore, never a trainer in sight. We often have the mezzanine floor being closed often due to public events. We don't get a credit for the times we cannot fully use the facilities. The increase is too high for what the fitness centre offers.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: Dean

Last name: Johnson

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Tūrangi

1.2 Next 10 Years
Do more

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Build the Turangi Hub as agreed, dont waste money on consultation for the town centre

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Strongly Disagree

1.5.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue? Not interested

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree
Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.7 Housing
Strongly Disagree

1.7.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Stop spending money on social causes and spend it on what was agreed such as the Truangi hub

1.8 Development Contributions

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy? Not interested

1.9 Community Funding

No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes. Not interested

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

Not interested

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan? Not interested

1.11 Any other feedback?

Strongly support the AGREED proposal to build the Turangi Hub, stop this smoke and mirrors in regards to 'consultation' of the town Centre, a complete waste of moeny

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: Rebekah

Last name: Lipp

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

I don't think you should do less of mowing parks and reducing hours at libraries or the pool. These to me are essentials. I would look at reducing the spend of \$15million on building resilience. I mean, what even is that? \$5 million on strengthening relationships, why does that cost so much money? How about stopping the flouride that is added to our water supply, that must cost us money. When you have a councillor who has a background in roading, why is he not allowed to attend transport meetings to look at ways to reduce costs?

Your ten year plan is going to add an average amount of \$60 per week to the already high cost of rates. How many elderly will have to sell their homes because they will not be able to cover \$120 per week in rates. It has to stop! The building of 50 homes for people who can't afford a deposit is also absurd. You should sell that land to pay down debt.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.4.4 Agree

Is there a time frame in deciding a new place? How much does it cost the longer the process of finding a new location?

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

If we are really looking at focusing on essentials, then spending millions on bins right now is not a good move. If the council can pay down debt, then look at it. Food waste collection is a good idea if it can help recycle this part of the waste back into usable compost.

1.7 Housing

Strongly Disagree

1.7.2 Strongly Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I think the land should be sold and the funds be used to pay down the debt. Honestly, its like the council hasn't maxed out the credit card yet. Any sensible household eliminates debt as a priority to reduce the interest payments. Once the council is debt free, then and only then, should you be looking at purchasing more assets.

1.8 Development Contributions

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy? I don't know enough about this.

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

I don't know enough to really comment. Very value details. It would be good to actually know what sort of money is being handed out. Greening Taupo does a wonderful job and I am sure there are others but at a time when the cost of living is really causing harm, wouldn't it be good to limit what is handed out. Just like in my household, I make monthly donations to charities that I support. However, as things have become harder, I have had to cut off many that I have supported. The council should do the same. ONLY ESSENTIAL projects that really benefit the entire town should be considered.

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

Don't know enough to comment.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

How much money will it cost to implement this plan? Surely people are becoming more aware of waste and trying to reduce it. Shouldn't it be up to companies to implement bans on plastic cups than impose this on them?

1.11 Any other feedback?

Honestly, this doesn't seem like a plan about looking at the essentials. You are planning on increasing rates by \$60 PER WEEK over the next ten years. And, that is, unless of course that doesn't change in the next three year revisit of this. There was a time where you worked on paying off your mortgage, to be debt free. Now, you will be paying a small mortgage just in rates. It's out of control. Every time I drive into town I get to see the giant spending you are doing on the council building, whilst talking about HAVING to increase rates. How much did the beautiful boat like building opposite Nui college cost us? Could that have just been a standard building? What about being really tight with the rate payers money. Do just what is needed for our town. Don't get me wrong, I LOVE this town. It is beautiful and so are the people, so don't ruin it. Reduce debt, should be a priority. Focus on water (and removing flouride which a US study recenty released shows it reduced IQ in

children) and keep our town tidy and presentable. All the other things are luxuries. Get the people involved that know how to reduce costs. Councillor Duncan Campbell should be allowed to attend roading/transport meetings and be given a say based on his knowledge. It's really basic stuff. Spend less, spend wisely. Otherwise, you are causing a lot of pain in the pockets of everyday kiwis. Don't force people to sell because they can't afford rates.

I wondered about whether or not to add my feedback, because at the end of the day, I feel like the council works for the council. They ask for us to have our say, but actually, they do what they like any way. Please listen. Treat our money with respect. Spend it wisely. No frills. Reduce debt.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: Valerie

Last name: Wilkins

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Disagree

1.6.3 Strongly Agree

I am a widow.

My usage of the current system is as follows:

A half black rubbish bag with a half sticker once a fortnight.

Plastic and cardboard sometimes part bin weekly, but usually once a fortnight.

I compost all my food waste.

For someone like myself I feel unfairly disadvantaged having extra rates burden forced on me when I have no use for it.

Personally I feel that supplying extra and larger wheelie bins has the potential to increase wastage and contamination of recycling materials as anything can be hidden at the bottom of the bin.

For a lot of people, it is the easy and less socially responsible option. There is much less personal accountability for the creation of waste.

The preferred option is an unfair burden for those of us on a extremely limited income.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Sent: Wednesday, 19 June 2024 5:49 pm
To: LTP
Subject: 10 year plan response from myself to Bag it or Bin it.

Caution: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links, open attachments, or respond unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

You don't often get email from valeriejunew@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

I have just checked the on-line submission I made to the Bag it or Bin it kerbside rubbish and recycling collections. For some reason it is showing that I support the proposed option.

This is not my intention. I do not support it. I was sure I checked the alternative option box.

I do support the alternative option as my comments show. Could you please check my submission and make the amendment required.

I apologise for this error, but trust that you will ensure my feedback reaches the correct place.

Many thanks,

Valerie Wilkins

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: Elizabeth

Last name: Douglas

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Strongly Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Forget the food scrap bins. Not necessary.

Rubbish collection every weeks is not frequent enough. And, we'd rather pay for what we use. It is a home we are in every 2 weeks so don't want to pay for rubbish collection in our rates that we wouldn't be using.

1.7 Housing
Strongly Disagree

1.7.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Do not roll out green scraps, nor rubbish through bins - prefer pay per bag with weekly pickups

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: Elizabeth

Last name: Horvath

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Strongly Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Strongly Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I write to you regarding the proposed increase to the refuse collection component of the TDC rates. I agree that this model works in highly populated permanent residential areas, but I do not believe it is a good choice for the Omori/Kuratau/Pukawa area. We do not use our holiday home on a regular basis so if we were to put our holiday rubbish at the curbside, who will take it back onto our property after we have left? In our case, the chance of the wind blowing bins from the Omori Store end of Omori Rd and onto the road causing issues for motorists is high, and this is not a risk I would be prepared to take. We always take our rubbish and recycling home to the Hawkes Bay, otherwise take it down to the local dump if there is enough. I would be more than happy to pay more for the convenience there, or purchase stickers if I was sure that the bag wouldn't be ravished by animals waiting for collection day.

In the instance of holiday homes being rented out, would the renters understand the roster system, or even care to do the right thing? I imagine that it would be easier to fly tip as the public rubbish bins are removed. The environmental consequences outweigh the extra income that the council will generate. The general consensus is that the community is happy with the way things are. As a resident of the Hawkes Bay we are already suffering from the cyclone pushing our rates up by 25%, and then to incur more increases from your council seems a little unfair. I know we are considered lucky to have 2 properties, but that is how your rates are generated, and our rates subsidise the permanent residents of the council.

I was unaware of any proposal to increase this part of the rates until I saw it on the local facebook pages. The overwhelming consensus from permanent and holidaying residents is that this system won't work for us. Our young family has a strong focus on the local environment and preservation of the wildlife, so rubbish collection is something we feel strongly about. I could find no reference to it on your website. I admit that I don't attend local ratepayer meetings because we reside elsewhere and are working, but there doesn't seem to be a transparent consultation

method.

1.7 Housing

Strongly Agree

1.7.4 Strongly Agree

I feel strongly about giving first time home owners the opportunity to buy decent homes and get on the property ladder. These are the rates payers of the future and the way to build communities that the residents feel connected to.

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

1.11 Any other feedback?

Thank you for the opportunity to give feedback. Would you consider an opt in/out option for the wheelie bins in predominantly holiday home areas? Personally, I feel very disappointed in my experience with the consents department and their lack of assistance in our issues. Sandra Greenslade is a wonderful councilor who is involved and willing to listen.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 19/06/2024

First name: Julia

Last name: Finlayson

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Bins can be cumbersome for older people. The bags work well in my area as people are generally careful with their rubbish.

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.7 Housing
Agree

1.8 Development Contributions
Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding
Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2
Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.
it seems fair for lower income residents.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 20/06/2024

First name: Kaye

Last name: Barnsdall

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree
Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

i've seen what this looks like in neighbourhoods in cities and it makes the place look and smell like a slum. Imagine what would happen in a small town. This is not a good idea

1.7 Housing
Agree

1.7.3 Agree

I think either way you're going to end up with at least three houses where one used to be. Imagine the proposed bins in a situation like that

1.11 Any other feedback?

don't turn Taupo into a mini Mt Maunganui. You can't fix it once you've bugged it up. Think carefully about how it will look in the future. It might become a place no-one wants to visit for holidays anymore and there goes millions. Look after the infrastructure obviously, but don't make changes for the sake of making changes. Progress is great but there has to be care taken to preserve what it is about Taupo that brings the people here in the first place

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 20/06/2024

First name: Ngaire

Last name: Grainger

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

Yes

Additional requirements for hearing:

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I am opposed to the introduction of wheelie bins in my local community for the following reasons:

I live in an area, Omori/Kuratau, where there are more holiday homes than permanent residents. Your LTP 2021 – 2031 states that approximately 90% of the homes here are holiday homes.

The introduction of wheelie bins will incur an obvious increase to our rates, as shown in the long-term plan, that in a cost-of-living crisis is unnecessary and unwarranted. I would also like to know how the \$3 million for the bins is to be paid for. How is the \$952,225 interest to be paid for? I assume that this will be absorbed in the rates increase that is proposed – another cost not necessary in the current economic climate. TDC needs to keep the rates as low as possible, not be adding another \$3 million debt into the mix. I read in the TDC Draft Long Term Plan 2024 - 34 that the estimate for this programme to be \$4.1 million per annum.

The last Government introduced a waste strategy that states “Households in **urban areas** will have a standardised recycling service by 2027 and a household food scraps collection by 2030.” “Working with local authorities, the changes to household collections will be phased in from 2024 to 2030 as supporting infrastructure is expanded.”

As we already have a standardised recycling service there is no need to introduce wheelie bins.

An urban area in the strategy is defined as follows: “**Urban areas**’ in relation to these proposals follow the Stats NZ definition of settlements with a **population greater than 1000 residents** (the lower threshold for the smallest category).” Therefore, Omori/Kuratau IS NOT an **urban area**.

The Waste Strategy then says that “By 2030, all district and city councils provide food scraps (or food and garden waste) collections to households in **urban areas** (see definition of urban areas above)”. Once again Omori/Kuratau IS NOT an **urban area**. Therefore, food scrap bins are not required in our area.

The calculations that TDC quote in the 10-year Plan are based on households putting out a rubbish bag every week. This is not the trend in Omori/Kuratau. Please see the figures below:

- October 2 2023 – 76 properties had a bag/s outside – mid weekend of school holidays
- October 9 2023 – 84 properties had a bag/s outside – last weekend of school holidays
- October 16 2023 – 60 properties had a bag/s outside
- October 23 2023 – 172 properties had a bag/s outside – Long Weekend (Labour Weekend)
- October 30 2023 – 67 properties had a bag/s outside
- November 4 2023 – 71 properties a bag/s outside
- May 27 2024 – 77 properties had a bag/s outside
- June 3 2024 – 137 properties had a bag/s outside – Long Weekend (King’s Birthday)
- June 17 2024 – 62 properties had a bag/s outside

Most properties had only one bag and often they were not full bags. As can be seen by these figures, the cost of TDC buying bins for this area is an extra expense that neither the Council nor the ratepayers need.

For most residents, like me the increased cost on my rates of \$223 is a cost that I believe is unwarranted. Many of the residents here are on fixed income, i.e., the pension and TDC is asking them to pay another \$4.28 per week to fix a system that is not broken. I acknowledge that the \$223 does not take into account the bags that I buy, but even with the increase in the cost to \$3/bag, it will still not equate to \$4.28/week. The increase of \$41 in rates for a year for the Refuse Disposal Rate to \$184 is understandable as we all know that inflation has hit every area of our lives.

I also object on the grounds that the bins are unwieldy for our older residents to manoeuvre. TDC states that they propose: “A service to put bins back for users who are medically unable to do it themselves. This would be covered by rates.”

- How are people who are medically unfit going to get the full bins out, if they are unfit to get the empty ones back in?
- Who is going to assess that they are medically unfit?
- Who is going to pay for the medical assessment?
- How often does the medically unfit person get assessed? Yearly/every two years?
- Is every ratepayer going to pay for this service, or just the people who have been deemed medically unfit?

Another problem here is that some residents are already expected to put their rubbish at a corner on the main road. At present they can put the bags, crates into their boot. How are they expected to get the bins to the collection point?

1.11 Any other feedback?

The resurfacing of all tennis courts and the hoop court on Omori Reserve – where is this covered? They were meant to be done last year.

Council costs:

Why are all documents produced by the council full of glossy photos. The cost of printing these must be exorbitant for the council and make it unrealistic for ratepayers to print for their own perusal.

The ratio of council staff to ratepayers is 1 FTE to every 68.2 ratepayer. This is the sixth highest in NZ!

Why do all calls to the council go through one point? Surely of ratepayers want the dog pound they should just be able to ring the pound, if they want the planning department, they should be able to ring them. Why do they have to ring just one number and then get put through?

What has it cost to produce the videos about the long-term plan? Especially the one on bin it or bag it. The presenter is talking to us and behaving as if we have no intelligence and cannot work out for ourselves the implications of introducing wheelie bins.

Contractor/consultant costs - \$50,251,729 – why are we paying such large amounts to consultants when we have 80 managers on over \$100,000 and three on over \$200,000? Surely if these people are worth being paid this much, then they should have the knowledge to do their jobs without needing consultants to do it for them.

Paying for sculptures that have NO relevance whatsoever to Taupo – the dinosaur, that is not even an original piece of art! If TDC can push out until year 4-5 an events and cultural centre that was promised to Turangi, why could they not have pulled the plug on this piece of nonsense? Of course there will be another long-term plan by then, so who knows when it will get done.

Selling off the Taupo1 number plates is a joke! This is a drop in the ocean to pay the debts that have been incurred. This was a one-off cost that was bought many years ago. It is pure tokenism and an insult to all ratepayers.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

SUBMISSION TO TAUPO DISTRICT COUNCIL ON AREAS NOT MENTIONED IN THE

LONG- TERM PLAN

- The resurfacing of all tennis courts and the hoop court on Omori Reserve – where is this covered? They were meant to be done last year.
- Council costs:
 - Why are all documents produced by the council full of glossy photos. The cost of printing these must be exorbitant for the council and make it unrealistic for ratepayers to print for their own perusal.
 - The ratio of council staff to ratepayers is 1 FTE to every 68.2 ratepayer. This is the sixth highest in NZ!
 - Why do all calls to the council go through one point? Surely of ratepayers want the dog pound they should just be able to ring the pound, if they want the planning department, they should be able to ring them. Why do they have to ring just one number and then get put through?
 - What has it cost to produce the videos about the long-term plan? Especially the one on bin it or bag it. The presenter is talking to us and behaving as if we have no intelligence and cannot work out for ourselves the implications of introducing wheelie bins.
 - Contractor/consultant costs - \$50,251,729 – why are we paying such large amounts to consultants when we have 80 managers on over \$100,000 and three on over \$200,000? Surely if these people are worth being paid this much, then they should have the knowledge to do their jobs without needing consultants to do it for them.
 - Paying for sculptures that have NO relevance whatsoever to Taupo – the dinosaur, that is not even an original piece of art! If TDC can push out until year 4-5 an events and cultural centre that was promised to Turangi, why could they not have pulled the plug on this piece of nonsense? Of course there will be another long-term plan by then, so who knows when it will get done.
 - Selling off the Taupo1 number plates is a joke! This is a drop in the ocean to pay the debts that have been incurred. This was a one-off cost that was bought many years ago. It is pure tokenism and an insult to all ratepayers.

SUBMISSION TO TAUPO DISTRICT COUNCIL RE WASTE MANAGEMENT “Bin it or Bag it”

I am opposed to the introduction of wheelie bins in my local community for the following reasons:

I live in an area, Omori/Kuratau, where there are more holiday homes than permanent residents. Your LTP 2021 – 2031 states that approximately 90% of the homes here are holiday homes.

The introduction of wheelie bins will incur an obvious increase to our rates, as shown in the long-term plan, that in a cost-of-living crisis is unnecessary and unwarranted. I would also like to know how the \$3 million for the bins is to be paid for. How is the \$952,225 interest to be paid for? I assume that this will be absorbed in the rates increase that is proposed – another cost not necessary in the current economic climate. TDC needs to keep the rates as low as possible, not be adding another \$3 million debt into the mix. I read in the TDC Draft Long Term Plan 2024 - 34 that the estimate for this programme to be \$4.1 million per annum.

The last Government introduced a waste strategy that states “Households in **urban areas** will have a standardised recycling service by 2027 and a household food scraps collection by 2030.” “Working with local authorities, the changes to household collections will be phased in from 2024 to 2030 as supporting infrastructure is expanded.”

As we already have a standardised recycling service there is no need to introduce wheelie bins.

An **urban area** in the strategy is defined as follows: “‘**Urban areas**’ in relation to these proposals follow the Stats NZ definition of settlements with a **population greater than 1000 residents** (the lower threshold for the smallest category).” Therefore, Omori/Kuratau IS NOT an **urban area**.

The Waste Strategy then says that “By 2030, all district and city councils provide food scraps (or food and garden waste) collections to households in **urban areas** (see definition of urban areas above)”. Once again Omori/Kuratau IS NOT an **urban area**. Therefore, food scrap bins are not required in our area.

The calculations that TDC quote in the 10-year Plan are based on households putting out a rubbish bag every week. This is not the trend in Omori/Kuratau. Please see the figures below:

- October 2 2023 – 76 properties had a bag/s outside – mid weekend of school holidays
- October 9 2023 – 84 properties had a bag/s outside – last weekend of school holidays
- October 16 2023 – 60 properties had a bag/s outside
- October 23 2023 – 172 properties had a bag/s outside – Long Weekend (Labour Weekend)
- October 30 2023 – 67 properties had a bag/s outside
- November 4 2023 – 71 properties a bag/s outside
- May 27 2024 – 77 properties had a bag/s outside
- June 3 2024 – 137 properties had a bag/s outside – Long Weekend (King’s Birthday)
- June 17 2024 – 62 properties had a bag/s outside

Most properties had only one bag and often they were not full bags. As can be seen by these figures, the cost of TDC buying bins for this area is an extra expense that neither the Council nor the ratepayers need.

For most residents, like me the increased cost on my rates of \$223 is a cost that I believe is unwarranted. Many of the residents here are on fixed income, i.e., the pension and TDC is asking them to pay another \$4.28 per week to fix a system that is not broken. I acknowledge that the \$223 does not take into account the bags that I buy, but even with the increase in the cost to \$3/bag, it will still not equate to \$4.28/week. The increase of \$41 in rates for a year for the Refuse Disposal Rate to \$184 is understandable as we all know that inflation has hit every area of our lives.

I also object on the grounds that the bins are unwieldy for our older residents to manoeuvre. TDC states that they propose: *"A service to put bins back for users who are medically unable to do it themselves. This would be covered by rates."*

- How are people who are medically unfit going to get the full bins out, if they are unfit to get the empty ones back in?
- Who is going to assess that they are medically unfit?
- Who is going to pay for the medical assessment?
- How often does the medically unfit person get assessed? Yearly/every two years?
- Is every ratepayer going to pay for this service, or just the people who have been deemed medically unfit?

Another problem here is that some residents are already expected to put their rubbish at a corner on the main road. At present they can put the bags, crates into their boot. How are they expected to get the bins to the collection point?

I wish to come to speak to this submission.

Ngairi Grainger
42 Te Waaka Terrace
Kuratau

021435535

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 20/06/2024

First name: Anthony

Last name: How

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Strongly Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.5.4 Agree

I would advocate for trying to find one long term solution to this problem rather than spend money on a medium term issue and then again spend money on a longer term plan rather than doing it well once for future proofing.

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Agree

1.6.3 Strongly Agree

Wheelie bins will have an added extra cost but overall will assist in reducing waste going to the incorrect places. To help with the integration of this new initiative I think that using our local schools as a vehicle for spreading the correct information would be valuable as this promotes environmental sustainability and a sense of community pride to keep Taupo clean. Currently the school I work at is not recycling our plastic bottles as they need to be rinsed and lids removed. This is a huge environmental waste as students are just binning bottles and this will carry on at home. Using the schools to educate our students on how to recycle correctly is one simple way to help this issue.

1.7 Housing
Agree

1.8 Development Contributions
Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

This will help new and current community groups to get essential funding to provide services to our community.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

This will help our sport and community groups access funding to improve the way that they deliver their content and provide more opportunities to locals

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

I think minimising waste that comes from construction sites and commercial areas is important. However, health and safety is always thrown into the mix with these things and being able to minimise this effect could help with this. For example the demolition of a commercial site that has significant useful materials such as decking, roofing iron and the like could be salvaged and sold or put aside for community groups to use for projects. This would need to be managed but could be useful for improvements to community areas.

1.11 Any other feedback?

I strongly support the upgrade and development of Owen Delany park as this is a key asset to the community for sport and recreation. This hub, with more development has the potential to be a revenue generating area with sporting tournaments and commercial events such as music festivals. The more we can help support the local sport clubs to use this facility and create a home for sport in Taupo for Cricket, Rugby, Netball, Gymnastics and Cycling the more people will include themselves in these sporting codes and help develop sport in the community and also give back to facilities like this. It is imperative that all sport clubs have a place that they can call home as this belonging is what generates a culture and also a returning culture where old members look to give back to places and clubs that gave to them.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 20/06/2024

First name: neil

Last name: ross

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree
Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

why don't we stick with the 'pay as you throw' for general waste and have wheelie bins for recycling

1.7 Housing
Agree

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 20/06/2024

First name: Ian

Last name: Garratt

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Other

1.2 Next 10 Years
Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Attached Documents

Link	File
------	------

No records to display.

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 20/06/2024

First name: Allan

Last name: Porter

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Agree

1.6.4 Agree
I agree with the use of a Food Waste Bin as I do not self compost now.

1.7 Housing
Strongly Agree

1.8 Development Contributions
Yes
Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding
Yes
Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes. I support the funding of local community needs.

1.9.1 Community Q2
Yes
Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

1.11 Any other feedback?

Close Roberts Street to through traffic. It is dangerous for edarly and children in the area.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 20/06/2024

First name: Fraser

Last name: Smail

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.11 Any other feedback?

What a huge increase in gym membership fees for senior citizens.

I like going to the gym as a means of staying fit but also as a place to visit to break up my day. A 21% increase in fees along with increases in rates, insurance, maintenance food and petrol puts me in the position of having to make harder choices. Probably a gym membership will be a luxury I will have to forgo.

Fraser Smail

Senior citizen

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 20/06/2024

First name: Jack

Last name: Nuttall

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Do more

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Please look at street lighting. There are areas around town which are public right of ways that are not lit.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.4.4 Agree

Yes, we need to look at all the wastewater issues. Most areas are growing very fast. Perhaps developemnts need to be held until infrastructre is ready to service them.

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.5.4 Agree

As per my previous comment.

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Agree

1.6.4 Agree

Yes, agree we need to improve the current system. As an example there are so many different companys collecting general waste, recycling, and the garden waste. On some days there are 6 heavy goods trucks down the same road all doing the same task of collecting household refuse. Not withstanding general truck diesel pollution all those heavy good vehicles produce. Also residential roads were not likely built to withstand that volume of heavy trucks long term. Not sure now all the bins will stored in most properties. What about communal areas for disposal? Also I would like to see monthly collections at cental points where people can bring heavier household goods etc where the coiuncil then transferes them to the town recycling centre. This would be a free service to the community (a nice jesture) and hopefully reduce fly tipping which the council has to clear in anycase. This would be for household and not for commercial exploitation.

1.7 Housing
Agree

1.7.3 Agree

Yes agree, there must be covenant on the properties to prevent Air B and B and private rentals (permanently after being resold). Soley for first time buyers as you mentioned.

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

1.11 Any other feedback?

I thnk the following points need to be considered as high priority.

1. Steet lighting

2. Campervan parking, and facilities.

3. The replacement/ second bridge at control gate bridge. With land likely to be sold and residential building planned at the tope of the hill by the Taupo lookout the access into town accross the bridge will likely be continuously tailed back to the lookout as happening during major holiday, school holiday and major taupo events.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 20/06/2024

First name: Margaret

Last name: Sager

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I am totally against the introduction of wheelie bins to the Pukawa area (or anywhere else) because.....

1. I do not generate enough recycling or rubbish to warrant them. My green (recycling) crate goes out every 3 or 4 weeks. The black (glass) one every 5 or 6 weeks, but it's rarely full, just getting heavy. A bag about every 6 to 8 weeks. I have a 'worm farm' that takes most food waste.

2. I have nowhere inside to store wheelie bins.

3. Bins left outside will blow over in the wind and cause hazards.

4. Many property owners would not be in Pukawa, after collection, to return their bins, so they would be left to blow around for weeks. I have considerable experience of wheelie bins elsewhere and I know that they DO blow over, even when full of recycling.

5. The bin lids blow open in strong winds, which are common in Pukawa, and recycling blows out. In the Manawatu everyone was issued with rubber clips to help prevent this, after several years of the problem.

6. People put non-recyclable items in bins (either deliberately or in error). This leads to a whole truck load being contaminated, so must go to landfill.

7. Currently recycling is efficiently sorted 'at source' by the person putting the bin out and the truck sorter. With wheelie bins more time, effort and cost will be required to sort items at the depot.


8. Not all 'number one' plastic bottles – can be recycled. Too hard to recycle: Calls to ban some coloured plastic bottles (1news.co.nz) There's nowhere in New Zealand that can recycle the bottles and while some larger councils like Auckland are able to send them offshore, many are struggling with what to do with them. When I mentioned this to Brent Aitken, during a phone call, he dismissed my concerns, telling me not to believe everything I see/hear on the television! So rude.

9. Wheelie Bins are not necessary. The system we have is not perfect but works better than wheelie bins. (I would be happy to discuss ways in which the current system could be improved/streamlined).

10. Soft plastics form a large part of plastic waste which TDC does not currently collect, and would not in wheelie bins. I currently purchase special post bags to send all of my soft plastic for recycling.

11. The introduction of bins is a huge, unnecessary expense. I'd rather the money were spent on fixing the Three Waters problems in Pukawa.

Attached Documents

Link	File
	Submission Margaret Sager

I am writing as a Property Owner and Resident of Pūkawa, re the Long Term Plan specifically, Wheelie Bins.

I am totally **against** the introduction of wheelie bins to the Pūkawa area (or anywhere else) because.....

1. I do not generate enough recycling or rubbish to warrant them.
My green (recycling) crate goes out every 3 or 4 weeks.
The black (glass) one every 5 or 6 weeks, but it's rarely full, just getting heavy.
A bag about every 6 to 8 weeks.
I have a 'worm farm' that takes most food waste.
2. I have nowhere inside to store wheelie bins.
3. Bins left outside will blow over in the wind and cause hazards.
4. Many property owners would not be in Pūkawa, after collection, to return their bins, so they would be left to blow around for weeks. I have considerable experience of wheelie bins elsewhere and **I know** that they **DO blow over**, even when full of recycling.
5. The bin lids blow open in strong winds, which are common in Pūkawa, and recycling blows out. In the Manawatu everyone was issued with rubber clips to help prevent this, after several years of the problem.
6. People put non-recyclable items in bins (either deliberately or in error). This leads to a whole truck load being contaminated, so must go to landfill.
7. Currently recycling is efficiently sorted 'at source' by the person putting the bin out and the truck sorter. With wheelie bins more time, effort and cost will be required to sort items at the depot.
8. Not all 'number one' plastic bottles – can be recycled.
[Too hard to recycle: Calls to ban some coloured plastic bottles \(1news.co.nz\)](http://1news.co.nz)
There's nowhere in New Zealand that can recycle the bottles and while some larger councils like Auckland are able to send them offshore, many are struggling with what to do with them.
When I mentioned this to **Brent Aitken**, during a phone call, he dismissed my concerns, telling me not to believe everything I see/hear on the television! So rude.
9. Wheelie Bins are not necessary. The system we have is not perfect but works better than wheelie bins. (I would be happy to discuss ways in which the current system could be improved/streamlined).
10. Soft plastics form a large part of plastic waste which TDC does not currently collect, and would not in wheelie bins. I currently purchase special post bags to send all of my soft plastic for recycling.
11. The introduction of bins is a huge, unnecessary expense. I'd rather the money were spent on fixing the **Three Waters** problems in Pūkawa.

Margaret Sagar

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 20/06/2024

First name: Alan

Last name: Bishop

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Tūrangi

1.2 Next 10 Years
Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree
Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I don't favour the collection of waste food.

I am a single person living alone, I think the preferred option will increase my rates bill which is becoming increasingly onerous.

1.7 Housing
Disagree

1.7.1 Disagree
Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I don't think the council should have any involvement with social housing in any form.

1.11 Any other feedback?

I think the structures within council need to be evaluated it see if efficiencies can be made.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 20/06/2024

First name: Alby

Last name: Shaw

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I am very suspicious of the plan to convert the present rubbish collection to a wheelie bin structure.

The present rubbish system works well and no cohesive plan to introduce bins has been nominated.

I have spoken to several residents about the issue and nobody can understand why a perfectly good system needs changing.

There are a very few residents who do have a wheelie bin for good reasons, mainly to dispose of garden waste.

If anyone wants such a bin the option is currently available.

In the current economic conditions nobody wants to add to their expense bill.

Another problem concerns the topography of the area with little flat land. Wheelie bins are totally unsuitable on undulating territory such as in many lakeside settlements.

The large size of the bin also adds problems in storage needing more vertical space.

The present system, the green bins, restricts content but the wheelie bin, being of larger volume anticipates more rubbish which will lead to greater disposal area, i.e. greater use of dumps. Where?

Already residents are fearful of rates rising beyond the rate of inflation.

Shelve the idea. The present system works well.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 20/06/2024

First name: Anja

Last name: Hambach

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Tūrangi

1.2 Next 10 Years
Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Focus on the key responsibilities of council. Just like households are having to tighten their belts and spend their money thoughtfully, council too needs to focus on its key functions. There are "nice to have" and "must have" items on your list. May be now is not the time to "buy" fancy cycle paths that not many people are using get new artwork installed or build big offices.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.4.4 Agree

Keep investigating land based options.

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree
Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Having bins doesn't discourage "throw away" mentality - if there's room in the bin, people are more likely to just dump stuff instead of recycling or reducing waste. It also doesn't cater for the many holiday homes in the district. Bag and pay for your rubbish is more adaptive to different size households and situations. We do not get any rubbish collection and have to take our waste/recycling to the dump. While the recycling centre at the dump has been upgraded, there are currently no options for 2 and 5 plastics. Also, meat trays are not recycled when they are No. 1 plastic???

1.7 Housing

Agree

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Encourage and lobby for packaging reductions at source (with manufacturers). Why are we still producing No. 6 plastics for example if no one can recycle them? Council wide policy to purchase only products that can be recycled or are biodegradable. The first step to waste minimisation is "Refuse", then "re-use, repair, reduce, recycle etc." Require events to have a sound waste minimisation strategy in place, including supervised recycling stations.

1.11 Any other feedback?

Turangi Town Centre - your allocated \$150 for yet another report (on top of various previous reports) seems like a total waste of time and money. For over 20 years now, we have struggled to move forward with town centre planning in Turangi - some small gains have been made (and were hard won) and you have worn down the most dedicated community individuals with lots of talking and planning and not a lot of doing. No wonder people here are frustrated and don't engage with Council positively. If we cannot proceed with bigger picture strategic plans for the Turangi Town Centre, spend the money on: clean ups; fixing the down pipe/stormwater run off issues; discouraging a bird colony from re-establishing next summer (TOTALLY INAPPROPRIATE FOR A TOWN CENTRE, LET ALONE UNHYGENIC, UNATTRACTIVE AND DISCOURAGING OF VISITORS); removing weeds from garden beds and cleaning the glass roof. At least we can look after what we have properly and make it attractive rather than desolate and dirty.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 20/06/2024

First name: Karen

Last name: Moses

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

Yes

Additional requirements for hearing:

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific) I think it depends what you regard as essentials

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.5.4 Agree

I think it has been by design that previous councils have not addressed the water situation, thus making it become an expensive venture

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.7 Housing

Agree

1.8 Development Contributions

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

1.11 Any other feedback?

you need to start listening to the people. STOP following an agenda outlined by the World Economic Forum and United Nations

You need to stop all the wasteful spending on cameras, towers and surveillance equipment, also stupid plans that someone had a vision about many years ago

You need to step up and listen when we bring you credible information about why there should be NO Fluoride in the water and very little chemicals there are natural ways to process good clean water

I'm sick of seeing people unwell I want to live in a town where our Mayor and councillors are proactive not telling us we will get a fine if we don't follow orders

You probably all have children, grandchildren is this this life you want for the them, a controlled communist life

Stand up and be the leaders in a new way forward without the corruption of outside forces, otherwise we are just paying you to be fence sitters repeatedly quoting we are just following orders

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 20/06/2024

First name: Warren

Last name: Watts

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Do more

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific) Traffic and roading

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Rates increase to cater for bins that may not need emptying each week. Bin cost new vehicles or contractor costs to lift bins. Extra space taken up by bins in storage at home. Washing out bins because no bag inside. Bag still reqd to avoid messy stinky bins. Find a biodegradable rubbish bag alternative.

1.7 Housing
Agree

1.11 Any other feedback?

Why should you have to pay extra to drop off a pre paid rubbish bag at the Council dump? Paying twice? Holiday people wanting to dump rubbish bags when they leave have to pay extra if it's a yellow pre paid bag or leave it out the front for what may be 3 days and hope it doesn't get ripped open?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 20/06/2024

First name: Paul

Last name: Henson

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Stop wasting money on fanciful projects such as - traffic lights, new council offices rent \$1.2m pa, Cultural Precinct project, Titiraupenga bypass, \$1m moving costs from the old council buildings...

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.4.4 Agree

You need to include an option for "neither agree or disagree" for those of us who aren't fully cogniscent of the issues.

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.5.4 Agree

Again, only limited information about an issue I have no previous knowledge of.

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Agree

1.6.3 Strongly Agree

I have a small cereal sized box which is my rubbish for c. 1 year.

I'd suggest we should be encouraging people to eliminate rubbish completely, but buying only packaging etc that is recyclable.

1.7 Housing

Strongly Disagree

1.7.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I've asked councillors and previous CEO to provide information to justify the comment - "Taupo District has a severe housing shortage".

Firstly, council have provided no evidence that there is a housing issue in Taupo.

On the Real Estate website 16jun24 are:

531 properties for sale,
745 properties recently sold,
55 rentals available.

If there were close to zero available, that would be a crisis, but we are far, far away from that position. There is no housing crisis.

Secondly, it's not council's mandate to spend rates for the benefit of a few, but for the community at large, where anyone can use ratepayer funded facilities.

Thirdly, council have tried this before and failed, with persons taking advantage of cheaper house prices offered by council, and on-selling them for a profit. A clear waste of ratepayers' money for the benefit of a few.

So, no, council should definitely not be involved in assisting first home buyers with properties.

1.8 Development Contributions

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1. Developed costs must be spent in the area they are charged. I know of \$millions in development costs NOT being spent in the areas collected.

2. Water and wastewater charges appear to be chargeable despite rain water collection and soak hole usage in rural areas, or as a developer choice. Are these optional in these cases?

1.9 Community Funding

No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

No idea. You need a "neither yes or no" option.

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

No idea. You need a "neither yes or no" option.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Support recycling and waste minimisation, but would like to see more education and higher charges for rubbish (that could generally be recycled).

1.11 Any other feedback?

LTP.

Why bother?

The 2012 LTP included the following plans or regulations:

Pedestrianise Tongariro St.

Connect the cbd with the domain and the lake front.

Support cbd businesses.

A 3 storey cbd construction restriction.

The recommendation to install roundabouts.

Providing an efficient traffic management plan.

Council's action:

80 more carparks on Tongariro St.

Retained the bus stop with a cycle lane on one side of parked buses and a traffic lane on the other.

Retained Roberts St for vehicles, (also causing pollution issues with vehicles close to restaurant patrons).

Installed/retained an unused cycle lane up control gates hill (with an illegal hatched area and vehicle lane to it's right), which could be a vehicle lane to ease congestion.

Approved a 6 storey hotel proposal, using obviously incorrect consulting advice, that clearly and significantly breaches the district plan (3 storeys), the LGA (requiring accurate information for the basis of decisions) and effectively ignored commissioners' opinions.

Installed 3 sets of traffic lights (not the recommended roundabouts).

Created a barrier to entry with the cbd bypass, creating unprecedented traffic congestion and an incentive against visiting and supporting cbd businesses.

The community agreed on several courses of action and council ignored them.

Why bother making submissions, and forming long term plans, when council just ignore them?

My submission is therefore that council actually does what the community agrees on, or failing this, a clause in the LTP to appoint a commissioner to replace councillors and CEO.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 20/06/2024

First name: Paul

Last name: Wilson

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Eastern bays (Hātepe, Motutere, Motuoapa, Tauranga-Taupō)

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

We are semi permanent residents and as such would not need to use the proposed system of wheelie bins for rubbish and recycling, even when here about 8 months of the year we put out only 1 bag of rubbish every 2 to 3 weeks , please have a exemption for rate pyers such as ourselves. I am sure there are many in our position, also most pensioners would be unlikely to need bins of the proposed sizes.

Also please note we have a 140 liter bin in Whangaparaoa collected weekly with annual cost of \$273.19 paid direct to Enconowaste Ltd , far cheaper than your proposed charge

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 20/06/2024

First name: brent

Last name: hoare

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Cut back all spending and pay back your debt, No one can afford high rate increases each year, The amount that council gets from rates is huge but it is wasted on pet projects

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Strongly Disagree

1.4.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

If the council had no debt there would be no add costs to rate payers for this

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Disagree

1.5.1 Disagree
Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

When you put more housing in with these development the council makes a lot of money but do not up grade the infrastructure to support it but still happy to charge them rates

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

This is going to cost ratepayer an extra \$366 per year on wot ever the next rate increase is, \$4.7M each year

to run it, This is a huge cost, A lot of people do not have places to put there bins, For my self i would have to push the bins up my steep drive way which in winter can ice ova and can be dangerous, All so as you know Taupo has an older population as well, Have you taken into account how meany you would have to help to put these bins out and how meany you would need to hire to do this, As far as having a bin for food scraps i would not use because that just invites rodents (RATS, POSSUMS, ANTS, BLOWFLIES AND MAGGOTS) Yes we have Possums in Gillies ave, I find it easy to take my recycling to the dump and just put mt rubbish bag out every week or 2, So for thous reasons i strongly opposed to this

1.7 Housing
Strongly Disagree

1.7.2 Strongly Disagree
Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

If you look at the new subdivision in Richmond Heights they have not sold one section, All so with the lay out of some of the sections with the moats where would you put all the rubbish bins which i hope don't go ahead

1.8 Development Contributions
Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding
No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

Fund wot needs to be funded if and only if it helps the community, Cut your costs and pay back your debt for a rainy day

1.9.1 Community Q2
No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan
No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 20/06/2024

First name: Bevan

Last name: Choat

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Please do NOT bring in 'wheelie bins' since neither me or my wife Pauline would cope! I am a badly crippled 88-year-old, and my wife Pauline is losing her eye-sight We would not cope with storing or managing a wheelie bin. There are also five other people in our Lakeridge Village who are over 90-years-of- age none of whom could manage wheelie bins. There may also be others in their 80s who could never cope. Please give us the consideration we deserve. Thank you.

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.11 Any other feedback?

Traffic flow in the CBD has deteriorated with recent changes and worse are the recent plantings in the CBD that do NOTHING for the once attractive setting of this rapidly growing town where we have been lucky to live for the past 56 years. Previous councils need to be congratulated for the development of sporting facilities over the past 56 years. This has shown positive forward thinking for what is now 34 different sporting codes. These fact are revealed in the book I have compiled on the development of sport in the Taupo District from 1922 to 2002. Much of that background can be obtained from my radio and press files held in the Taupo archives building. During my time in the media here in Taupo my aim was to put Taupo sport on the national map, which I believe I achieved through the media. Thank you for the opportunity of allowing us to make comments.

Attached Documents

Link	File
------	------

No records to display.

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 20/06/2024

First name: Brittany

Last name: Kewish

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Agree

1.6.3 Strongly Agree

why cant both be an option - household choice

Selwyn District Council have the option, you order bins (can even choose the size) prices are set per size and added to your rates accordingly or you can choose to just use bags.

Therefore, those with holiday homes can continue to use bags or perhaps those who cant afford another \$300 odd added to their rates.

Personally we only put a single yellow bag of rubbish out monthly, recycling every 3 weeks and glass bimonthly so for us bags are probably cheaper however, I did think it was very backwards when we moved here that you were still using bags.

1.7 Housing
Strongly Agree

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: David

Last name: Auckram

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Eastern bays (Hātepe, Motutere, Motuoapa, Tauranga-Taupō)

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

wheelie bins are a mayjor disadvantage to holiday home owners as we are there mainly weekends how will put the bin out and bring it back in. At the moment we bring our weekend rubbish back to Napier after a weekend and will continue to do that but potentially pay for an expensive bin as well

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.7 Housing

Agree

1.8 Development Contributions

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

Attached Documents

Link	File

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Michelle

Last name: Crowe

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

As a household we do not generate much rubbish at all, putting out only one bag of rubbish every 3-4 weeks. The bins would significantly increase my personal costs and is far more excessive than required

1.7 Housing
Agree

1.8 Development Contributions
Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding
Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Marlene

Last name: Casey

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Ron

Last name: Casey

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

kuratau is a unique holiday home suburb. Bins would be absolutely useless. Nobody to put the bin out nobody to put the bins back on the pickup days. Strongly against bins. Bag pickup or take the bag to the tip.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Lauren

Last name: Kernohan

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Eastern bays (Hātepe, Motutere, Motuoapa, Tauranga-Taupō)

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

spend less on Taupo Structures and start spending some on Turangi.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue? keep the bags

1.7 Housing

Disagree

1.7.1 Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

council should not be involved in the housing industry

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.
as long as it doesn't cut out community arts and social groups and it doesn't all goes to sporting and welfare groups

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: BUTTAR

Last name: Craig

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Eastern bays (Hātepe, Motutere, Motuoapa, Tauranga-Taupō)

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.7 Housing

Disagree

1.7.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Edward

Last name: Hutt

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Agree

1.6.4 Agree

Although I agree with the change I feel that it should be user pays and not a blanket charge to the rates as there will be a large number of people like myself generate very little rubbish.

1.7 Housing
Disagree

1.7.1 Disagree
Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.8 Development Contributions
Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding
Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes. looks good

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.
great idea

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
------	------

No records to display.

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Darren

Last name: Brosnahan

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Eastern bays (Hātepe, Motutere, Motuoapa, Tauranga-Taupō)

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

the proposal is unfair to landlords. As a rental property owner i do not want the costs for my tenants rubbish removal being passed into me. This is not an effective end-user pay system.

1.7 Housing

Agree

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Greg

Last name: Eunson

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Other

1.2 Next 10 Years
Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

We are a holiday home owner and rarely use the service.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Strongly Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

We are a holiday home owner and rarely use the service so \$366 annual increase in rates would be us paying for essentially nothing. The bag option suits us.

If the bin option goes ahead, it should be opt in or opt out.

1.7 Housing
Agree

1.8 Development Contributions
Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Lyn

Last name: McCarthy

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Eastern bays (Hātepe, Motutere, Motuoapa, Tauranga-Taupō)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

We have a holiday home in Motuoapa would preferer having bags as its a weekend place and there would be no one to bring the bins in and they would be left out for weeks on end and up blowing over, making the place untidy.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Brian

Last name: Shaw

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I have a holiday house at XXXXXX Pukawa which I regularly use at weekends -I find it convenient to “take’ my rubbish with me rather than leave the current bins as there is no one to “put” them away until the next time I am in residence.

I am totally against the current proposal regarding the **wheelie bins** as it is impractical to use and who will be responsible for them being put away -I can see a huge problem

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Andy

Last name: Bloomer

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Do more

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

I would be happier to pay higher rates to prevent services being cut. I have limited interaction with Amplify, but it has done very good things for the geothermal industry over the last few years. This is good for Taupo and New Zealand generally.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Strongly Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Strongly Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Agree

1.7 Housing
Strongly Agree

1.7.4 Strongly Agree

I believe that adequate housing is essential for all New Zealanders and if Council, through our rates, is able to help some families there, I think that we should. Partiuclarly as Central Government seems to be abrogating its responsibilities.

1.8 Development Contributions
Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding
Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

I understand that waste from building and construction is considerable (more waste is generating in building a house than will be generated by that household over 30 years). Work has been done with large construction companies on reducing this with good results - it seems that it does NOT cost to reduce waste. However, smaller builders are not aware of this and do not do what they could to reduce their waste to landfill. Council may be able to help in educating and guiding local builders and the like.

1.11 Any other feedback?

Generally, I am very happy that the amount I spend in rates is well spent in keeping Taupo the place that it is, with the facilities that it has for me and other residents.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Lisa

Last name: Auckram

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Eastern bays (Hātepe, Motutere, Motuoapa, Tauranga-Taupō)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Being a holiday home owner in Motuoapa community, the bag it option is more beneficial as we we will not be able to put wheelie bins out during the week as we are not in Motuoapa weekdays, and also we would not be there to bring the wheelie bins back onto our property. We have the option to take our rubbish back home to Napier with us, but on the odd chance we are in Motuoapa when it is rubbish collection day we can use one of our taupo yellow rubbish bags to put out for collection.

Also the alternative option provides for residents/holiday home owners to have their rubbish collected weekly, and this option works well, instead of having bins full of rubbish for 2 weeks.

I feel some people need their rubbish collected weekly otherwise our communities will end up with rubbish dumped all around the area.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Margaret

Last name: McCarthy

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Eastern bays (Hātepe, Motutere, Motuoapa, Tauranga-Taupō)

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

No further cycleways/walkways. Not cost effective. Cost far outweighs number of people who use it. Toll people who use it to help pay for repairs and maintenance

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Disagree

1.5.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Bins will impact on holiday homes and will be untenable. Usually owners away from site so not able to monitor. Difficult to convey to guests when collection would be.

1.7 Housing

Disagree

1.7.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Proposal would encourage dense housing projects. This will become a social issue in the future

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Pat

Last name: McCluskey

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Tūrangi

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

As there are only 2 of us we recycle paper,plastic,metal and glass every week. We compost all food waste and only put a bag out once a month. We have a very small section and the wheelie bins would take up far more space. We are totally satisfied with the status quo and 100% reject the proposed changes.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Veronica

Last name: Lane

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Strongly Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Agree

1.7 Housing
Strongly Agree

1.8 Development Contributions
Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding
Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2
Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Ben

Last name: Bridge

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Eastern bays (Hātepe, Motutere, Motuoapa, Tauranga-Taupō)

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue? leave kerb side collection as is

1.7 Housing

Agree

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Murray

Last name: Retter

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Tūrangi

1.2 Next 10 Years
Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)
continue with bags..but make the collection frequency fortnightly

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Strongly Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree
Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

bins are not suitable for holiday homes.bags at least can be taken to rubbish tip...or left out if there on collection day.

Just another charge to the rate payer..whether service is used or not

1.7 Housing
Agree

1.8 Development Contributions
Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link	File
------	------

No records to display.

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Kay

Last name: Farthing

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Totally against types of bins suggested for Pukawa. We have owned property there for over 40 years, there has never been a problem with the current process. There is no reason to change, there are numerous problems against the council's proposal.

Bins way too large for mainly holiday homes.

Being large would have less rubbish in them and if weather inclement will be over and rubbish everywhere even before emptied.

Once emptied who will re home them from verge

Wind will have them moving everywhere

Bin would no doubt be put out after home owner leaves weather is going to have them move everywhere creating a health and safety issue as they move with weather conditions.

Dangerous when home owners return at night and they are on roads etc.

Many areas have homes on hills /inclines causing left bins to be even more likely to move with winds before they are even emptied.

The smaller food bins will be even more of a problem and subject to all of above and will move even easier.

Rubbish has never been an issue before, why change a system that is not broken.

It will unnecessarily increase rates for the homeowners for something not needed.

Complete waste of time/energy/\$\$\$ for home owners who have a working system already in place.

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Alistair

Last name: Dornan

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Just recently I have been made aware that your Council is seeking to implement the provision of Wheely Bins in Kuratau/Omori at an additional cost of \$366 per annum . This is in addition to the yearly refuse charge we already pay .

We are absent holiday home owners who always bring our Household refuse home with us and on rare occasions when we are there for an extended period (Xmas/New Year) we may use the recycling facility .

We do not see any need for Wheely Bins to be utilised in the refuse/recycling of domestic/household waste .

We certainly DO NOT want to pay the fees you are proposing . Words fail me when the total costs you are hoping to impose on a Community when the current system is functioning satisfactorily defies common sense .

Given that most holiday home owners depart on a Sunday to head home who is going to put the Wheely bins away after they have been emptied on a Monday/Tuesday ?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Aiden

Last name: West

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.9 Community Funding
No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2
No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

Taupo District Council Long Term Plan Submission

Draft Community Funding Policy 2024

We have concerns regarding the draft community funding policy 2024 as follows:

- ? Creative Taupo have received no complaints on how funds have been distributed in the past, why change the model for the creative community?
- ? Funds will no longer be allocated specifically to the creative community; this creates concerns that the creative community will miss out on sufficient grant funding.
- ? Funding allocated to the creative community will be entirely at the whim of the 'committees of council' and will depend on the makeup of these committees and their drive to fulfill certain outcomes..
- ? What will the makeup of the 'committees of council' be?
- ? Will experts in each area be on the committees?
- ? If councillors are part of the 'committees of council' does this create a conflict of interest for them within the community and their portfolios?
- ? If council employees are part of the 'committees of council' will this create conflicts of interest for them? I.e., views may be skewed to particular outcomes, i.e., innovative, thriving community, over say vibrant places & connected communities, there seems to be no safeguards against this? It also could be skewed to more fiscal outcomes for the council where funding for event hosting goes back to the council for the hireage of venues such as the GLC, Niven Room at the Museum and the Events Centre.
- ? Why is the community grant fund committee different to the Accelerator and Strategic funds?
- ? How will the funding split between the 3 funds be determined?
- ? Will small projects lose out to big projects?
- ? Individual athletes can access sponsorship fairly easily but individual creatives can find it difficult to

find sponsorship for say an exhibition or publishing a book, it is important to bear this in mind when allocating grants.

- ? While the focus on Tangata whenua is fantastic there is no mention of supporting other cultures in our diverse cultural community.
- ? While the community outcomes may seem to address this, there is no mention of the role of local authority per the Local Government Act 2002 section 10; b) to promote the **social**, economic, environmental, and **cultural** well-being of communities in the present and future.
- ? There is no mention of the Taupo District Council Arts & Culture Action Plan in the outcomes even though it has been accepted by council and not shared with the wider community.
- ? Arts, culture, Nga Toi and sporting activities have been grouped together in the community outcomes. We would like to see Arts, culture, and Nga Toi separated from sporting activities.
- ? Having only one round of funding per year will create difficulties for community groups organising events throughout the year as these are not always decided 12 months in advance and further opportunities for visiting education or collaboration pop up throughout the year.
- ? Recipients are limited to not-for-profit, charitable organisations and individuals (for the Community Grants Fund), this may limit the outcome of 'vibrant places' by excluding local and touring performers, artists etc.. from accessing funding to enable them to perform in our district at accessible prices.

If the policy is to be adopted, we suggest the following improvements:

- ? Ring fence minimum \$ to go towards each outcome, each fund and even distribution of funds between the creative community, sport, social etc..
- ? Include references to the Taupo District Council Arts & Culture Action Plan in the outcomes.
- ? Include trusted advisors in each area from the community on the committees with equal representation across the arts, social, sport, environment etc... perhaps with a fixed term (say 2 years)
- ? Set a maximum grant available per project for the community grant fund, to ensure a wide range of activities and a wide community base are supported, not just a select few projects.
- ? Prioritise Community Well Being as an overarching philosophy in the outcomes
- ? Ensure the funding meetings are open to the public and advertised.

Arts and Culture in the Taupo District

The Taupo District is alive with Arts and Culture and fostering the creative community can only benefit the wellbeing of the community.

Creative funding from the council is invaluable to the community as can be seen with projects from past recipients which is evident through all the projects supported over the years by the Creative Taupo Fund.

'Over the next 30 years, Aotearoa New Zealand's population of seniors will grow from around 850,000 (17 percent of the population) to around 1.5 million (24 percent of the population). And it is not only growing numerically, but also structurally.'

Ministry of Housing and Urban Development, 'The long-term implications of our ageing population for our housing and urban futures', <https://www.hud.govt.nz/news/the-long-term-implications-ofour-ageing-population-for-our-housing-andurban-futures/>

Artistic, cultural and creative activities can be a powerful way to bring senior and isolated people together, and support wellbeing.

Long-term loneliness has been proven to negatively affect physical and mental wellbeing outcomes.

World Health Organization, 'Decade of healthy Ageing, Advocacy Brief: Social Isolation and Loneliness Among Older People', p.2, <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240030749>.

Worldwide, it is estimated that 25% of older people are isolated.

World Health Organisation, 'WHO Commission on Social Connection', <https://www.who.int/groups/commission-on-social-connection>.


The arts improve intergenerational relationships and connection to family and friends. “The arts act as a catalyst for bridging, bonding and linking social capital—it provides opportunities for engagement that reduce social isolation, encourages community cohesion, mutual support, improved intergenerational relationships and connection to family and friends.”

VicHealth, 'The arts and creative industries in health promotion', (2020) p.17, https://www.vichealth.vic.gov.au/sites/default/files/VH_SaxArts-Review.pdf.

1.11 Any other feedback?

Please see attached

Attached Documents

Link	File
	Taupo District Council Long Term Plan Submission - Community Funding Policy 2024

Taupo District Council Long Term Plan Submission

Draft Community Funding Policy 2024

We have concerns regarding the draft community funding policy 2024 as follows:

- Creative Taupo have received no complaints on how funds have been distributed in the past, why change the model for the creative community?
- Funds will no longer be allocated specifically to the creative community; this creates concerns that the creative community will miss out on sufficient grant funding.
- Funding allocated to the creative community will be entirely at the whim of the 'committees of council' and will depend on the makeup of these committees and their drive to fulfill certain outcomes..
- What will the makeup of the 'committees of council' be?
- Will experts in each area be on the committees?
- If councillors are part of the 'committees of council' does this create a conflict of interest for them within the community and their portfolios?
- If council employees are part of the 'committees of council' will this create conflicts of interest for them? I.e., views may be skewed to particular outcomes, i.e., innovative, thriving community, over say vibrant places & connected communities, there seems to be no safeguards against this? It also could be skewed to more fiscal outcomes for the council where funding for event hosting goes back to the council for the hireage of venues such as the GLC, Niven Room at the Museum and the Events Centre.
- Why is the community grant fund committee different to the Accelerator and Strategic funds?
- How will the funding split between the 3 funds be determined?
- Will small projects lose out to big projects?
- Individual athletes can access sponsorship fairly easily but individual creatives can find it difficult to find sponsorship for say an exhibition or publishing a book, it is important to bear this in mind when allocating grants.
- While the focus on Tangata whenua is fantastic there is no mention of supporting other cultures in our diverse cultural community.
- While the community outcomes may seem to address this, there is no mention of the role of local authority per the Local Government Act 2002 section 10; b) to promote the **social**, economic, environmental, and **cultural** well-being of communities in the present and future.
- There is no mention of the Taupo District Council Arts & Culture Action Plan in the outcomes even though it has been accepted by council and not shared with the wider community.
- Arts, culture, Nga Toi and sporting activities have been grouped together in the community outcomes. We would like to see Arts, culture, and Nga Toi separated from sporting activities.

- Having only one round of funding per year will create difficulties for community groups organising events throughout the year as these are not always decided 12 months in advance and further opportunities for visiting education or collaboration pop up throughout the year.
- Recipients are limited to not-for-profit, charitable organisations and individuals (for the Community Grants Fund), this may limit the outcome of ‘vibrant places’ by excluding local and touring performers, artists etc.. from accessing funding to enable them to perform in our district at accessible prices.

If the policy is to be adopted, we suggest the following improvements:

- Ring fence minimum \$ to go towards each outcome, each fund and even distribution of funds between the creative community, sport, social etc..
- Include references to the Taupo District Council Arts & Culture Action Plan in the outcomes.
- Include trusted advisors in each area from the community on the committees with equal representation across the arts, social, sport, environment etc... perhaps with a fixed term (say 2 years)
- Set a maximum grant available per project for the community grant fund, to ensure a wide range of activities and a wide community base are supported, not just a select few projects.
- Prioritise Community Well Being as an overarching philosophy in the outcomes
- Ensure the funding meetings are open to the public and advertised.

Arts and Culture in the Taupo District

The Taupo District is alive with Arts and Culture and fostering the creative community can only benefit the wellbeing of the community.

Creative funding from the council is invaluable to the community as can be seen with projects from past recipients which is evident through all the projects supported over the years by the Creative Taupo Fund.

‘Over the next 30 years, Aotearoa New Zealand’s population of seniors will grow from around 850,000 (17 percent of the population) to around 1.5 million (24 percent of the population). And it is not only growing numerically, but also structurally.’

Ministry of Housing and Urban Development, ‘The long-term implications of our ageing population for our housing and urban futures’, <https://www.hud.govt.nz/news/the-long-term-implications-of-our-ageing-population-for-our-housing-and-urban-futures/>

Artistic, cultural and creative activities can be a powerful way to bring senior and isolated people together, and support wellbeing.

Long-term loneliness has been proven to negatively affect physical and mental wellbeing outcomes.

World Health Organization, 'Decade of healthy Ageing, Advocacy Brief: Social Isolation and Loneliness Among Older People', p.2, <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240030749>.

Worldwide, it is estimated that 25% of older people are isolated.

World Health Organisation, 'WHO Commission on Social Connection', <https://www.who.int/groups/commission-on-social-connection>.

The arts improve intergenerational relationships and connection to family and friends. “The arts act as a catalyst for bridging, bonding and linking social capital—it provides opportunities for engagement that reduce social isolation, encourages community cohesion, mutual support, improved intergenerational relationships and connection to family and friends.”

VicHealth, 'The arts and creative industries in health promotion', (2020) p.17, https://www.vichealth.vic.gov.au/sites/default/files/VH_SaxArts-Review.pdf.

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Jenni

Last name: Catley

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years
Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater
Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater
Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it
Strongly Agree

1.7 Housing
Agree

1.8 Development Contributions
Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

Attached Documents

Link	File
No records to display.	