Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Patrick Last name: Hart

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

- 1.1 Local Community Wairakei
- 1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

- 1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater Agree
- Taupō North Wastewater Agree
- 1.5.4 Agree

should start planning for a treatment plant on Northern side of the river and spread to farmland/ forest

- 1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Agree
- 1.6.3 Strongly Agree

bags get ripped open and shit goes everywhere messy

1.7 Housing Agree

1.7.3 Agree

still need to make money if we can't sell the land to someone else. Everything the council does seeks to cost a lot more than the private sector doing it.

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

needs to be kept as lean as possible as these costs just get added to the price of the sections etc. Parks / reserves etc need to have trees etc that dont block peoples views

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

more of a central government thing get your own place in order and let people, businesses sort themselves out. If its going to cost more people will hopefully do the right thing rather than dumping it on the side of the road. Architects builders etc need to think about design etc

1.11 Any other feedback?

Need to maintain and not plant big trees in parks / reserves. People like to be able to look out and see things not a tree that someone that has planted a tree that is going to grow into a massive tree. And shade people houses and block views. This applies to private land and public parks etc. Might need to prune them or cut down and replant with something more suitable

Link	File
No records to display.	

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Cole

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

- 1.1 Local Community Tūrangi
- 1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

- 1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater Agree
- 1.4.4 Agree
- 1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

- 1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree
- 1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.7 Housing

Agree

1.8 Development Contributions

Nο

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Νo

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Penny Last name: Jackson

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

 1.1 Local Community Tūrangi

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

stick to the basics of servicing ratepayers. Including Turangi and surrounds would be appreciated instead of being treated like the poor cousin.

proportional investment to be made as to that is invested in the Taupo immediate region.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.4.4 Agree

what about working with people as a whole. Iwi and hapu are not the only people sith a vested interest

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

seriuously! Leave the system as it is. It works so dont change. Why should we pay for a service we do not use on a regular basis? We dont need compulsory costs placed in our rates. Enough is enough

1.7 Housing

Strongly Disagree

1.7.2 Strongly Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue? stick to council issues

1.8 Development Contributions

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Nο

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

1.11 Any other feedback?

open your eyes to surrounding districts that contribute to the overall income of the district, there is life outside Taupo central.

Link	File	
No records to disp	olay.	

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Kim Last name: Miles

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

 1.1 Local Community Tūrangi

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific) do not introduce pkastic bind for rubbish

- 1.4 Türangi Wastewater Strongly Agree
- 1.6 Bag it or Bin it
- 1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.11 Any other feedback?

council must focus on reducing long term debt. Its ridiculous

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

logo

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Last name: Laugesen

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do more

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

I believe a new indoor court facility (sports hub) will be a catalyst and driver for our region to be a premier destination for regional, national, and international mid-tier sporting events, attracting visitors, boosting tourism. Investing in this facility is an investment in our local economy, stimulating spending, and generating revenue that can be reinvested in our community.

1.11 Any other feedback?

I believe a new indoor court facility (sports hub) with professional end-to-end pathways, from grassroots to international levels, shall ensure our local athletes have the resources and support to reach their full potential.

Link	File	
No records to o	No records to display.	



Submitter Details

Submission Date: 21/06/2024

First name: Annis Last name: Morrison

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully

considered.

Feedback

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 22/06/2024

First name: Stuart Last name: Haselden

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Tūrangi

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Adding things we dont need or making changes we dont need or want. E.g. Why would you spend huge money gathering food waste when it is the one thing that will biodegrade in a landfill. Its a huge cost for little effect. Better to spend the money on diverting other items that dont degrage

Alsom changing to wheelie bins is a complete waste of money. A core requirement of councils is to get rubbish, or has been since the start of councils, now councils want to charge us rates plus more to collect rubbish in whellie bins when sometime we wont fill them. This is double dipping on revenue and is not good.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater Disagree

1.4.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Why is this funded with debt? This should have been allowed for as a basic asset replacement function, the core function of a council.

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Why would you add the cost of a "the food waste collection." when food is biodegradable. This is something that has never been done before and food is biodegradable. The cost to do this is a waste of money. Keep the bags as not everyone wants to fill a wheelie bin, just encourages more waste.

1.7 Housing

Agree

1.8 Development Contributions

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

Any higher costs here will just be passed onto house buyers

1.9 Community Funding

No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes. No comment

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

No comment

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

This is rubbish, keep as is and remove the food collection waste. What is even the logic behind this?

Councils should not be charging for wheelie bins on top of rates that already covers waste removal in the bags...that is user pays. If someone wants a wheelie bin get it them selves. Will just encourage more waste with bins

Link	File			
No records to display.				

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 22/06/2024

First name: Sunny Last name: Chen

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

 1.1 Local Community Tūrangi

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.7 Housing

Disagree

1.7.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link	File
No records to disp	lay.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 22/06/2024

First name: Tracy Last name: Clarke

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully

considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Eastern bays (Hātepe, Motutere, Motuoapa, Tauranga-Taupō)

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Türangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.7 Housing

Disagree

1.7.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link	File
No records to displ	lay.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 22/06/2024

First name: Sarah Last name: Armstrong

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Other

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

stop wasting tax payers money on rubbish bins. We have them in the coromandel and they are a nightmare for holiday homes and they are left out at random times so that they get knocked over, Vandalised and worse We as a community constantly clear up rubbish from the streets. They are a waste if money and I don't appreciate the council charing me for something a dont use for most of the year.

1.4 Türangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

holiday home areas should not be included in the bins. We live in the coromandel most if the time and we have the bins there. They are a nightmare for the community as they are left out randomly by renters and holiday makers. Therefore bins can be on the side if the road for nearly two weeks. Not only is that a danger to riad users and pedestrians but also a health and safety issue.

1.7 Housing

Strongly Disagree

1.7.2 Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.8 Development Contributions

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Nο

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

Link	File
No records to disp	olay.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 22/06/2024

First name: Warwick Last name: Armstrong

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully

considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Other

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Stop wasting rate payers money. I responded to the last submission like a lot of other ratepayers, we were ignored. Just because the government says stick your head in a gas oven does not mean you should. Same with this illconceived mandate on rubbish collection

1.4 Türangi Wastewater

Agree

1.4.4 Agree

Stop kowtowing to iwi and hapu (whatever they are).

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.5.4 Agree

As previous or be more inclusive. You should be working with all New Zealand rate payers.

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

What about a third or fourth options? Central animal secure bins either above ground (like Canada) or underground (like Spain uses). Or allow people to opt out altogether. Or ignore the nonsensical government mandate.

Wheelie bins get knocked or blown over as we have experienced. They get left out by weekenders, cause as

much of a problem as bags.

Where do you expect people to store them? How much plastuc does it take to make these bins? Hardly environmentally friendly!

1.7 Housing

Strongly Disagree

1.7.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Is this the land that you spent rate payers money on to develop it to speculate? If so, do not now change direction. Stick to your core business.

1.8 Development Contributions

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan? So long as it does not result in higher rates

1.11 Any other feedback?

The environmental impact to creating all the wheelie bins does not stack up against what you are paying lip service to, ie reducing emissions etc.

However, as a realist, I know that you have made up your collective narrow minds and I expect to be ignored, as will the majority of people.

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 22/06/2024

First name: Last name: Davis

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Focus on the core services such as water, wastewater, and solid waste while focusing on how to get people moving around the district but not in a vehicle.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.4.4 Agree

Finding the right solution for everyone will take time and what is proposed will continue to manage the wastewater safely

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.5.4 Agree

The solution will require significant infrastructure changes and expense. While continuing to find that solution at a reasonable pace, the status quo with additional storage to reduce the risk of overflows is a pragmatic action to the situation.

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Agree

1.6.3 Strongly Agree

These changes are moving Taupo into a system that is considered best practice for managing waste - should have been done long ago.

1.7 Housing

Agree

1.7.3 Agree

42 houses is a good start. This project should work with more construction companies to increase the number of houses per annum which will also support local employment and economy.

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

Your rationale and goals seem reasonable to me - so why wouldn't the changes be made?

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link	File	
No records to disp	olay.	

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 22/06/2024

First name: Ginny Last name: HIGGINS

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Eastern bays (Hātepe, Motutere, Motuoapa, Tauranga-Taupō)

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific) not using the bin option. Let individuals manage the rubbish as they are doing currently

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Aaree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Continue with pay as your throw. You need to consider holiday homes, book a baches etc. Bins lying around are expensive and unsightly. More pressure on homeowners.

1.7 Housing

Strongly Agree

1.8 Development Contributions

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Νo

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

1.11 Any other feedback?

a one size fits all management plan does not fit . Didn't communities and their needs need ti be considered

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.



Submitter Details

Submission Date: 22/06/2024

First name: Sue Last name: Amor

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

 1.1 Local Community Tūrangi

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific) no wheelie bins

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 22/06/2024

First name: Barbara Last name: Arthurs

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Eastern bays (Hātepe, Motutere, Motuoapa, Tauranga-Taupō)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

A change would add cost to my rates as I don't require the size of bins suggested, nor would I require a food bin. I am an educated re cycler. I also believe that there will be an increase in landfill as there are many households who will simply put all rubish in the bin and not attempt to sort it. Will the cost be an addition to what we are currently charged on our rates. Will we have an option or will it be assumed that all require what you are offering?

1.7 Housing

Agree

1.7.3 Agree

It would be great to have affordable housing. Taupo certainly does not need another Nga Roto were costs and development escalated out of the reach of the lower and middle household earners. Bring back the 3 bed,1 bathroom basic house

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

Link	File	
No records to disp	olay.	

logo

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 22/06/2024

First name: Carolyn Last name: Jones

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

My husband and I live on an elevated property in Acacia Bay. I will be 82 when the new plan is implemented and my husband is in a wheelchair. Neither of us able to handle all those bins and get them down our steep driveway easily. No towbar on my car to help do what I have seen elsewhere, and it will be just as difficult for me to haul up the bins when emptied. I currently have a tiny little lightweight "barrow" on which I alternate weekly one of our current plastic bins with the rubbish bag on top. I can handle that much rubbish myself, and the little barrow I can easily handle going back up the hill once the rubbish is positioned on the berm. I cannot see a solution to our problem other than taking the rubbish to the tip ourselves if bins become mandatory. Most of our neighbours are working people or (like me too old) to help so we could not ask them to help.

Link	File
No records to disp	olay.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 22/06/2024

First name: Last name: Butcher

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

considered.

Feedback

 1.1 Local Community Tūrangi

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Türangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.7 Housing

Disagree

1.7.1 Disagree

Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.8 Development Contributions

Nο

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link	File
No records to disp	lay.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 22/06/2024

First name: Krysia Last name: Nowak

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully

considered.

Feedback

 1.1 Local Community Tūrangi

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do more

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific) support our youth with activities in rural centres. Ensure public transport between towns.

1.4 Türangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Agree

1.7 Housing

Agree

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link	File
No records to disp	play.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 22/06/2024

First name: Kent Last name: Chalmers

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Eastern bays (Hātepe, Motutere, Motuoapa, Tauranga-Taupō)

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Much better council performance for the permanent residents in the Motuoapa Village. Totally against the propsed to the whelly bin suggestion for Motuoapa. For us permanent residents the current waste disposal system works perfectly.unfortunately its during the holiday periods when large numbers of inlopers arrive from out of town that this places stress on your waste disposal team.! Outside these holiday periods your disposal system works very well for the permanent

community.

1.4 Türangi Wastewater Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Disagree

Agree

1.6.1 Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I have already made my comments earlier about Rubish recycling in the Motuoapa Village. Strongly support the current weekly bag rubbish collection. Strongly regect the proposed fortnightly bin collection.

1.7 Housing Agree

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link	File	
No records to display.		

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 22/06/2024

First name: Victor Last name: Borok

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully

considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Eastern bays (Hātepe, Motutere, Motuoapa, Tauranga-Taupō)

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Maintain or reduce our current rates and stop frivolously spending money on fanciful fashionable trends like stupid wheelie bins

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Strongly Disagree

1.4.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Strongly Disagree

1.5.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue? Leave it as it is and reduce our rates.

1.7 Housing

Strongly Disagree

1.7.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue? A complete waste of rste psyers money

1.8 Development Contributions

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

Because the money will not go to those that need it and it will be directed at organisation yhat do not benefit the greater population

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

Because the money will not go to those that need it and it will be directed at organisation yhat do not benefit the greater population

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Nο

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

1.11 Any other feedback?

Stop wasting our money and increasing our rates. Fire some council worlkers and save money that way.

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 22/06/2024

First name: Graham Last name: Penberthy

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Türangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Agree

1.7 Housing

Disagree

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 22/06/2024

First name: Xanthe Last name: Wyse

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

Yes

Additional requirements for hearing: Patience, as have more difficulty with communication when

stressed

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do more

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific) Feedback about community funding proposal

1.9 Community Funding

No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

Merging sports and arts could result in less funding for arts if decision-makers have a bias for sports. Do agree with limits on each project. Annually is too infrequent for the arts, as things can change in a smaller time frame.

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework. Those who can get sponsorships etc may be selected for funding over more vulnerable people in the community. For example, individuals on low incomes, with disabilities such as mental health struggles who may otherwise be isolated. May need support to help a meaningful project take place and feel like contributing to a community. I have been a recipient of art grants for 'art as therapy exhibitions', which paid for exhibition fees and art materials. Other vulnerable people in the community may be in a similar situation and a meaningful goal to work towards may make a literal life or death difference.

1.11 Any other feedback?

Some people, including myself, on low incomes and with disabilities struggle to find somewhere safe and affordable to live. If you are looking at increasing rates, remember this is passed on by landlords to those who have no option but to rent.

Link	File
------	------

Link File

No records to display.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 22/06/2024

First name: Last name: Craig

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

- 1.1 Local Community
 Eastern bays (Hātepe, Motutere, Motuoapa, Tauranga-Taupō)
- 1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater Strongly Agree
- 1.5 Taupō North Wastewater Strongly Agree
- 1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree
- 1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.7 Housing Agree

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

logo

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 22/06/2024

First name: Calvin Last name: Kwong

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do more

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Community Building Through Sports: I believe that by developing an inspiring new indoor sports hub, tired clubs (such as squash) can thrive alongside our beautiful town. While those codes without a home (such as table tennis, badminton, pickleball) and/or constrained as a result have a facility to call home. Further, codes at full capacity using TEC can have additional capacity, and a new venue for finals, prizegiving (basketball, volleyball)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Agree

1.11 Any other feedback?

Event Destination/Economic Development: I believe a new indoor court facility (sports hub) will be a catalyst and driver for our region to be a premier destination for regional, national, and international mid-tier sporting events, attracting visitors, boosting tourism. Investing in this facility is an investment in our local economy, stimulating spending, and generating revenue that can be reinvested in our community.

Link	File	
No records to display		

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 23/06/2024

First name: Sarah Last name: Carter

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Agree

1.6.3 Strongly Agree

I think its essential that there is a food waster collection service. Use of rubbish bins will reduce rubbish getting into the environment and the lake, cost is very aceptable 100% support this change

1.7 Housing

Strongly Agree

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework. I think it is important that council continues to support community groups, and prioritising groups whose work aligns with the vision and community outcomes seems sensible. I think continuing to fund and work in strategic partnerships is essential and actually council can significantly benefit in multiple ways from having strong community partnerships.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

I strongly support this NZ is quite behind the rest of the world with its waste minimisation, we have really high rates of waste to landfill per capita which is pretty terrible. Would be good to see support for the building industry to reduce building waste to landfill.

1.11 Any other feedback?

Invest in maintaining our parks and reserves, they are an asset for all of our community to use. Consider putting cat management back into the byelaws, for multiple reasons including animal welfare and management in key biodiversity areas. Continue to ensure opening hours of the library and the AC Baths, they are fantastic community facilities.

Link	File	
No records to display.		

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 23/06/2024

First name: Diana Last name: Fitzsimmons

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Taupō

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Bins would cost me way more, be a pain to put out with my section layout and I'm getting older. I recycle now and do my share.

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

logo

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details			
Submission Date:	23/06/2024		
First name:	Michael	Last name:	Parker
Postal address:			
Email:			
Daytime Phone:			
Age: *			
Ethnicity:			
Would you like to present	your submission in pe	erson at a hearing?	
Yes			
Additional requirements for	or hearing:		

Feedback

LOCAL COMMUNITIES AND PRIORITIES

What area do you live in, or spend the most of your time?

Tūrangi

What we are planning in the next 10 years.

In the first years of this plan, we're proposing to focus on the essentials. Or, should we spend less and do less, or spend more to do more?

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

spending less money on changing what works.

cost of living already high. Rate increases already proposed now another scheme to see more rate increases.

Türangi Wastewater

Provided for in the plan: Pursue a short to medium-term option for resolving wastewater plant risks in Tūrangi.

Proposed option for Tūrangi

We've allowed \$6 million in this plan, from 2028 to 2030, to improve our current treatment process and discharge from the Tūrangi

Wastewater Treatment Plant. We'll continue to work with iwi and hapū to find a suitable place to discharge treated wastewater to land in the longer term.

Alternative Option

The alternative option would be to not invest in the current Tūrangi wastewater discharge site and continue to work with iwi and hapū to look for somewhere suitable to discharge the wastewater to land. An initial estimate of the possible cost is \$18.5 million, which would be funded by debt with an impact on rates of around \$58 (average per ratepayer). Despite extensive investigations we haven't yet found a location for this. However, Council remains open to a land based wastewater solution for Tūrangi if an appropriate site can be found. In the meantime, the wastewater treatment plant's resource consent needs to be replaced urgently, so we need a short to medium term fix.

Do you agree with our proposed short to medium term options for dealing with wastewater in Tūrangi?

Strongly Disagree

Do you support the Alternative option?

Strongly Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

how is the plan to not invest cost more than the plan to invest. Short term 6 million dollars

alternative plan 18.5 million.

so the plan is already in place dont bull us with a mary go round proposal.

issue find a fix do that. Fix it properly the first time instead of wasting money over and over again.

Taupō North Wastewater

Provided for in the plan: Pursue a medium-term option for resolving wastewater risks on the northern side of Taupō

Proposed option for Taupō North

We would initially install wastewater storage tanks for additional resilience then increase capacity of the wastewater connections across the Waikato River to cater for the extra volume.

Alternative Option

There is no other medium-term alternative option at present.

Council is committed to continue to work with iwi and hapū, through the established steering group, to identify the long-term solution for managing wastewater on the Rangatira side of Te Awa o Waikato.

Do you agree with our proposed short to medium term options for dealing with wastewater in Taupō?

Agree

Bag it or bin it

Proposed: Bin it: switch from user-pays rubbish bags to rates-funded wheelie bins for residential, Taupō and Tūrangi town centres and

neighbourhood shops (our preferred option)

What is proposed

From 1 July 2025, we would replace the current rubbish bag and recycling crate collection with a set of wheelie bins. This service would alternate weekly between rubbish and recycling with food scraps collected weekly. That means, that one week, your rubbish and food scraps would be collected. The next week, your recycling and food scraps would be collected.

For serviced residential areas:

- · 140L rubbish bin, 240L recycling bin and 23L food waste bin and up to two 45L glass crates.
- Weekly food waste collection.
- · Rubbish and recycling collection to be weekly during the summer peak and fortnightly for the rest of the year.
- A service to put bins back for users who are medically unable to do it themselves. This would be covered by rates.

For Taupō and Tūrangi town centres and neighbourhood shops:

- · A 240L wheelie bin for rubbish, a 240L wheelie bin for recycling, and a 23L food waste bin and up to two 45L crates for glass
- · Weekly service, moving to twice weekly over peak.

For rural:

The current service provided to rural areas and those outside the Council collection service, is a commercial arrangement which Council has no control over. These households will need to talk directly with companies that could provide this service with no guarantee that it will continue to be provided in the future.

Alternative option: Bag it: stick with the current pre-paid rubbish bags and recycling crates for residential, Taupō and Tūrangi town centres and

neighbourhood shops

The alternative option is similar to the current system, with the addition of the food waste collection. It will cover residential and Taupō and Tūrangi town centres and neighbourhood shops:

- 'Pay-as-you-throw' for weekly rubbish collection for residential users.
- · 'Pay-as-you-throw' twice weekly rubbish collection for Taupō, Tūrangi town centres and neighbourhood shops.
- Rates-funded weekly recycling crates and 23L food waste bin collections.

The current service provided to rural areas and those outside the collection service would stay the same. This is a commercial arrangement which Council has no control over and will likely need to be negotiated with commercial operators.

Do you support the proposed option?

Strongly Disagree

Do you support the Alternative option?

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

the fiod scrap collection will lower the amount of rubbish per household.

bins being collected bi-weekly just doesnt seem as though it would work with many households

Housing

Proposed: Partnering to provide quality first home options to working families

What is proposed

We're proposing to do some development with construction partners to produce new, quality, attractive houses to buy that are similar to the market homes in this area – but are smaller and more affordable for everyday people who would then be able to service a mortgage.

We expect our construction development partner to be able to deliver around 42 attractive, quality homes at a relatively low market price which would

be suitable for first home buyers by late 2025 or 2026. There would be an eligibility assessment process which Council would determine at a later date. The remaining homes (about 65 percent) would sit outside of the Council's affordability criteria and be made available to

the general market to purchase.

The development partners would build the homes and manage the sales and marketing of completed homes. Council becomes the enabler of this

development but is not the developer nor is it carrying all the typical development risk.

This option has been developed to ensure there is not any pressure put on rates and limited impact on council debt due to the fact that we are using

reserves from previous sales of Council's strategic property to service this debt. Revenue from this project will be used to fund any future stages of the development, as Council's strategic property sales are ringfenced to protect Council's strategic asset base.

Alternative option: Sell residential land on the open market without requiring quality first home buyer options

Alternative option

Council would sell all its land on the open market at the appropriate time to the highest bidder, without requiring quality first home buyer options. For clarity, any gains would not be used to subsidise rates as any strategic property sales goes back into the strategic property reserve to fund future asset purchases, ensuring Council's strategic property assets do not deplete.

Do you support the proposed option?

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

As long as the assessments are truly fair.

what will be the "affordable rate" will it be predetermined before build so people can add there own changes to "their" home?

why not a larger percent to lower incomes

55% to lower incomes 45 % to private sales.

look good helping more

Development Contributions

To find out more information about this proposal – you can visit here

Do you support our proposed 2024 development contributions policy?

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

Community Funding

To find out more information about this proposal – you can visit here

We have changed our Grants and Partnerships Policy and the structure of our funds to include a new Accelerator fund. Do you agree with these changes?

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes. sounds good

Do you support our proposed eligibility and assessment framework that links funding eligibility with our vision and community outcomes for the Taupō district?

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework. sounds good

WASTE MANAGEMENT AND MINIMISATION PLAN

More information about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and the change to provide your feedback can be found here

Do you support the proposed Waste management and Minimisation Plan?

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Too much political nonsense to read through.

what will be the cost proposed for the resource consent for the landfill.

will this mean it will cost even more to dump rubbish?

the cost is quite great which in turn will lead to lower income families illegally dumping into the environment.

seperation of building materials great idea if divided by material and to lower over all cost to dump. Most building material could be recycled

Any other feedback?

all future projects/ proposals have a decent amount of advertising around the district e.g mail drop, social media, newspapers, radio etc to ensure more people can voice their opinion's.

found put about kerbside collection proposal through Taupo Noticeboard on Facebook through a local member.

how is the council ensuring everyone that need to hear this information is indeed hearing it.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 23/06/2024

First name: Meilan Last name: Wade-French

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

- 1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater Agree
- Taupō North Wastewater Agree
- 1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree
- 1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I want the wheelie bins, but the collection is not enough. a 140l for a household a fortnight is not enough. What do we do with the extra rubbish, use bags? In Auckland prior to moving here we had a 140l bin collected weekly and at that time we were a family of 3, it was nearly full each week. Now we are a family of 5, so that will not be enough. We already put all food scraps in compost bin.

The recycling, are you going to have all carboard, glass and plastic in one 240L bin?? We separate this now and our plastic and cardboard bins are totally full each week. With all the three bins in the 240L bin and only collected fortnightly I don't think that is enough either.

Will you allow bins of recycling to be put out too as how will it all work if the collection is not frequent enough.

Agree

1.8 Development Contributions

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

No records to dis	rile	
Link	File	

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 23/06/2024

First name: Ethel Last name: Webb

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Kinloch

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Do nothing to change the recycling system that is in place for Kinloch

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I wholeheartedly agree with recycling. I practice this daily having scraps going to my compost bin and all other materials sorted into the bins Council has provided. The present system works well because it is possible for me to do.

The method of having wheelie bins to the gate is impossible as I would have to walk 1.7km there and back for each bin. I could not do it.

The other suggestion of bringing trucks in here is not viable as Poplars Residents own and pay for the upkeep of of our Private road. The new system would necessitate a large truck turning into my driveway probably damaging it and the road. The four turning bays in the subdivision are built for cars, utes and light trucks and are not large or sturdy enough for rubbish trucks. May be the Council could take over the maintenance costs of our private road and make the turning bays and the road suitable. Wheelie bins then could be used.

I want the system of bags and bins to continue as it is fit for purpose.

1.7 Housing

Agree

1.8 Development Contributions

Nο

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

NIC

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Nc

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 23/06/2024

First name: Keith Last name: Jelleyman

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Kinloch

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific) spending its a disease with this council

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

i live in a private subdivision and the bins have to be placed at the entrance for collection for some people its a 1.7 klm drive so the bags are far more practical for the many private subdivisions in Kinloch, the wheelie bins just won't work nor is there room road side for over 50 wheelie bins to be safley placed

1.7 Housing

Disagree

1.7.1 Disagree

Aaree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.8 Development Contributions

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link	File
No records to display.	

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 23/06/2024

First name: Vanessa Last name: Skiffington

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

- 1.1 Local Community Kinloch
- 1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Türangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.7 Housing

Agree

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 23/06/2024

First name: Brian Last name: Scott

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Taupō

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.5.4 Agree

BIU

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Agree

1.7 Housing

Agree

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

logo

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 23/06/2024

First name: Paul Last name: Sutton

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Kinloch

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Türangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

we are in a private subdivision in Kinloch. It would be difficult to take wheelie bins the 1km down to the gate for collection. Also there is not a suitable spot to put 50 bins on collection day as it would effect the rural delivery driver being able to deliver the post.

1.7 Housing

Strongly Disagree

1.7.2 Strongly Disagree

Aaree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Attached Documents

Link F

Link File

No records to display.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 23/06/2024

First name: Elisa Last name: McLean

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

 1.1 Local Community Kinloch

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

THIS SUBMISSION RELATES TO THE POPLARS SUBDIVISION IN KINLOCH

The distance to the end of the subdivision is over 1-2 km. Getting Wheelie bins this far to the gate is too difficult.

The shift to Wheelie Bins for The Poplars, while ok if you have a utility vehicle, is not much use if you have a car or SUV. And even then, most women may not be able to lift a fully loaded wheelie bin into the back of a ute.

Matters to consider:

- If the council shifts to wheelie bins, that will necessitate a different type of rubbish/recycling truck, most likely one that lifts the wheelie bins up and over and tip the bin contents into the truck. It may not be suitable for handling all crates with rubbish and recycling. But it seems staff will still need to pick up the crates for glass and composting food scrap bins.
- If the council does change over to wheelie bins, how will we get the wheelie bins to the gateway? This would apply to all gated private subdivisions. Plus, have we got room outside the gateway for 40/50 wheelie bins, along with

some food scrap bins and crates for bottles?

• An option would be to have these heavy 6-wheel tandem drive trucks come into our subdivision. And that will be subject to, if they will come into the subdivision, if we ask them. Either way, the contractor suddenly gets another 4 kms or so added to his costs. Plus, extra time for the extra travel.

- Coming into the subdivision would eliminate the need to take our rubbish and recycling down to the gateway. If we do let them come in.
- Then we also need to consider that our road is a private road, with all R&M being on us NOT the council.
- These trucks are reputed to be around 16 to 18 tonnes in weight.
- Our road has four endings, which means the trucks will have do a 180 degrees turn around, which will create more wear and tear on our road ends due to the scuffing action of the rear tandem axle tires.
- Plus, another issue for the trucks if they come in. I think the turn around area is too small for these trucks. They will be running over the grass as well and will definitely make use of residents drive ways, that are directly opposite the concrete turning bays.
- A change to wheelie bins, in my view anyway, is not practicable or workable.

1.7 Housing

Agree

1.8 Development Contributions

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Nο

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

logo

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 23/06/2024

First name: Craig Last name: Mcgregor

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully

considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Türangi Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Agree

1.7 Housing

Strongly Agree

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 23/06/2024

First name: Rob Last name: Jury

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

1.4 Türangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

The proposed option provided for our property at 35 Kaiuru Ave, Pukawa is completely impractical for an area which is primarily holiday homes. This applies to many of the settlements at the southern end of the Lake. Four or five bins/grates is plainly ridiculous in our circumstances, as is a food waste collection. We wouldn't use it and would expect a rates rebate as a result. We fully support retention of the <u>current</u> collection on a Monday using prepaid bags and bins for recyclables and glass, with no bins for food scraps. This existing collection is ideally suited to holiday homes where weekend use is common. There has been discussion regarding the possibility that those in our area make their own collection arrangements. This would also be ridiculous as rubbish collection is a primary Council activity.

1.7 Housing

Strongly Disagree

1.7.2 Strongly Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

The TDC should not be in the property (housing) business. Better to use resources to ensure appropriately zoned land is always available via private developers.

1.8 Development Contributions

Nο

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

We are concerned with the extent of some of the charges. Construction, maintanance and supply of water and wastewater systems should be paid for via rates and TDC borrowings.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link	File
No records to disp	olay.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 23/06/2024

First name: Ken Last name: Mihell

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully

considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Kinloch

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Türangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Disagree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Agree

1.7 Housing

Disagree

1.7.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Don't believe that providing affordable housing is core council business

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link	File
No records to disp	lav.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 23/06/2024

First name: Logan Last name: Close

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

save for bigger infrastructure projects. Eg bridge conecting nukuhau to taupo because the current one isnt built ofr traffic so a second one would be better for the safety of the population

1.4 Türangi Wastewater

Strongly Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Strongly Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Agree

1.7 Housing

Strongly Disagree

1.7.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.8 Development Contributions

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Nο

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

1.11 Any other feedback?

not really other than focusing on infrastructure and traffic flow around the town to stop congestion. There for being better for the environment.

Link	File	
No records to displ	lay.	

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Wayne Last name: Gardiner

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully

considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.7 Housing

Strongly Agree

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

Link	File
No records to display.	

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: ruby Last name: rogers

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

- 1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater Strongly Agree
- 1.5 Taupō North Wastewater Strongly Agree
- 1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Agree
- 1.7 Housing Strongly Agree
- 1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link	File		
No records to display			

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Tull Last name: Coleman

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Türangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Agree

1.7 Housing

Disagree

1.7.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

	Link	File
No records to display		

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Cloete

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Kinloch

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Less changes to the waterfront. The current layout creates congestion and unnecessary emissions for individuals at pubs and restaurants on the waterfront.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater Strongly Agree

Taupō North Wastewater Agree

 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I am based in Kinloch (the Poplars sub division). Walking a wheelie bin approx. 1.5km to the private entrance is not practical at all. I would also have to return to collect the bin which is annoying.

Could you please advise whether Kinloch falls within Taupo since Kinloch isn't mentioned and at this stage can only assume that we're rural. Even then I still strongly disagree with the plan of making any changes. The current system works for me since I transport my manageable bins to the transfer station in the back of my car. Wheelie bins and food scrap bins certainly isn't favourable.

1.7 Housing Agree

1.7.3 Agree

I agree as long as the infrastructure matches the additional vehicles/residents and traffic flow.

1.8 Development Contributions

Nο

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

Lowering of water thresholds seem to be a kick starter for revenue. I have a large household and may become liable for water usage charges if I breech the threshold.

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

I don't agree with the kerbside rubbish and recycling collection service and rollout of a kerbside food waste collection if it affects Kinloch. This is not practical in a private sub division due to reasons already mentioned.

Link	File
No records to disp	lay.



Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Last name: Kearney

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Our holiday house is in Motuoapa, and like many of the holiday houses in the taupo district, we are often not living in these homes 24/7 and therefore the extra cost of wheelie bins becomes a total waste of effort for Council, and a waste of our rates when we wont be using the bins for most of the year.

When living on site we take our waste away with us as we cant leave rubbish (or bins) sitting at the kerb to be either blown away, or damaged by passerbyers!

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

logo

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Last name: Law

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

Yes

Additional requirements for hearing:

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.11 Any other feedback?

Titiraupenga Street/ Roberts Street intersection

Lam writing as a resident and ratepayer of Roberts Street Taupo to support the funding and construction of a Pedestrian Refuge Island on Titiraupenga Street/Roberts Street intersection. Pedestrian Refuges on this intersection was included in the Taupō Town Centre Transformation project, but through a steering committee who included elected members, the funding was re-prioritised. This occurred following reassuring media releases from the council two of which I include below:

25 February 2022: Final phases of Tītīraupenga Street work ahead

The traffic priorities of both the Tūwharetoa and Roberts streets intersections will remain unchanged and they will have new pedestrian refuge islands on each leg of the intersection.

1 April 2022: Lake Terrace transformation getting underway

Project manager Izelda Cruz said that while the Roberts Street intersection was yet to be completed ...

Now that <u>all through-traffic</u> is directed down Titiraupenga Street it is <u>unquestionably dangerous</u> to cross the road at the Roberts Street intersection. The construction of a refuge island, as has happened for all other intersections on Titiraupenga Street, is the least that could be done as a priority. This would go some way towards providing a safe pedestrian crossing but also act as a calming influence to vehicle traffic.

This should occur in Year One of the LTP. The council has been irresponsible in directing approved funding away from this project and the Taupō Town Centre Transformation project remains incomplete.

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

logo

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Alison Last name: McMinn

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

At this time when most people are struggling financially, all new and/or non-essential spending and projects should be on hold

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

There are many people like me who have a holiday home in Taupo, and for these people most usage and rubbish accumulation happens at weekends. If the wheelie bin option (which presumably is more costly) is introduced, this would mean that rubbish would accumulate and then rot in wheelie bins because people were mostly not at their holiday homes at the time of the weekday collections.

Under the current system, which presumably is less costly, holiday home owners can take their rubbish away when they leave, or but their bag out if they do happen to be there on a collection day.

1.7 Housing Disagree

1.7.1 Disagree

Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

The Council should not take on more debt at this time. Instead Council should make it easier and faster for commercial developers to build houses. Council needs to get back to providing and servicing its infrastructure.

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

Grants and funding should only be given to those organisations that are actually helping people.

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

All Councils need to remain neutral when assessing eligibility, so that those that are doing really good work

are eligible.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

	Link	File
No records to display.		

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Sandy Last name: Connell

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Agree

1.6.4 Agree

Feel that it is not encouraging people to compost food scraps or create worm farms to help with reducing food waste. Also I only put a bag out once every 3 weeks so will be paying more for rubbish collection. A smaller wheelie bin (with reduced cost) would be good

1.7 Housing

Strongly Disagree

1.7.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Not the role of the council to be in the housing market

1.8 Development Contributions

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Nc

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link	File
No records to display.	

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Ben Last name: Hancock

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific) More sporting and recreational venues.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Agree

1.7 Housing

Agree

1.8 Development Contributions

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Nο

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link File

No records to display.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Rachel Last name: Canning

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community Wairakei

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

It makes sense to focus on the essentials while there is a cost of living crisis. It's reasonable to assume that during the lifetime of this plan (10 years) the Taupo economy will recover somewhat and when/if it does then the longterm plan should begin to invest in infrastructure.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater Strongly Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Strongly Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Issue a weekly waste goal so individuals/households have something to aim for and are motivated to reduce waste. Don't attach a financial penalty or reward to it, make it an education exercise. If people know what is achievable, then they will be motivated to try and achieve it.

1.7 Housing

Strongly Agree

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

1.11 Any other feedback?

In the early part of this LTP, I would like to see money allocated towards investigating the cost of: 1) a footpath to connect Wairakei Village to Wairakei Resort; 2) a safe crossing at the Tawa St (Wairakei Village) pedestrian access intersection with SH5; 3)providing lighting and a better pedestrian surface for the Tawa St pedestrian access to SH5.

This will provide walking/cycling connection to 1) the existing footpath into town that terminates at Wairakei Resort, and 2) the Aratiatia mountain bike trail via the concrete steps down the escarpment above the Wairakei Geothermal Power Station.

Currently Wairakei Village is 'road locked', meaning you can only safely enter or leave the village in a vehicle. This is frustrating as my family would like to be able to safely exit/enter the village on bike or on foot, and safely bike the short distance from the village to Wairakei Resort so as to access the existing footpath to go to Craters of the Moon, Shooters Golf Range, or into town.

Link		File
No records to display.		

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Philip Last name: Burt

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

- 1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater Strongly Agree
- 1.4.3 Strongly Agree

I support continued improvements to wastewater treatment and land based disposal where appropriate.

- Taupō North Wastewater Strongly Agree
- Bag it or Bin it Agree

1.6.4 Agree

I would support an alternative to continue to use pay as you throw rubbish bags with the addition of fortnightly collection of recycling and weekly food waste collection. Larger rubbish bins are counter productive as they encourage more waste, weekly rubbish bags currently work and allow for those times when you generate more waste during summer peaks and other events thereby allowing more flexibility. The recycling collection should be alternative weeks with glass crates one week and wheelie bins the next this will keep the cost of the service down and focus on the primary goal of increasing recyling rates. I also support the roll out of smaller 140L wheelie bins for recycling for use by the elderly and rest homes as they in general produce less recycling.

1.7 Housing Agree

1.7.3 Agree

The criteria for purchase would need to be very specific and covenants put in place to restrict resale for a certain length of time otherwise you risk purchasers on selling quickly to make a fast profit, I would also like to see the % of AH to be greater to at least 50/50 between market price and AH.

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

Developers need to fund their share of growth costs and DC's is the most appropriate method to achieve this.

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

We need to be more ambitious with the waste diversion and increase to 60% is great but this needs to be achieved much quicker I suggest a 5year target. The risks and benefits of re consenting the landfill need to be fully explored before committing to that course, the newer environmental standards around landfills are likely to impose greater costs on the Council than previously considered and the cost/benefit of out of district disposal needs to be better understood.

	Link	File
No records to display.		

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Sherryll Last name: Worthington

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Tūrangi

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

No introduction of bins and a comprehensive investigation into any venture involving social housing.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Strongly Agree

1.4.3 Strongly Agree

I would actually appreciate it if an explanation could be provided detailing what exactly the environmental problem is with the current plant and discharge location.

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

100% object to incurring any increased costs that the 'Bin It' proposal would result in. As a single occupant household, the majority of my waste is recyclable thus disposing of a bag only about once a month for the balance. In the event that bag collections ceased it would be far more cost effective to make the occasional tip trip, particularly as there are always other bits and pieces requiring disposal anyway. Any introduction of Bins needs to be on a User Pays basis not a targeted rate and how this would be managed seems to be complex. The proposition that dogs damage bags, sharp objects injure contractors etc; would need to be much more formally recorded before this argument would fly. If dogs are a problem then the offenders need to be dealt with rather than households incurring additional costs.

1.7 Housing

Strongly Disagree

1.7.2 Strongly Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Other agencies have responsibility for the provision of Social Housing. Make the land available by all means but beyond that please stick to the core responsibilities of Council.

1.11 Any other feedback?

Ratepayers are stretched to breaking point. Rates increases have hugely detrimental impacts on Rental occupants and those on fixed incomes. Think about the cumulative increases of all other household running costs. Councils' primary obligation, and social contract, is to provide fit for purpose essential services for the wellbeing of residents. This is not the time for the nice to haves, but the need to haves.

Link	File	
No records to disp	splay.	

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Tuarti Last name: Humphrey

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

Yes

Additional requirements for hearing:

Feedback

Local Community
 Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

SUBMISSION TO TAUPO DISTRICT COUNCIL RE 'BIN IT OR BAG IT" PROPOSAL

The proposal to introduce bans into this district (Omor/Kuratau) is not appropriate for the following reasons:

- 1. According to the TDC LTP 2021-2031, 90 % of the rateable properties are holiday homes.
- 2. The permanent residents in Omori/Kuratau are approximately 200.
- 3. Under the Government Waste Strategy guidelines, communities of less than 1000 residents are exempt from this waste management plan.
- 4. I have been told that there will be no prepaid stickers or bags available if bins are introduced. If this is the case, what will happen to our rubbish transfer station? Will the rubbish transfer station still be available for those who choose to take their rubbish, recycling and green waste to the dump.
- 5. As an example of the low usage of the current system for rubbish collection I note the following:
 - There are 58 houses in my street. Of these only seven are permanent residents, who occasionally may use the facility – never weekly.
 - Of those 58 only 14 have used the collection services at some time during the past year.
- 6. Although all the printed matter put out by the council states: "Bin it or Bag it" only the Bin it option is being promoted. The first thing people see is BIN IT, subliminal messaging.
- 7. When questioned why areas such as this with low usage are levied at the same level as the densely populated urban areas, I was told by the promoter of this scheme, that the council needed the money to keep the overall costs down. Therefore, the people who will never use the bins are subsidising the urban area yet again. Surely a user pays system would be better in an area like this, e.g., Western BOP you buy the tag for your bin to be emptied, which is fairer and more equitable to the ratepayer.

- 8. I have driven around all streets in Omori/Kuratau on Monday mornings before the rubbish is collected and noted the following:
 - October 2 2023 76 properties had a bag/s outside mid weekend of school holidays
 - October 9 2023 84 properties had a bag/s outside last weekend of school holidays
 - October 16 2023 60 properties had a bag/s outside
 - October 23 2023 172 properties had a bag/s outside Long Weekend (Labour Weekend)
 - October 30 2023 67 properties had a bag/s outside
 - November 4 2023 71 properties a bag/s outside
 - May 27 2024 77 properties had a bag/s outside
 - June 3 2024 137 properties had a bag/s outside Long Weekend (King's Birthday)
 - June 17 2024 62 properties had a bag/s outside

Most properties had only one bag and often they were not full bags. As can be seen by these figures, the cost of TDC buying bins for this area is an extra expense that neither the Council nor the ratepayers need.

- 9. How is the fortnightly collection meant to work here? How will holiday makers know which week is which? If the week that the holiday home owner is in residence and it falls on the wrong collection day, are the full bins meant to be left out for up to a fortnight, and then the empty bins will sit on the street until they return, which may be weeks if not months. A sure sign for burglars to know that there is no one home. A number of streets have no permanent residents living in them, therefore no one to put the bins back. I have observed recycling bins lying on the edge of the road for up to at least six weeks.
- 10. The amount of food waste that will be collected is questionable. Many of the permanent households here a single occupier, pensioners and most have a compost bin.

Link	File
No records to display.	

logo

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Judy Last name: Seay

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

- 1.1 Local Community
 Kinloch
- 1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree

1.11 Any other feedback?

I am opposed to wheelie bins and food waste bins for the area in which I live. Wheelie bins are difficult/heavy to handle and are likely to be left out well after collection by holiday home owners and guests. I am a resident in a private subdivision with approx. 52 households who would find difficulty transporting their wheelie bins to the gate and 52 wheelie bins and 52 food scrap bins would look absolutely unsightly at the entrance to our subdivision. Any scrap bins put out early or collected late would attract rodents/ animals, causing all sorts of mess. The necessity for heavy specialized trucks for handling wheelie bins is an unnecessary waste of rate payers money and would damage our private road. Wheelie bins need to be stored at our homes and take up a lot of space, they are in your face and ugly.

I am totally happy to continue with rubbish bags, which are easier to handle and drop off at the gate for collection - with no need for retrieval, additional expense and set up costs. I favour more careful use of tax payer dollars.

Link	File
No records to display.	

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Owen Last name: Batley

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

We have a private road at XXXX. Can I ask please, how you expect me to get the wheelies, up and down to collection point. Perhaps you should consider the pickup truck coming up our road.

Link	File
No records to display.	

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Geoffrey Last name: Stent

Organisation:

on behalf of Susan and Geoffrey Stent

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

We do not support the introduction of wheelie bins for rubbish collection at Pukawa.

We are holiday home owners and, like many others, take our small amount of rubbish home after each stay.

A food scrap bin would be of no use to us either, as we compost.

We put out our recycling bins periodically, when we are here on a Monday. If necessary we place our black bin on top of the green bin to prevent any light materials getting blown around.

Compulsory bins would be yet another expense for ratepayers on top of the anticipated sharp rise in rates and fees for 2024. We feel there should be a choice.

Maybe the answer is to find a contractor which can deal with bags and bins. Northland Waste Ltd run Low Cost Bins which offer dual purpose trucks.

TDC have many small townships, like Pukawa, which are mainly holiday homes with few permanent residents. Consequently these townships have unique needs and should not be treated the same as communities where the majority of the population is made up of permanent residents. The current system works well here.

Link	File
No records to disp	olay.

logo

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Penny Last name: Hickson

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

Local Community
 Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

 Bag it or Bin it Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Just a note regarding the introduction of the Wheelie Bins for rubbish collection. I am not in favour of that method of rubbish collection for our area - Pukawa Bay. We have a holiday home there and also lock our gate when we leave so I am not sure where the Wheelie Bin would end up as I am sure the current permanent residents would not be prepared to throw my Wheelie Bin over my high gate as they do currently with the recycling bins. It is not a sensible option for an area that is mainly holiday homes. I think it will lead to stolen bins and littering.

Link	File
No records to disp	lav

logo

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Ted Last name: Cunningham

Organisation:

On behalf of Lakeridge Body Corp Committee

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

It is the desire of the 45 Lakeridge Villa owners to continue to have their rubbish collected in bags. The reasons for this decision are:

- The reasons for this decision are.
- . Most residents are elderly, and this must be taken into consideration in making any proposed change.
- . Bags are easier for the elderly to transport than a bin. Rubbish collection points are situated on the Village main route and cul-de-sac owners take their bags to the nearest main collection point. A bag can be easily transported in the 'boot' of a vehicle whereas a bin would need to be manhandled. Everyone owning a Villa is at least 50 years old, the oldest is in their late 90's handling a bin no matter how full would not be possible for most of these aged residents.
- . Most Villas have not been built to accommodate two bins on the property without them being in partial/full view. Lakeridge Villas is known for its clean and attractive surroundings.
- . A number of the residents now only have a small amount of rubbish and put out their rubbish either fortnightly or, weekly with half a Taupo District Council sticker. Would they be given some concession if bins are introduced or would a blanket charge be made whether the bin is used or not?
- . The residents are concerned that if a larger collection truck is put into service will it be able to easily negotiate the Village main route?

The above mentioned genuine concerns do not relate solely to Lakeridge Villas as there are other areas where this would apply throughout the Taupo rubbish catchment area.

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

Lakeridge Villas Lakeridge Close, TAUPO

15th June 2024

The Mayor and Councillors
Taupo District Council
TAUPO

Dear Mayor David & Councillors

Taupo District Council's Draft Long-term Plan 2024-34 Bag it or Bin it

The Residents of Lakeridge Villas would like to comment on the whether household rubbish should be binned or bagged for collection.

It is the desire of the 45 Lakeridge Villa owners to continue to have their rubbish collected in bags.

The reasons for this decision are:

- Most residents are elderly, and this must be taken into consideration in making any proposed change.
- Bags are easier for the elderly to transport than a bin. Rubbish collection points are situated on the Village main route and cul-de-sac owners take their bags to the nearest main collection point. A bag can be easily transported in the 'boot' of a vehicle whereas a bin would need to be manhandled. Everyone owning a Villa is at least 50 years old, the oldest is in their late 90's handling a bin no matter how full would not be possible for most of these aged residents.
- Most Villas have not been built to accommodate two bins on the property without them being in partial/full view. Lakeridge Villas is known for its clean and attractive surroundings.
- A number of the residents now only have a small amount of rubbish and put out their rubbish either fortnightly or, weekly with half a Taupo District Council sticker. Would they be given some concession if bins are introduced or would a blanket charge be made whether the bin is used or not?
- The residents are concerned that if a larger collection truck is put into service will it be able to easily negotiate the Village main route?

The above mentioned genuine concerns do not relate solely to Lakeridge Villas as there are other areas where this would apply throughout the Taupo rubbish catchment area.

Yours faithfully,

Ted Cunningham

Chairman Unit 23

Lakeridge Body Corp. Committee

logo

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Susan Last name: Penman

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully

considered.

Feedback

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Thank you for your email. Yes I would like to reiterate the submission from Malcolm Bell as chairman of the Mission Point Campers Assn. What is proposed in unworkable from a camp perspective of 34 members. The purchase of approved rubbish bags meets the needs of campers and the Tuesday collection date is ideal for short term visits, especially long weekends.

Personally I have been camping at Mission Point since 1950 and have a good sense of what is feasible going forward.

I hope that the views of the campers can be taken into consideration and a hybrid model seems to be the best way forward with this.

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

logo

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Bruce Last name: Farthing

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

Local Community
 Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Having owned the property at the above address for the past 40 years I am VERY strongly against any change to the rubbish collection at Pukawa.

The current system works very well and has done so for the past 40 years - why change something that simply is not broken?

People arrive for, and leave during, the weekend and a bin left outside to be emptied would be there for not necessarily a week, it could be weeks or months during which time they would be blown around and damaged. Simply why? because big international companies say/dictate that it is the best system? - for a lake side settlement that overall generates very little rubbish it is not the best system and what we currently have is known to work well.

Leave it well alone,

Link	File
No records to disp	lay.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Pamela Last name: Joseph

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

We have a property at XXXX Pukawa it is our batch. We definitely do not require any Wheelie Bin as every visit we make our rubbish is taken back to our permanent residence. Never have we left any rubbish in Pukawa.

The supply of these bins would only increase our rates!!! Not a help for us at all

Link	File	
No records to disp	olay.	

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Jonathan Last name: Juli

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I do not want wheeled waste bin collection, as proposed, at my property for the following reasons:

- The volume scale is 'over the top' for our holiday home use mostly 2-3 might stays over week ends. Currently we compost on site organic waste, and take home (ususally less than a standard shopping bag) of other waste. Similarly, we take home or save up bottle/can recyling and may use the current green bin service 3-4 times per year at most. As such, large bins would only need clearing once or twice a year. And I would not want to encourage visitors to our house to use the bins, as they would get smelly if waste is stored for long periods.
- We have no spot to place/store the bins near the house. Currently the small green bin can be placed in our laundry that is on the lower level accessed by internal stairs & we carry that and a small container of food waste from the kitchen to the compost bin/road collection site. The wheelie bins would have to 'live' on car platform/garage on Moetu lane. They could be placed in garage when the house is not in use by family. However would have to be moved out to enable the car to to be garaged. They would then limit space for a second or thoird car parking on the platform meaning more pressure for parking on the Lane itself (that can get problematic during busy times). Also non family members staying do not generally have access to the garage, so could not access the bins if stored there.
- Bin collection is at the top of Moetu Lane, wheeling bins to that site would likely require several trips for each bin. Also, for collection days when we are not present they would eithe3r need to stay at Lane entrance (as green bins sometimes do) or a neighbour return them to opur car platform. Either way creates unnecessary visual pollution for what is otherwise a green native vegetation site.
- Cost both current and future option has rate costs in terms of kerb collection that we do not effectively
 use. However I support that need to contribute to 'back-end' waste maangement at landfills/waste transfer
 stations for the general community. If are 'hell bent' on introducing bins than I ask that you conisder the
 following options:
 - an opt out option for those propoerties who do want bins, and therefore could not access any kerbside
 waste collection. These propoerties then receive a reduced waste collection/processing charge as part
 of thier rates a user pays approach. This strategy has added benefit in that encourages people to
 think about amount of waste they produce and how best to manage it themselves. Large bins, while

purporetly enabling recycling, actually do not encourage reduction in volumes. And we know that much that is meant to be recycled ends up in landfill anyway - due to lack of recycling capacity and/or cross-contamination. I live semi-rurally in Kapiti and we have to sign up directly with a waste collection company for roadside for collection. I don't do so and manage my waste efficiently, visiting the local transfer station occassionaly, where I am happy to pay for its services in proportion to my waste creation and recognising my efforts to reduce my regard. At same time, I note neighbours with large bins seem to' maximise' their waste for the service they have purchased, and are not adept at directing product by waste type into correct bins anyway!

2. Is an option for communities like Pukawa to have a centralised recycling collection bins, say on the reserve area near the lakefront for people creating lesser amounts during their stay?

If the Council is truely committed to reducing waste, and to aligning 'waste creating behaviours' to user paying for its services, then you should include ways of incitivising and rewarding people/properties who do make an effort. Increasing capacity and, with one cost structure, and creating additional inconvience around the storage and taking out of contained waste for small time users of such services, like holiday home communities, does not makle sense in this regard.

Link	File
No records to disp	olay.



Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Pamela Last name: Eglinton

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I disagree with the plan to introduce wheelie bins in the Pukawa area.

My property does not create enough waste to warrant a wheelie bin. We take our waste home or to the land fill.

We do not have a suitable place to store bins.

No one would be at property on day of collection of rubbish to return bin to house.

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Alison Last name: Reynolds

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Other

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

- 1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree
- 1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

the present system works perfectly well for holiday homes in pukawa village. Lugguging wheelie bins around unpaved area is difficult for older bach owners. Holiday renters would be more likely to leave bins out on departure creating a mess. The food scrap bins are very succeptible to being blown over, knocked over by cars/dogs or being used as soccerballs. Then who picks the mess up. Definitely a big tick for status quo

Link	File
No records to disp	olay.



Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Nikki Last name: Donaldson

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Agree

Link	File
No records to disp	olay.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Peter Last name: Britnell

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

whilst there are pro's and con's for retaining or changing the current refuse collection system, the most important issue is that this is **not the right time to be adding large additional cost to rates**. we need to manage the rates to the lowest possible level at this time when everyone is struggling to keep their heads above water.

1.4 Türangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

the proposed solution is unworkable for my neighbourhood of Omori/Kuratau/Pukawa which largely consists (90%+?) of holiday homes. In addition, it dosnt work for our particular circumstances as we live down a 250m+shingle drive and it would be impossible for us to use such a system.

1.7 Housing

Agree

1.8 Development Contributions

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Nc

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link	File
No records to disp	play.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Colin Last name: Broekes

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Kinloch

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

I agree that we should do the essentials in order to keep rates down and TDC should do more to keep the rates down.

Inflation has not been as much as the rates increases as a percentage

Why?

Maybe people coming to our area should contribute more for the use of or services etc

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater Strongly Agree

1.4.3 Strongly Agree

No this would be essential

 1.5 Taupō North Wastewater Agree

1.5.4 Agree

nc

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I live in the Poplars in Kinloch and presently we place our rubbish bags and recycling dropped off and collected at the entrance to the Poplars or they can be easily taken to the Transfer Station.

The status quo should remain as I have no means to take two large bins 1klm to the main entrance also theres no storage area available to some 100 No bins each week or even half the amount

Having rubbish trucks come thru the Poplars will ruin the roading and there are no turn arounds within the Poplars for such vehicles unless TDC will maintain the Road which is ignored byTDC and we pay the same high rates as everyone else

Also Kinloch should maintain the status quo as holiday makers will not return bins to the properties they have been renting they just leave Kinloch and don't care its like its someone else's problem

Kinloch will become untidy with Bins and it will be a disaster

1.7 Housing

Agree

1.7.3 Agree

Affordable homes should be a conditional requirement for the developments either way as covenants

This should apply to both options

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy? Yes

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

1.11 Any other feedback?

No

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Lorraine Last name: Cholmondeley-Smith

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

Yes

Additional requirements for hearing:

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do more

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

With the arts - receive an even distribution of funds.

Tighten up on number of employees. Look at roles within Council and how they are managed. We should be downsizing numbers employed and lessen our rates.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Disagree

1.4.1 Disagree

Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Why is this taking years to resolve? Surely experts from 'outside' need to be called in if Council can't resolve it. Also the result should be achieved without social or local interference. Short term fixes just add to costs while trying to find a 'resolution' to the problem. And why is it a problem? This is something every Council deals with - how do other Council's do it? Maybe a couple of phone calls to other Councils , would solve thel problem.

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.5.4 Agree

Why is the first 'Proposed Option for Taupo' called a short to medium term option as above? If this is the option, then it need to be actioned.

I absolutely disagree with the 'Alternative Option'. Why is there always a problem working with iwi and

hapu. This is something that affects us all and should be embrassed by everyone paying rates in our area.

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Agree

1.6.4 Agree

Are the rural areas charged for rubbish collection even though this is happening for them.

Also is the rubbish collection for 'rate payers' only?

1.7 Housing Disagree

1.7.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I agree with the alternative option PROVIDED that ales of land are NOT TAKEN UP by developers. This way, the public can by affordable land to build their own home on.

Who becomes the Council's 'construction development partner'. Is there a fair process followed by Council to become this 'partner'

1.9 Community Funding

Nο

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

Why is this fund different to the Community Grant and the Strategic funds? How will the funding be split between these three. Will smaller projects lose out to the bigger projects. Individual athletes can fairly easily access sponsorship, but individual creatives find it difficult to get sponsorship for an exhibition etc. This is borne in mind when allocating grants.

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

Focus should be on supporting all cultures in our diverse community - not just tangata whenua. There is no mention of the local authority role (Lan Government Act 2002 s.10;b) to promote social, economic, environmental and cultural well-being of communities in the present or future.

There is no mention of the TDC Arts & Culture Action Plan in the outcomes, even though it has been accepted by council and not shared with the wider community. Arts, culture, nga toi and sporting activity have been grouped together with community outcomes. I would expect to see arts, culture and nga toi separated from sporting activities.

One round of funding can crate difficulties for community groups who organise events throughout the year, not just on an annual basis.

Receipients are limited to not for profit, charitable organisations and individuals for the CGF. This could limit the outcome of 'vibrant places' by excluding local and touring performers from accessing funding to enable

them to perform in our district at accessible prices.

If this policy is adopted we would suggest - Ring-fence a minimum to go towards each outcome. Include reference to the TDC Arts & Cullture Action Plan in the outcomes. Include trusted advisors in each area from the community on the committees with equal representation across the arts, social, sport, environment etc for say a fixed term of 2 years. Two funding rounds per year. Set a maximum grant available per project for the CGF ensuring a wider range of activities and community base are supported, not just a select few projects. Prioritise Community well-being as an overarching philosophy in the outcomes. Ensure that funding meetings are open to the public and also advertised.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Because of ongoing delays in reaching a reslution, Council needs to get outside advice to manage this.

1.11 Any other feedback?

Individual athletes can access sponsorship fairly easily but individual creatives can

find it difficult to find sponsorship for say an exhibition or publishing a book, it is

important to bear this in mind when allocating grants.

Link	File
No records to display.	

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Dennis Last name: Waple

Organisation:

On behalf of Dennis and Judith Waple / The Tuis

Trust

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Western bays (Omori, Kuratau, Pūkawa, Whareroa)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

We have attempted to complete and forward the official on-line submission document, concerning the proposed 10 Year Plan, but it will not transmit to you.

Accordingly, we make the following comments for consideration, using the old written method!

Our comments relate to rubbish collection proposals.

>We object to the proposal to replace the current plastic bag waste disposal system, with "wheelie bins".

We refer only to the situation at Pukawa, and in particular to our property at 18 Hauraki Tce. Pukawa.

Pukawa is a small residential area, predominantly holiday homes, that has been kept as natural and

undisturbed as possible. Many houses are on sites with steep access, often with unsealed driveways and paths. This steep access would not suit wheelie bins.

In our particular situation, our access to Hauraki Tce. only allows plastic bags and recycling bins to be manually carried and deposited for collection. A wheelie bin would have to be trundled along Parina Lane (unsealed), to get to a collection point.

Thank you for the opportunity to make this submission.

Link	File	
No records to display.		

logo

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Last name: McClean

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I wish to strongly object to update my rubbish & recycling items to be replaced by wheelie bins.

The access from our bach, sited on a steep slope, is via 25 wooden steps down to the driveway; or about 20 earthen steps up to Kaiuru Ave roadway..

It would be most inconvenient to site the bins in the vicinity of the driveway or roadway.

The other issue re the current Monday pickup, is leaving the bins when departing Pukawa say on a Sunday - leaving open to the elements - blowing away or stolen or whatever.

Link	File	
No records to display.		

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 24/06/2024

First name: Gemma Last name: Clough

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

I think we need to do the essentials well, and cut funding for frivolous things like the sculpture trust

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Agree

1.6.3 Strongly Agree

I have been looking into acquiring a bin from private businesses but the costs were so huge. With a back injury, I always struggle on rubbish day to get the bags out!

1.7 Housing

Strongly Agree

1.7.4 Strongly Agree

I think this solution is great! We however also need housing for our less fortunate community members, and I think brand new homes like this won't be helping them.

Another thing that needs to be considered is the amount of empty holiday homes, airbnbs and book a bach type houses in town. The council needs to look into seeing what can be done to reduce the amount of empty homes, and in hand with that, the astronomical rents in the region.

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link	File
No records to disp	

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Lauren and David Last name: Lehndorf

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Taupō

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

remain with status qou I do not wish to subsidise others, we are a low rubbish household also do not have storage area for bins

1.7 Housing

Strongly Disagree

1.7.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

council should not be an enabler for a second party to profit hugely as they will council should stick to it's core business for the good of ratepayers

1.9 Community Funding

No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Νo

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

storage of the bins are a problem for me and ugly also i do not wish to susidise others as this houshod has

low rubbish content

1.11 Any other feedback?

how about concentrating on policing council by laws for example "no cars can be parked in the Taupo rateable area with 4 sale signs displayed" a 4 hour limited car parking sign would be the easy way to invoke this council bylaw signed off bt the current mayor and then CEO. in 2015 . question ?why sign a document you have no intention of governing. If new plymouth, palmerston north, tauranga and inglewood for example can enforce this bylaw why is this council so nonchalant and lazy. why are council unaccountable for not enforcing this

Link	File	
No records to disp	olay.	

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Kelvin Last name: Higgs

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

 1.1 Local Community Tūrangi

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Minor improvements around Turangi including town centre enhancement and PROPER and REGULAR leaf cleaning of drains and gutters and de-weeding all blocked gutters.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater Strongly Agree

 1.5 Taupō North Wastewater Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Agree

1.6.3 Strongly Agree
Definitely support moving to bins.

1.7 Housing Strongly Agree

1.7.4 Strongly Agree

Review the problem of emergency housing in Turangi.

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link	File
No records to display.	

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Colleen Last name: Cundy

Organisation: Transpower

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully

considered.

Feedback

1.11 Any other feedback?

Full Submission Attached

Ability to Pay, Fairness and Affordability of Rates

- 15. We consider the rationale in the letter that "Consideration must be given to the ability to pay and fairness and equity to all ratepayers..."to contradict itself.
- 16. To rate Transpower's utility network assets at the same differential as a non-utility category by default would in our view, create an extraordinary disproportion between the benefits received and the burden of rates imposed upon those assets.
- 17. Indeed, it is our view that Transpower's utility network assets should attract a lower differential that adequately reflects the minimal benefits derived by Transpower in comparison to non-utility ratepayers.
- 18. Local authority rates paid by Transpower are recovered from our customers i.e. electricity lines businesses, and these businesses will pass the cost on to their customers. Lines businesses' customers are the electricity retailers that provide electricity to the ratepayers in the Taupo District.
- 19. Therefore, your ratepayers will ultimately assume a share of the burden of additional rates levied to Transpower.

Asset Classification

- 8. The rateable utility network assets owned by Transpower are significantly different to other rateable assets within the TDC district, indeed, they are unique, and should demand different treatment within the general rating policies adopted by TDC.
- 9. The existence within TDC's differential factors of a separate Property Category for 'Utility Assets and Networks' acknowledges the significant differences between this class of asset and other rateable assets and provides transparency.
- 10. The TDC letter addresses that differential factors have not changed since 2012-13 and that the rating policy includes an inconsistent approach on how general rates are assessed. In our view, there are no compelling reasons as to why a differential factor would change over time, as it is not a levy or rate influenced by external dynamics.
- 11. The letter notes that Utility Assets and Network rating units aren't rated the same as all other

properties used for either industrial or commercial purposes. In our view, this is appropriate as there is no commonality between these rating categories.

- 12. Transpower's utility network assets are specialised infrastructure assets, which have more in common with 'public good' assets such as roads, railways and wharfs than an industrial or commercial property. We note that the First Schedule to the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002 includes roads, railways and wharfs in a non-rateable category of land.
- 13. Transpower's utility network assets are stationary, linear assets that do not engage in the consumption of resources in the district, they simply traverse the land out of necessity and in doing so place minimal demands on the district's services and infrastructure.
- 14. Aligning Transpower's utility network assets with commercial or industrial properties is wholly inappropriate in our view.

Conclusion

20. For the reasons outlined in this submission, Transpower requests that, in acknowledging the difference between utility network assets and commercial/industrial properties, TDC reconsider the proposal to align the differential rating factor for utility network assets with properties used for commercial or industrial purposes.

Introduction

- 1. This submission is in response to Taupo District Council's (TDC) proposal to align the differential factor for properties used for the generation of electricity and utility networks with properties in the district that are used for industrial or commercial purposes. This proposal is outlined in a letter received from TDC with information about the 2024-34 Long Term Plan.
- 2. Transpower New Zealand Limited (Transpower) is the owner and operator of New Zealand's national grid. We transmit electricity from where it is generated to towns and cities, enabling electricity distributors to supply homes and businesses.
- 3. We own and operate approximately 570 km transmission lines and 60 km fibre cable in the TDC district, for which we paid Council \$113,566.79 in utility network rates this rating year.
- 4. This submission relates specifically to these utility network assets it does not relate to land owned or occupied by Transpower in the district

Specific Issues - In Brief

- 5. The TDC letter proposes aligning the differential rating factor for 'properties' used for the generation of electricity and utility networks with properties in the district that are used for industrial or commercial purposes.
- 6. The letter, on addressing differential factors, appears to categorise utility network assets as industrial/commercial. Through this LTP process rating policy will be reviewed to assess whether it is reasonable, fair, and rational to treat all industrial/commercial ratepayers equally when assessing rates.
- 7. TDC provide the following reasons for the review of differentials. Consideration must be given to the ability to pay and fairness and equity to all ratepayers. The decision to review the rating differentials is prudent due to the pressing financial demands on Council and the associated rates affordability issues faced by property owners.

Full Submission Attached

Ability to Pay, Fairness and Affordability of Rates

15. We consider the rationale in the letter that "Consideration must be given to the ability to pay and

- 15. We consider the rationale in the letter that "Consideration must be given to the ability to pay and fairness and equity to all ratepayers..."to contradict itself.
- 16. To rate Transpower's utility network assets at the same differential as a non-utility category by default would in our view, create an extraordinary disproportion between the benefits received and the burden of rates imposed upon those assets.
- 17. Indeed, it is our view that Transpower's utility network assets should attract a lower differential that adequately reflects the minimal benefits derived by Transpower in comparison to non-utility ratepayers.
- 18. Local authority rates paid by Transpower are recovered from our customers i.e. electricity lines businesses, and these businesses will pass the cost on to their customers. Lines businesses' customers are the electricity retailers that provide electricity to the ratepayers in the Taupo District.
- 19. Therefore, your ratepayers will ultimately assume a share of the burden of additional rates levied to Transpower.

Asset Classification

- 8. The rateable utility network assets owned by Transpower are significantly different to other rateable assets within the TDC district, indeed, they are unique, and should demand different treatment within the general rating policies adopted by TDC.
- 9. The existence within TDC's differential factors of a separate Property Category for 'Utility Assets and Networks' acknowledges the significant differences between this class of asset and other rateable assets and provides transparency.
- 10. The TDC letter addresses that differential factors have not changed since 2012-13 and that the rating policy includes an inconsistent approach on how general rates are assessed. In our view, there are no compelling reasons as to why a differential factor would change over time, as it is not a levy or rate influenced by external dynamics.
- 11. The letter notes that Utility Assets and Network rating units aren't rated the same as all other properties used for either industrial or commercial purposes. In our view, this is appropriate as there is no commonality between these rating categories.
- 12. Transpower's utility network assets are specialised infrastructure assets, which have more in common with 'public good' assets such as roads, railways and wharfs than an industrial or commercial property. We note that the First Schedule to the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002 includes roads, railways and wharfs in a non-rateable category of land.
- 13. Transpower's utility network assets are stationary, linear assets that do not engage in the consumption of resources in the district, they simply traverse the land out of necessity and in doing so place minimal demands on the district's services and infrastructure.
- 14. Aligning Transpower's utility network assets with commercial or industrial properties is wholly inappropriate in our view.

Conclusion

20. For the reasons outlined in this submission, Transpower requests that, in acknowledging the difference between utility network assets and commercial/industrial properties, TDC reconsider the proposal to align the differential rating factor for utility network assets with properties used for commercial or industrial purposes.

Introduction



Keeping the energy flowing

Waikoukou
22 Boulcott Street
PO Box 1021
Wellington 6140
New Zealand
P 64 4 495 7000
F 64 4 495 6968
www.transpower.co.nz

18 June 2024

Taupo District Council Private Bag 2005 **Taupo 3352**

2024-34 Long Term Plan - Submission on Proposed Changes to Rating Differential for Utility Network Assets

Introduction

- 1 This submission is in response to Taupo District Council's (TDC) proposal to align the differential factor for properties used for the generation of electricity and utility networks with properties in the district that are used for industrial or commercial purposes. This proposal is outlined in a letter received from TDC with information about the 2024-34 Long Term Plan.
- 2 Transpower New Zealand Limited (Transpower) is the owner and operator of New Zealand's national grid. We transmit electricity from where it is generated to towns and cities, enabling electricity distributors to supply homes and businesses.
- We own and operate approximately 570 km transmission lines and 60 km fibre cable in the TDC district, for which we paid Council \$113,566.79 in utility network rates this rating year.
- 4 This submission relates specifically to these utility network assets it does not relate to land owned or occupied by Transpower in the district.

Specific Issues - In Brief

- 5 The TDC letter proposes aligning the differential rating factor for 'properties' used for the generation of electricity and utility networks with properties in the district that are used for industrial or commercial purposes.
- 6 The letter, on addressing differential factors, appears to categorise utility network assets as industrial/commercial.
 - ..through this LTP process rating policy will be reviewed to assess whether it is reasonable, fair, and rational to treat all industrial/commercial ratepayers equally when assessing rates.
- 7 TDC provide the following reasons for the review of differentials.

Consideration must be given to the ability to pay and fairness and equity to all ratepayers...

The decision to review the rating differentials is prudent due to the pressing financial demands on Council and the associated rates affordability issues faced by property owners.

Asset Classification

8 The rateable utility network assets owned by Transpower are significantly different to other rateable assets within the TDC district, indeed, they are unique, and should demand different treatment within the general rating policies adopted by TDC.

- 9 The existence within TDC's differential factors of a separate Property Category for 'Utility Assets and Networks' acknowledges the significant differences between this class of asset and other rateable assets and provides transparency.
- 10 The TDC letter addresses that differential factors have not changed since 2012-13 and that the rating policy includes an inconsistent approach on how general rates are assessed. In our view, there are no compelling reasons as to why a differential factor would change over time, as it is not a levy or rate influenced by external dynamics.
- 11 The letter notes that *Utility Assets and Network rating units aren't rated the same as all other properties used for either industrial or commercial purposes.* In our view, this is appropriate as there is no commonality between these rating categories.
- 12 Transpower's utility network assets are specialised infrastructure assets, which have more in common with 'public good' assets such as roads, railways and wharfs than an industrial or commercial property. We note that the First Schedule to the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002 includes roads, railways and wharfs in a non-rateable category of land.
- 13 Transpower's utility network assets are stationary, linear assets that do not engage in the consumption of resources in the district, they simply traverse the land out of necessity and in doing so place minimal demands on the district's services and infrastructure.
- 14 Aligning Transpower's utility network assets with commercial or industrial properties is wholly inappropriate in our view.

Ability to Pay, Fairness and Affordability of Rates

- 15 We consider the rationale in the letter that "Consideration must be given to the ability to pay and fairness and equity to all ratepayers..." to contradict itself.
- 16 To rate Transpower's utility network assets at the same differential as a non-utility category by default would in our view, create an extraordinary disproportion between the benefits received and the burden of rates imposed upon those assets.
- 17 Indeed, it is our view that Transpower's utility network assets should attract a lower differential that adequately reflects the minimal benefits derived by Transpower in comparison to non-utility ratepayers.
- 18 Local authority rates paid by Transpower are recovered from our customers i.e. electricity lines businesses, and these businesses will pass the cost on to their customers. Lines businesses' customers are the electricity retailers that provide electricity to the ratepayers in the Taupo District.
- 19 Therefore, your ratepayers will ultimately assume a share of the burden of additional rates levied to Transpower.

Conclusion

20 For the reasons outlined in this submission, Transpower requests that, in acknowledging the difference between utility network assets and commercial/industrial properties, TDC reconsider the proposal to align the differential rating factor for utility network assets with properties used for commercial or industrial purposes.

Yours sincerely

Colleen Cundy

Senior Advisor - Property Services

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Last name: Dinnington

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully

considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Don't have the room for all the bins as proposed in option one.

I believe that rubbish will be put in the recycling bins

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link	File	
No records to display		

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Last name: Lightfoot

Organisation:

Wairarapa Moana ki Pouākani Incorporation

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

Yes

Additional requirements for hearing:

Feedback

1.8 Development Contributions

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

Wairarapa Moana ki Pouakani Incorporation (WMI) seeks to support the continued expenditure in the Mangakino District to promote growth in the District. It is essential to the current and future growth of Mangakino that funding for projects is continued in order to provide for improved facilities and infrastructure.

It is especially essential to WMI that funding for the Mangakino Wastewater Treatment Plant (MWWTP) upgrade is continued and carried out within the timeframes outlined in the DC Policy to ensure adequate infrastructure is provided to enable growth in Mangakino for the next 30 years.

It is important to WMI's values to support the expenditure on projects that will facilitate continued growth within the community which also look to the overall betterment of the Waikato Awa's health, wellbeing and overall quality.

1.11 Any other feedback?

Full submission attached

Link	File
	Wairarapa Moana ki Pouakani Incorporation - LTP Submission

Submission to the Taupō District Council Development Contributions Policy 2024 On Behalf of Wairarapa Moana ki Pouākani Inc

To: Taupō District Council

Private Bag 2005

TAUPŌ 3380

E. <u>10yearplan@taupo.govt.nz</u>

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 The Draft Development Contributions (DC) Policy 2024 document forms part of the Long Term Plan (LTP) consultation document which sets out the Council's vision and goals over the next 10 years from 2024 to 2034 and is how key projects/proposals will be funded within that period.
- 1.2 The LTP includes key proposals for managing and maintaining current facilities and community assets as well as planning for projects and programmes that will promote future growth and development within the District.
- 1.3 Wairarapa Moana ki Pouākani Incorporation (WMI) seeks to support the continued expenditure in the Mangakino District to promote growth in the District. It is essential to the current and future growth of Mangakino that funding for projects is continued in order to provide for improved facilities and infrastructure.
 - It is especially essential to WMI that funding for the Mangakino Wastewater Treatment Plant (MWWTP) upgrade is continued and carried out within the timeframes outlined in the DC Policy to ensure adequate infrastructure is provided to enable growth in Mangakino for the next 30 years.
- 1.4 It is important to WMI's values to support the expenditure on projects that will facilitate continued growth within the community which also look to the overall betterment of the Waikato Awa's health, wellbeing and overall quality.

2.0 INTRODUCTION

- 2.1 Cheal Consultants Ltd have been engaged by Wairarapa Moana ki Pouākani Incorporation (WMI) to prepare submissions on the Taupō District Council's Draft Development Policy 2024 which forms part of the Long Term Plan 2024-2034.
- 2.2 Cheal Consultants Ltd have a long relationship with WMI in providing expert planning advice and services, surveying and land development.
- 2.3 WMI (the submitter) are a community affiliated with Wairarapa, Ngāti Tūwharetoa, Ngāti Maniapoto, Ngāti Raukawa and Te Arawa and who exercise authority over lands and resource. WMI holds those lands around Mangakino as a direct result of the Crown taking lands around Lake Wairarapa (Wairarapa Moana) and then failing to honour its promises. Subsequently, the land at Mangakino which are managed by WMI were adversely affected by the Crown's unilateral efforts to establish the Lake

cheal

Maraetai power station (and hydro lake). As a result, WMI holds these lands at Mangakino on trust for its beneficiaries as Māori Freehold Land under the Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993.

2.4 WMI was established in 2002 as a result of a request by the owners to the Māori Land Court to amalgamate Pouakani II Trust and Mangakino Township Incorporation. The core purpose of WMI is to manage and grow the assets of the Incorporation for the benefit of the owners and their whanau. Another key driver is the need to protect and enhance the land asset with no adverse impact on the environment or on succeeding generations enjoying benefits from their assets. The following submission is made with these outcomes in forefront of WMI support for the proposed DC Policy.

3.0 KEY ISSUES

- 3.1 The following key points WMI considers to be important relation to the Draft LTP and DC Policy:
 - (a) Providing support for the proposed Mangakino WWTP upgrade to ensure continued growth in the community can be achieved as well as achieving positive environmental outcomes through new infrastructure technology.
 - (b) Ensuring that proposed timeframes for the MWWTP upgrade are met as any deferrals will hinder the continued growth of the community.
 - (c) Encouraging the expenditure within the Mangakino community to seek ongoing upgrades and improvements to facilities and infrastructure in the area.
- 3.2 These key matters are assessed throughout this submission.

4.0 SUBMISSION

- 4.1 The Draft LTP confirms Council's commitments to the district for expenditure over the next 10 years. The Draft DC Policy is directed by the overarching concept that growth pays for growth and as such this premise has influenced what projects will be undertaken based off demand and projection for growth.
- 4.2 WMI is pleased to see that there is a recognition for the need for upgrades and improvements within the Mangakino District to enable future growth. While development contributions are proposed to increase for this community, WMI recognises that this is a direct correlation to the projects which will directly benefit the community.
- 4.3 Mangakino has been slowly growing in population over the last few years. This fact combined with WMI aspirations to support growth in the community means that there is growing demand for continued improvements to facilities, amenities and infrastructure in the district. The proposed LTP and DC Policy looks to provide for this.

cheal

- 4.4 The LTP 2024 looks to upgrade the MWWTP with works forecasted for 2026 to 2028. WMI strongly supports the funding for this project as it will significantly support the future growth of the community by enabling greater capacity and improved technology.
- 4.5 WMI further supports the funding for the MWWTP as the improved infrastructure and associated technology will look to reduce nitrogen loading to the awa. WMI encourages any improvements to the treatment plant that will improve water quality. Given WMI's commitment to better environmental outcomes as well as the health and wellbeing of Waikato awa, it strongly supports the improvements to the MWWTP.
- 4.6 Furthermore within Mangakino, improvements to existing parks and reserves is crucial for the prosperity of the community. As it stands, very little improvements have been made to the community facilities although not to disregard the outstanding work recently undertaken to improve the Mangakino Basketball Court which is a huge success for the community. The proposed LTP looks to increase expenditure in the areas of local and community reserves as well as youth play spaces. Specifically WMI notes that specified funding for the following projects: Shared path on Lake Road, Transfer station upgrade, Lake Maraetai Lakefront Development Plan, Water Treatment improvements, Mangakino wastewater treatment plant upgrade, Road safety improvements near Mokai Marae, Rural berm drainage and improvements, Tirohanga Road Improvements, Flood mitigation, Atiamuri footpath, Tirohanga water treatment upgrade, Waihaha Water Supply Upgrade, Guard rail and drainage improvements on Forest Road and Atiamuri wastewater treatment plant upgrade.. WMI encourages this funding as it would be significant benefit to the whanau in Mangakino to see improvements to the community spaces available in the district.
- 4.7 Improvements to public amenities such as reserves or play spaces also helps with future proofing for the growing community. As the population in Mangakino increases, the need for greater access and improved conditions of the existing public amenities will be critical in encouraging the community's growth, whilst also providing the current community fit for purpose spaces that encourage community engagement.

5.0 CONCLUSION

- 5.1 In summary, WMI is supportive of the additional expenditure within the Mangakino District. WMI is pleased that the LTP has accounted for the future growth of Mangakino which aligns with WMI's aspirations for the community.
- 5.2 WMI is highly supportive of the funding for the MWWTP upgrade and encourages Council to ensure the works are undertaken within the proposed timeframes, if not sooner.

cheal

- 5.3 WMI further encourages continued funding for improvements to existing community spaces such as youth play spaces and local/community reserves which will help encourage community growth and prosperity.
- 5.4 WMI supports the proposed funding for projects within the Mangakino District and is pleased to see that these works will begin to start future proofing for growth in Mangakino. It is encouraged that continued expenditure is invested into Mangakino to encourage continued improvements that will benefit the current and future communities.
- 5.5 WMI thanks you for the opportunity to make this submission. WMI does wish to be heard at the LTP hearings.

DATED 19 June 2024

Hannah Lightfoot

Intermediate Planner

Cheal Consultants Limited on Behalf of Wairarapa Moana ki Pouākani Incorporation

logo

Long-Term Plan 2024-2034

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Anthony Last name: Smith

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do more

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Community Building Through Sports: I strongly believe that by developing an inspiring new indoor sports hub, numerous sporting codes can thrive alongside our beautiful town. Given that many sporting codes active in Taupo are without a home (such as table tennis, badminton, pickleball to name a few) and are constrained by this, a new facility will be a place that they can call home. Further, codes at full capacity using the TEC can have additional capacity and a new venue for finals, prizegiving (basketball, volleyball etc). Youth

Development: I believe a new indoor court facility (sports hub) could incorporate youth programmes, where under-served and/or at risk youth are pulled into programmes (good models exist elsewhere to replicate) which revolves around building confidence through sport (health and mental wellbeing), and linking this building ambition through combining this with educational programmes (opportunity). Health and Wellness for All: From young children to seniors, this facility (Hub) will provide a welcoming and inclusive space for people of all ages and abilities to get active, stay healthy, and connect with others. I see this as a component of the facility (Hub) that is much needed within our community. Pathway to Excellence: I believe a new indoor court facility (sports hub) with professional end to end pathways from grassroots to international levels, shall ensure our local athletes have the resources and support to reach their full potential.

1.11 Any other feedback?

I believe that a new indoor sports facility (Hub) will be a catalyst and driver for our region to be a premier destination for regional, national and international mid-tier sporting events, attracting visitors and boosting tourism. Investing in this facility is an investment in our local economy, stimulating spending and generating revenue that can be reinvested in our community.

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Laura Last name: Schwenk

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

- 1.1 Local Community
 Taupō
- 1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Agree

1.6.3 Strongly Agree

different size bin options would be great at different costs.

1.7 Housing

Agree

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link	File
No records to display.	

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Denise Last name: Eddowes

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully

considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Stick to the core services - water, waste water, waste, roading etc. Halt or postpone any 'beautification/enhancement/nice to haves' related expenses for Taupo.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.4.4 Agree

If there had been a 'neutral' or 'I can't respond' option I would have chosen that as I don't live in Turangi so this issue doesn't affect me as it would if I did. I also don't know enough about it and it no doubt is reasonably complex.

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Disagree

1.5.1 Disagree

Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Could the money considered necessary for this instead be put to a longer term option? If a medium term option is put in place is that option easily incorporated into the longer term one thereby not costing unnecessary funding? I haven't managed to read all the info in relation to this so this is simply a quick response to the summarised info provided on this page.

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

My concern re the proposed plan includes - will the food scrap bin attract maggots and therefore be a turnoff so people don't use it and instead throw food into either their general rubbish or into public provided rubbish bins as I know is what residents (at least some) do in Whangamata? Also, our rubbish has increased with the changes to recycling eg lids now going into general rubbish etc so I don't think our recycling will increase therefore the current green recycling bin is sufficient. I also don't agree with rates funding a services to help 'put bins back'. Wouldn't a promotion strategy about being a supportive neighbour help improve community members get to know each other and save \$\$\$ at the same time? Plus if someone has a medical related issue that means they will struggle to put their bin back then as a community member/neighbour I would hope those who live nearby are aware of that as there may be other areas help can be provided. Empower the community to look after their fellow being - this fits with the council's social responsibility AND it's shared vision for the Taupo District – to be a district of connected communities who thrive and embrace opportunities.

Re the 'alternative option' - this I agree with EXCEPT for the food waste bin collection due to the maggot concern as per above. Adding to rates at the current time is not living within many residents means. Those who can afford it already subscribe to wheelie bin services. Many Taupo residents are really struggling financially and other increases in insurance, gas, dog registration, tradie increases, internet connection, electricity, food etc is tough enough without more 'nice, but not essential to haves'.

1.7 Housing

Strongly Disagree

1.7.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I don't believe this is what Council is about - leave that to developers, stick to core services to ratepayers.

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

I support this provided it does not come at a cost to ratepayers. User pays here please - I haven't been able to read the full document so can only comment based on the summarised version, thanks.

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

I like the 3 year part which means certainty for those applying and hopefully less admin and therefore cost savings for delivery of the policy. It would be important however for carefully examined potential conflict of interest be examined for those assigned to assessing committees. Transparency here is also key.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

I like the vision in terms of empowering the community to build its capacity to financially support itself as well as it can. Funding alternative options should be explored by organisations rather than becoming reliant of TDC funding. Providing evidence of having done this could be considered as part of the application process.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

There wasn't an option for what parts I support and what I don't - for example I don't support the food waste collection and I have concerns as to how much it will cost the ratepayer for the support to single coffee cup reduction especially when ratepayers who are struggling financially in the current market probably aren't those

1.11 Any other feedback?

In our home we have cut back on costs due to a rise in so many of our household expenses and also a very large (tens of thousands) unexpected expense of getting work fixed up on our property which TDC had issued a CoC to but was one of the affected 'Kodiak' properties. We have to carefully assess the 'nice to haves' with the 'essential expenses' items and the nice to haves are off the plan for the next couple of years. We have been concerned at costs TDC have incurred this current financial year due to 'commitments' it made which to our family are nice to haves but not essentials. This includes the new airport terminal which has had barrier arm issues requiring expensive repairs and which now requires payment to park our car. Our car didn't mind parking on grass or gravel at no cost before. Given Air NZ cut a few years back its support to Taupo re flights to Wlg thereby indicating they don't see us as a profitable town for the average commuter, why did TDC invest in this? There are of course private jets using the terminal facilities no doubt which seems we are catering for those better off financially who can afford such luxuries and yet many of the ratepayer would rarely if ever use what they have helped fund because they can't afford to fly. I also love and appreciate the arts but Bobo funding is definitely a 'nice to have' and not essential. Beautification of our town may have received a lot of funding from central govt but not all of it and an award doesn't help those who are stressed about cost increases pay for the increase in rates. Plus, how many times have we 'beautified' the town centre in the last 10 years and why so often? I get a response may be 'it's only \$100,000' (in terms of Bobo),but when that's the response (as well as 'we promised to suppor the initiative last year'a few years ago etc) over many expenses the \$100K soon adds up to a percentage or more of rate increases. TDC please tighten your belts at least while we are experiencing a recession. Thank you for reading my feedback.

	Link	File
No records to display.		

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Natasha Last name: Naylor

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully

considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Türangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Disagree

1.5.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.7 Housing

Disagree

1.8 Development Contributions

Nο

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link	File
No records to display.	

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Leith Last name: Thomas

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully

considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Other

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

The plan is great going forward, but in light of the current and projected economic climate / recession I do think we should all seriously consider maintaining the status quo, tighten the belts and develop plans according to the funding and finances available <u>now!</u> The rate payers and communities around our rohe have reached the limit of funding any further aspirations. There is no more money out there, so keep the refuse facilities we have; encourage the recycle, reduce, reuse; compostable waste should go to community gardens or each as their own. Build council finances for future projects, don't expect it to come from increased rates.

1.4 Türangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Strongly Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.7 Housing

Agree

1.7.3 Agree

Give young first home buyers the opportunity of 'rent to buy'. They develop a vested interest and pride in ownership rather than being stuck in a permanent "it's not mine" rental mind set.

1.8 Development Contributions

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

It's currently working and only 6 years in development.

Link	File	
No records to disp	olay.	

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Simon Last name: Varey

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully

considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Essential maintenance only until there is a financial surplus to avoid interest on borrowing where possible.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Agree

1.6.4 Agree

So many people do not recycle properly, wheelie bins may hide unsatisfactory recycling which will contaminate good recycling.

1.7 Housing

Agree

1.7.3 Agree

This is a necessary way to get essential working personnel to come to area. The sale of these houses should be locked to a fixed profit over time to save people flipping them as I have seen in Auckland.

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link	File
No records to disp	lay.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Angela Last name: Williams

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Kinloch

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

No more spending on the waterfront or building silly view blocking fences

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I live in Kinloch in the Poplars. I need to get my rubbish and recycling to the main gate. If you introduce large bins, I will not be able to get the bin to the main gate, and certainly do not have a vehicle that will be able to transport it there either. I compost my food waste and green waste, burn cardboard and paper in the nightly fire, and only need to put out a rubbish bag every2-3 weeks. Introducing large bins will disadvantage citizens who will have to get the bin out to the roadside and cost more as I don't need the scrap bin or a collection every 1-2 weeks. This will add to my household costs. I disagree with the move to large bins in KINLOCH.

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Last name: Mastrovich

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully

considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific) please don't add fees to rubbish removal or dump fees as our streets will get very littered

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Agree

1.7 Housing

Agree

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan? please font in rease user pays

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Stephanie Last name: Campbell

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Increases to local services. Rates have already gone up - why put up the price on bin bag tags, and the landfill too! Its going to cause major issues, such as people not bothering to actually use the rubbish system as it should be used - as well as other problems like increasing load into the public wastebins, which as currently do not get emptied often enough by the environmental rangers. Think about staffing things, and making things more readily available - also what happens when the wheelie bins take off? Will you up the price on the labels required for those too? You've said it will be rates inclusive.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater

Strongly Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Disagree

1.5.1 Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Wastewater Storage is NOT optimal, as opposed to sorting out better/newer more capable facilities to deal with the wastewater system. Sort out the old damaged pipes, and sort out adequate staffing of the sites.

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Agree

1.6.3 Strongly Agree

For the majority of the suburbs, due to the density of households, 140L is NOT going to be enough - there will ALSO be bags - is there a way you can mitigate this? perhaps increase the bin size for rubbish, and have both the rubbish and recycles be weekly as well as 240L, or turn it around 240L for the rubbish, and 140L for recycling both done on a weekly basis. Get your envirowaste services to actually do a rubbish bag count for each neighbourhood/road for the next 6 months, see if what you are proposing is viable.

1.7 Housing Agree

1.7.3 Agree

More lower income/affordable homes, do not outsource to the general public, there are tonnes of families in need, and not all "small houses" are appropriate - what about those large families that have been trying but failing to secure housing? There needs to have differing sizes to meet the needs of those they are meant to be developed for - or even a think tank alongside those who require the houses... what about those locally that are struggling to find adequate housing due to disability requirements?

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

No

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Nο

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link	File
No records to disp	olav.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Gayani Last name: Chandrasekara

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully

considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific) stop increasing costs for public transport, pool fees, tax for house owners

 1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater Strongly Disagree

1.4.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Strongly Disagree

1.5.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.7 Housing

Strongly Disagree

1.7.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.8 Development Contributions

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

1.11 Any other feedback?

please adjust the time table of the taupo connector bus according to the school hours

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Anna Last name: Patel

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Agree

1.6.4 Agree

I would like to see the rubbish wheelie bin emptied weekly all year round, not jyst in the peak summer months.

1.7 Housing

Strongly Agree

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Leeanne Last name: Wilson

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Türangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Disagree

1.5.1 Disagree

Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I don't think I should be responsible for this cost as I don't live in that area. The people who live in that area should be responsible for this cost.

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Agree

1.7 Housing

Strongly Agree

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link	File
No records to disp	lay.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Dave Last name: Paddon

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Kinloch

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Living in a private subdivision "The Poplar" 500 Kinloch Rd. where 95% of these properties would struggle pushing 2 or more bin in and out to the road side for your rubbish trucks to collect. Most people either have cars or SUVs or Utes. The current bag system works the best for us collectively as has been discussed by The Poplars committee. Just think, how would you get your weekly refuse to the front gate for collection if you lived in one of the many private subdivisions and had 1.7 km driveway/walk to the main gates!!!. We have 50 odd bags for collection at the road side each week. Now consider 50 wheelie bins. How much more space would be required to house these. Would they have to be in a line for the truck to be able to automatically pick up and empty!!!. Not really practical hey. Also on average these properties currently only place 1 yellow bag a week. So your new wheelie bins hold 4 times a yellow bag. So now you've created wasted space per wheelie bin. Also have you considered how the average person should get the bin to and from the road side gate!! realistically you've made the job harder.

Kinloch's smaller permanent population around 1100 people. with appox 4 private subdivisions within Kinloch. All now about to face the same problem. What and where to put your proposed bins for collection. Our residence one yellow bag. This is an average. We personally are only 2 people and one yellow bag last 4 weeks. We recycle bottles and cardboards to the recycle refuse centre 4 weekly.

If you consider the Holiday home owners that now put out the Yellow bags on a Sunday night for collection on Monday morning. Can drive home with no more worries. However If they have to put out a plastic wheelie

bin!!! who will bring in in after collection!!! They may not return for weeks or months!!!

Kinloch and some other similar areas have different requirements than the Cities. One solution does not work well for all.

Link	File
	20240624_103822
	20240624_103905





Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Helen Last name: Bishop

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

- 1.1 Local Community
 Other
- 1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

- 1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater Agree
 - , .g. . .
- Taupō North Wastewater Agree
- 1.6 Bag it or Bin it Disagree
- 1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Unfortunately as I don't live in Turangi it is hard for me to comment but I do actually disagree to all the bins that are being used

somehow it doesn't feel right - the bins take up a lot of space - is more plastic being used - more work for everyone - keeping

them clean - organised - there must be a simpler way - what is the actual problem with what is happening now? I think that the extra money

spent on bins and collecting them could pay for someone to sort the recycling at the other end. Hope I make sense.

1.7 Housing Agree

1.7.3 Agree

Are the "affordable quality homes" going to have their own piece of land? Hopefully not joined together like they are doing in the cities. If they are going to be

terraced houses - then I strongly object. What are they going to look like? What materials are they going to use?

Link	File
No records to disp	play.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Richard Last name: Wilson

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully

considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Kinloch

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Less, stop wasting money on nice to have projects, ie town centre upgrade infront of the restuants, it was not needed and has no use, plus cars still drive thru the area which take away from it.

Decrease staff numbers

Focus on essential work.

1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater Strongly Agree

1.4.3 Strongly Agree

keep it simple, keep the costs down

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater Strongly Agree

1.5.3 Strongly Agree

Why do community partner always have the final say and at what cost?

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

How mich more cost are we going to incurr? My family produces minimal amount of rubbish, which I dispose of

when needed this keeping costs down. Recycling as normal

1.7 Housing

Agree

1.7.3 Agree

as long as it's open to all people from all races and backgrounds

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Vac

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Leave kerb side as it is, it another unwanted cost. We do not need food waste collection

1.11 Any other feedback?

Reduce costs, dont increase, and cut the fat, do we need so many staff in council doing half the roles??

Link	File	
No records to disp	olay.	



Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Amber Last name: Quick

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully

considered.

Feedback

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

can not afford to have more added to rates, our recycle bins already "go walk about" do not need the added stress or cost of trying to find wheelie bins when they get taken

Link	File
No records to disp	olay.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Shona Last name: Bleakley

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully

considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community

Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do more

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific) social services, arts and promotion of our town are all investments in our future, more should be spent.

1.4 Türangi Wastewater

Strongly Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Strongly Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Agree

1.6.3 Strongly Agree

The bin system is safer for the staff who do collections and food waste collection will be a great service.

1.7 Housing

Agree

1.7.3 Agree

Relying on developers has not provided affordable homes, good for the council to try something different.

1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework. It seems fair

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link	File
No records to disp	olay.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Last name: Buckeridge

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

- 1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater Strongly Agree
- Taupō North Wastewater Strongly Agree
- Bag it or Bin it Strongly Agree
- 1.7 Housing Strongly Agree
- 1.8 Development Contributions

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link File

No records to display.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Pia Last name: Carpenter

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

- 1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree
- 1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.11 Any other feedback?

do not increase the cost of childhood activities, especially those such as swimming lessons.

\$12 per child is already high (considering there's 6 kids in a class!) Keep swimming lessons accessible!

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 25/06/2024

First name: Jill Last name: Kern

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Kinloch

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree

1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

I live in a private subdivision 'The Poplars' and our road is approximately 1.7kms to the gates. Getting these bins out to the gate is a long walk for most people or they do not have a vehicle that you can transport the bin in.

These bins would **create a hazard** to have 50 bins around our gate on the roadside never alone the mess they would create once the truck had emptied them plus we do not have the area available out on the roadside for them. We are unable to put the bins inside our **private road** as this would cause issues of trucks tearing up our road and tarmac. Any costs we would incur for repairs would have to put back to council to pay for. Private roads are just that **Private**. It is reasonable for The Poplars to pay for any repairs to their private road but is unreasonable for large rubbish trucks to come in and tear up our grass areas and road area, then have to turn around and pay for the destruction that has been made. I do not give them permission to enter into our private subdivision.

As a household of two people, it can take 4-6 weeks to fill a yellow rubbish bag, so we take our rubbish to the recycling center to dispose of it along with any cardboard, plastics etc. We already have composting bins for our food waste, so this is already taken care of. We do not require an unnecessary bin which we would not use.

Link	File
	20240624_103905



Submitter Details

Submission Date: 26/06/2024

First name: Christopher Last name: Berriman

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully

considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

Reduce staff, and pay freeze on current staff, Mayor and 12 Councillors

1.4 Türangi Wastewater

Strongly Disagree

1.4.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Strongly Disagree

1.5.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Strongly Agree

1.7 Housing

Strongly Disagree

1.7.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.8 Development Contributions

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

No

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 26/06/2024

First name: Helene Last name: Phillips

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
 Taupō

1.2 Next 10 Years

Agree with the plan

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

1.4 Türangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

We would be unlikely to reach eligibility for medical assistance to move bins but are in the over70 age group and have a 100m driveway with a 20% gradient. Moving these bins would be difficult for us.

1.7 Housing

Disagree

1.7.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

1.8 Development Contributions

Nο

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this strategy?

1.9 Community Funding

Yes

Please explain why you agree or disagree with these changes.

1.9.1 Community Q2

Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes

Please explain why you support or do not support the proposed eligibility and assessment framework.

1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

No

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

Link	File
	LTP Community Funding Submission

Guarantees Against Loss (GAL)

A powerful 'safety net' for activities where income is uncertain, for instance a theatre group which wishes to present an avant-garde/non-mainstream performance. Their activity budget will probably show a shortfall as the income (Box office) for such a performance is unpredictable. The GAL potentially drives the group to be more innovative, minimising a 'blockbuster' approach, expanding the creative narrative and challenging audience expectations.

Such applications would be a great enhancement to the community grants scheme.

Financial Considerations

The funding budget while remaining unchanged at this point should be reassessed whenever the Community Funding Policy is reviewed (every 6 years) to account for demographic changes

Funding Determination/total available funds should be publicised

Sport vs Arts

There is an age-old reality that arts and culture are marginalised against sports, arising from the more passive nature of arts events by comparison to sport. Perhaps a broad-brush indication of dedicated funds could be applied to the policy ie?% will be reserved for the arts,?% for history and culture,?% for sporting activities,?% environmental/conservation.

How does the TDC Arts and Culture Action Plan interact with the new policy?

Decision Makers

What does External Funding Assessor (from Funding HQ) mean? A number of retired able decision makers exist within our community so a call for applicants for such positions would enhance transparency and community engagement, as well as providing 'external' expertise.

There must be an assurance that this team is independent, unbiased, and not swayed by council income streams shown within an application (ie TDC venues used by the applicant which provide income to TDC) adopting a balanced approach to all community activities.

Community Grands Funds

You state 'one funding round per fund per year' - what is the meaning of 'per fund'? the value of each 'fund' should be known.

Funding is available 'once per year' - If an organisation/project misses the deadline can they apply 'in retrospect' (ie for an event held prior) at the next deadline - most 'community-based' organisations would not have the financial resources to carry such cost (potentially for 6 months or more) and, traditionally, funds of this nature cannot be applied retrospectively. Once per year funding will reduce the number of applicants and therefore lower outcomes - suggest twice-annually would better serve the community

Strategic Partnerships Fund

MOU's must be transparent and demonstrably adhered to by both parties.

It is unclear whether the funds are available annually within the partnership

Accelerator Fund

Assuming this fund can be compared to a business start-up, will it cover salaries and admin costs

A definition of 'wellbeing initiatives' is required

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 26/06/2024

First name: Julie Last name: Jennings

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Feedback

1.1 Local Community
Kinloch

1.2 Next 10 Years

Do less

What do you think we should do more/less of? (be specific)

looking at your proposal for rates increases there are very high rate rises initially falling off to lower % ones. It would make sense to average out the rises so they are consistent over time. Therefore delaying some of your proposals to later in the ten year plan might help people who are struggling with the recession at this point in time.

1.4 Türangi Wastewater

Agree

1.5 Taupō North Wastewater

Agree

1.6 Bag it or Bin it

Disagree

1.6.1 Disagree

Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

Too many households in Kinloch have drives away from rubbish collection points. It would be difficult for them to get wheelie bins to the collection point. Eg the Poplars. Home composting should be encouraged for food waste. The wheelie bins proposed are too large for household waste. The size of then will encourage more land fill than the current bqg system.

we should also make provision for green waste collection.

1.7 Housing

Disagree

1.7.1 Disagree

Disagree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

The council should sell the land on the open market with conditions. It is not the councils remit to partner with developers. The should consider selling land in parcels where one section is fo high density housing.

1.11 Any other feedback?

There has been provision made to develop areas of Mangakino and Turangi but as yet despite the increased size of Kinloch a limited allocation of funding has been made available for services that are not wafer development. The village lacks a focal point. In summer with the extra influx of holiday home owners and day visitors there is a lack of adequate parking and infrastructure to cope wirh the additional numbers. The council continues giving consents to developers which increases pressure on waste water and fresh water.

	Link	File
No records to display.		

Submitter Details

Submission Date: 26/06/2024

First name: Pamela Last name: James

Would you like to present your submission in person at a hearing?

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully

considered.

Feedback

- 1.1 Local Community
 Taupō
- 1.4 Tūrangi Wastewater Agree
- Taupō North Wastewater Agree
- 1.6 Bag it or Bin it Strongly Disagree
- 1.6.2 Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this issue?

- 1.7 Housing Strongly Agree
- 1.10 Waste management and Minimisation Plan

Yes

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this plan?

1.11 Any other feedback?

Concerned about parking on Taharepa Reserve because of rubbish left there - especially vaping cylinders and take away rubbish plus human execrement! Would like to see bigger signage and more police patrols in area.

Attached Documents

Link File

No records to display.